

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

#### Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

#### **About Google Book Search**

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/





HARVARD COLLEGE LIBRARY PRINTED BY
SPOTTISWOODE AND CO., NEW-STHEEL SQUARE
LONDON

# Selden Society

# SELECT PLEAS IN MANORIAL AND OTHER SEIGNORIAL COURTS

VOLUME I..

REIGNS OF HENRY III. AND EDWARD I.

EDITED

FOR THE SELDEN SOCIETY

BY

F. W. MAITLAND

LONDON
BERNARD QUARITOR, 15 PICCADILLY
1889

All rights reserred

89.3.2 XP 3123

r 89.3, 2

JIII 6 1905 LIBRARY.

Brugit finder)

HARVARD UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

JUN 1 1 1986

### PREFACE.

THE cditor's best thanks are due to the Council of King's College, Cambridge, for permission to make and publish extracts from the rolls formerly belonging to the Abbey of Bec; to Mr. C. E. Grant, of the same college, for helping him to avail himself of that permission; to the Rev. W. Hudson of Norwich for hospitably introducing him to the archives of that city; to Dr. Bensly, Chapter Clerk of Norwich Cathedral, for allowing him to see the rolls belonging to the Chapter; to the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for a sight of the very ancient accounts of the Bishop of Winchester's estates; to the Rev. J. A. Bennett, of South Cadbury Rectory, Bath, for kindly sending him a transcript of some of those accounts; to the Right Honourable Lord Justice Sir Edward Fry, to Mr. Stuart Moore, to the Principal Librarian of the British Museum, to Mr. Walford Selby, Mr. Scargill Bird, and Mr. J. M. Thompson of the Record Office, for putting him on the track of early rolls; to Professor Thayer of Harvard and Mr. J. Round for valuable suggestions; to Mr. P. E. Dove, the Honorary Secretary of the Society, for ever ready assistance; and to Dr. Skeat for help in matters of etymology—help very generously given. He has further to confess to having had the incstimable advantage of seeing in manuscript some part of a book which it is to be hoped will very soon be before the public, a book in which Dr. Paul Vinogradoff of Moscow will deal at length with the English Manor as it was in the thirteenth and earlier centuries. He wishes to say therefore that though he has endeavoured to refrain from forestalling his friend and fellow labourer, he is well aware that about several points touched by the following Introductions, in particular about the privileges of the tenants on the ancient demesne, his opinions would hardly be what they are had he not enjoyed the good fortune of conversing with Dr. Vinogradoff and reading parts of his yet unpublished work.

# CONTENTS.

												PAGR
Preface	•	•	•								•	vii
TABLE OF	CONTE	NTS										ix
Introduc	TION											хi
PLEAS IN	THE C	OURT:	S OF	THE	MAN	ORS (	OF TE	te A	ввот	of I	3 <b>E</b> C	
(A.D.	1246-1	296)										8
PLEAS IN	THE C	OURT	OF 1	THE .	Авво	r or	Ram	SEY'S	Ho	NOUR	OF	
Brou	GHTON	(A.D.	1258	3, 12	98–5)	•			•	•		48
PLEAS IN	THE (	Cour	TS O	F TI	ie M	[anoi	es of	F TH	e Ai	вот	0F	
Rams	EY (A.D	. 127	8, 12	290)	•	•	•		•		•	86
PLEAS IN	THE C	OURT	OF 1	HE.	Авво	T OF	RAN	ISEY'	s M	NOR	OF	
King	's Ript	ои о	N TH	E A	CIEN	т Дв	MESN	E OF	THE	CRO	WN	
(A.D.	1288-1	308)	•		•					•		99
PLEAS IN	тне С	OURT	OF 7	THE A	Авво	r of	RAM	ISEY	IN TI	HE F	AIR	
of S.	Ives (	A.D.	1275)	١.								180
PLEAS IN												
Brigi	ITWALT	MAI	(A.D.	1298	B-6)			•				161
PLEAS IN	тне Со	URTS	o F	THE	Авве	38 O	r Ro	MSEY	's II	UNDR	ED	
of W	HORWEI	SDOV	VN A	ND M	IANOI	OF	Лѕит	ON (	A.D. 1	l <b>262</b> )	•	176
GLOSSARY												185
INDEX OF	MATTE	RS										189
INDEX OF												193

-	

## INTRODUCTION.

Turning now for a while from the records of the king's Records of courts to the records of the local courts, the Society is courts. putting the sickle into an abundant harvest and one that will not easily be reaped.

How best to garner the great mass of information con-Proposals tained in the manorial rolls so as to render it available for students of legal history is a grave question. More than one course should be pursued. In the first place it would be well to pick out a few selected manors, to select them because they have unusually complete and continuous records, and then to print those records in full. There are several difficult problems that could only be solved by such a procedure; in particular there is the great problem of customary tenure—How far was it really precarious, how far was 'the will of the lord' tempered or controlled by 'the custom of the manor'? Only by watching some group of manors decade by decade and year by year shall we be able to give this question its full answer, for these rolls are taciturn, they do not easily yield up their testimony, but must be examined and cross-examined. They state no general doctrines as to the heritability or alienability of the customary tenements, and only by a careful comparison of the names of tenants, the amounts of the fines on admittance and other small details shall we obtain secure information as to the true and practical nature of the tenure. A few sets of rolls completely printed beginning in the thirteenth and ending in, let us say, the sixteenth century, would be of inestimable value, especially if they began with surveys or 'extents' and ended with maps.

The work of editing such rolls would be best done by one who had not only plenty of leisure but also an intimate knowledge of and a special interest in the villages with which he would have to deal. Another task which might be undertaken, and one which perhaps would fall more perfectly within the programme of the Selden Society, would be that of making a full calendar or abstract of all the very earliest rolls. A calendar or abstract made in English, but giving the original text of all entries of critical importance, would probably be enough, for of course the rolls contain a great deal that is 'common form' and a volume which gives both text and translation covers but little ground.

Plan of this

But on the present occasion and by way of first experiment neither of these courses has been taken. The idea which has governed the making of this book is that of fairly representing some early and typical rolls of several very different kinds, the rolls of ordinary manorial courts, the rolls of a great honour, the rolls of a court on the royal demesne, the rolls of an ancient hundred court fallen into private hands, the rolls of the court of a fair. In carrying out this idea of a just representation it has been necessary to print some matter which in itself is dull and monotonous; a book full of curiosities would be a very unfair representative of what went on in the local courts. We cannot form a true notion of them unless we know how they did their ordinary work, and this we cannot know until we have mastered their common forms.

The practice of enrolling pleas; its history At present it would seem that half a century or thereabouts passed away before the local courts began to follow the example set by the royal tribunal and to put their proceedings into writing. We have secondary evidence that the Abbot of Ramsey had begun to keep court rolls as early as 1239, for a copy of some entries from a roll of that date is found in the cartulary of the Abbey and is already in print.\(^1\) At present the oldest specimen of a court roll that I have seen is one which belonged to the Abbot of Bec, and

<sup>1</sup> Cart. Bams. i. 423.

which now belongs to King's College, Cambridge; it begins in 1246. The rolls of Letcombe Regis which begin in 1267 were the oldest known to Sir Francis Palgrave. But it is very likely that there are yet older rolls in existence. It will be remembered that we are not now dealing with documents which ought by rights to be among the national archives, and that therefore no final or approximately final judgment as to the whereabouts of the earliest roll can be passed until, not only the Record Office and the British Museum, but also the libraries and muniment rooms of colleges and cathedrals and the other lords of manors have been thoroughly explored. Fortunate would it be if what we have just said would set such lords on proving that we have fixed too late a date for the beginning of the practice of enrolling manorial pleas and on vying with each other for the possession of the very most ancient roll. At present it must suffice that about the middle of the thirteenth century not a few of the great religious houses, the most prudent and methodical of landlords, were beginning to keep written record of what was done in their courts; but any extant series of rolls from that century is in general so discontinuous that we shall probably convey the right notion if we say that rolls of Edward I.'s time are rare and that rolls of Henry III.'s time are very rare.2

The appearance of court rolls is to all seeming connected The court with the economic movement which has given us our wealth 'extent.' of manorial 'extents.' About the middle of the century many of the abbeys and other provident landowners were taking stock of their possessions, reducing into black and white the complicated terms of the customary tenure and setting an exact value on every service due from their

wished it to be. In particular, the time that I had set apart for a visit to Oxford, where there is great store of rolls, was otherwise occupied. I have more than once heard of rolls of John's reign, but they have hitherto retreated before me; still it is quite possible that there are some in existence.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Public Record Office, Chapter House County Bags, Berks, No. 3. I have copied these rolls but cannot find room for them in this volume. Palgrave speaks of them in Ancient Kalen lars and Inventories (Rec. Com.), i. lxvi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> With great regret I have to say that my search for early rolls has not been nearly so thorough as I had

We can soon see that the court roll was primarily an economic document; its first object was not, as in modern times, to afford the villani and custumarii written evidence of their title—'tenure by copy of court roll' or even tenure by court roll had not as yet been conceived; nor was it mainly useful as a formal record of adjudicated litigation; rather it seems intended to serve as a check on the manorial officers; it tells the steward and the lord of the occasional profits of the manor, the fines, amercements and perquisites which are to be collected by the bailiff or the rceve; this is the original germ which expands and develops into a chronicle of all that happens in the court. A reader may be asked to have this in mind if he is dissatisfied by the meagre brevity of many of the entries here printed. He would like to know particulars of the offence for which some one is amerced, how it was proved, who delivered judgment, and many details of practice and procedure; but the lord cared for none of these things; enough for him that John Miller owed him sixpence and that Robert Smith and William Reeve were pledges for the payment. We are in good luck when we can compare a court roll with an extent; the one supplements the other; the extent tells us of the tenure and the status of the actors who appear on the court roll: the court roll shows us how great or how small is the influence which distinctions of tenure and of status have on the behaviour of suitors and litigants. extent displays the manor at rest, the court roll the manor in motion; the one is statical, the other dynamical.

The court roll and the lailiff's accounts. There is another class of documents besides the 'extents' with which the court rolls are connected, but from which they must be distinguished, namely, the accounts of the manorial officers. There is in existence, for example, a splendid series of rolls containing the accounts of the manorial officers of the bishop of Winchester, a series which goes back to the episcopate of Peter des Roches and the year 1209. These rolls, worthy of a great prince, seem to

'In the keeping of the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, No. 159,270. I have to thank Mr. Bennett of South Cadbury Rectory for kindly informing me of the existence of these rolls.

be modelled on the royal Pipe Rolls; they record the proceedings of great annual audits at which the reeves of the various manors accounted in just the same fashion as that in which the king's sheriffs accounted to the Exchequer. These accounts are extremely full and contain many items due to the action of the manorial courts, such as fines and amercements. Still they are not court rolls. The form that they take is that of stating, not that A is amerced, but that the reeve of the manor renders an account of, among other items, A's amercement. Most valuable though they are, they do not fall within the scope of this book.

The courts with which we have to deal differ so much from each other in their nature and their powers that it has seemed best to preface our extracts from each particular set of rolls by a few notes about the court to which they belong. Here by way of a more general introduction it may be permitted us to raise certain questions suggested by the rolls. These questions shall be as to some general principles which regulated the existence, jurisdiction and procedure of the local courts in the latter half of the thirteenth century—that is to say, at the first moment at which we get much information about them.

The courts then known to the English law, if we leave out of account the king's courts and the courts christian, seem to fall into three classes, which we may call respectively (1) communal, (2) municipal, and (3) seignorial. The first class includes the county courts and such of the hundred courts as had not passed into private hands. I have not as yet seen any rolls belonging to such courts, and according to a common opinion they kept no written records. That opinion however seems disputable, and on some future occasion we may have the good luck to find the rolls of a county court.\footnote{1} Courts of

Classifica

When a hundred court was in private hands, its proceedings were recorded. Surely the sheriff had every reason to keep rolls for those courts which were in his hands. Such rolls however would not serve as

family title-deeds, and therefore may not have been carefully preserved. We might thus explain why none are forthcoming without supposing that none ever existed.

The Burton Cartulary, edited by

the second or municipal class might be well represented by some rolls of Edward I.'s reign, if not of Henry III.'s; but it has been thought desirable to postpone for some future volume the task of showing what was done in the chartered cities and boroughs and to confine our attention to the open country. We are then to deal with courts which may be called seignorial: they are courts which have lords; we will speak of them as they were at the end of the thirteenth century.

I

Classification of seignorial courts.

It is very desirable that we should fix some date for our survey, and so far as may be use only those terms which were current at that date; otherwise we shall be guilty of anachronisms. For example, when we take up a roll of the thirteenth century we must not at once insist on an answer to the question, Is the court whose work is now before us, a court leet, or a court baron, or a customary court of a manor? Before so doing we ought to be satisfied that these terms were in use among those for whose behoof our document was originally written. Now the Selden Society is as yet too young to allow of our speaking very positively on this matter; but it may well be doubted whether any one of these three terms was in common use even at the end of the thirteenth century. The word 'leet' seems to have been confined almost, if not altogether, to a district in the east of England.' We have in the Hundred Rolls and the Placita de Quo Warranto an enormous number of entries which most undoubtedly relate to the jurisdiction which in later days was the jurisdiction of the leets; but, if I mistake not, the word itself is hardly, if ever, used out of Norfolk. The term 'customary court,' curia custumaria, curia consuetudinaria, I have not vet seen. As to 'court baron,' there is more to be said. Doubtless according to its etymology it means no more than 'the

cal terms are modern.

Salt proceedings.
that 'See note A at the end of this ord-Introduction.

General Wrottesley for the Salt Society, p. 85, gives evidence that in 1280 the county court of Staffordshire kept a written record of its

lord's court,' the court of the baron, curia baronis, curia domini, la court de seignur, and certainly in the thirteenth century it was common enough to speak of the proprietary courts generically as curiae baronum, curiae dominorum, curiae magnatum Angliae, les courtz des seignurs, and to say, for example, that a writ of right must be brought in curia domini, en la court de seignur; 1 but such a phrase as 'a court baron' which marks off one species of proprietary courts from other species, such phrases as we find in the later Year Books, 'il avoit une court baron,' 2 'en court baron de J. T.,'3 will I believe be looked for in vain. Usually one of these proprietary courts describes itself on its rolls merely as being the court of a certain person, or the court of a certain place, the Court of the Abbot of Ramsey, the Court of Broughton, the Court of the Abbot of Ramsey at Broughton, or the like. Occasionally we find more distinctive terms: for example, curia libera Abbatis de Gloucestria, curia villanorum, halimotum, visus franci plegii; of these hereafter.

It would seem that to a definite classification of courts the legal theory of the time had not attained. But at latest by the beginning of Edward I.'s reign it had attained to a definite classification of jurisdictions or of jurisdictional rights. These it divided into two classes. On the one hand there were the franchises and regalities (libertates, regalia) which, at all events according to the opinion of the king and his lawyers, could only exist in the hands of a subject by virtue of a grant from the crown:—if a subject had them he had them as the king's delegate. On the other hand there was jurisdiction involved in the mere possession of a manor, or in the mere fact of having tenants. The question which was constantly raised, raised throughout the length and breadth of the land by the rigorous 'quo warranto' inquiry of Edward I., was not 'What courts has this lord by rights -has he a court leet as well as a court baron?' but 'What powers has his court—has he any of the regalia, has

Classification of jurisdictions.

Regulities and feuda

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See e.g. Bract. f. 329-30; Britton, ii. 326-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Y. B. 1 Ed. IV. f. 10, Mich. pl. 19. <sup>3</sup> Y. B. 6 Ed. IV. f. 3, Mich. pl. 9.

he view of frank-pledge, the assize of bread and beer, has he infangthief, or has he merely those rights which flow from tenure?' This should be observed, for it has an important bearing on the procedure of the courts. We find, for example, that a number of petty offences are presented and those guilty of them are amerced; some of these offences are merely manorial: the customary tenants have shirked their boon-works or done their ploughing badly; but others of these offences are petty misdemeanours against the general law of the realm: this man has committed an assault, that man is not in frank-pledge, this woman has broken the assize of beer, that woman has made too free a use of the English for 'meretrix'; but all seem to be dealt with indiscriminately and by one procedure. The court which had been enforcing the custom of the manor did not become some other court when it turned to punish breaches of the peace or to adjudicate upon actions of debt between the tenants; a lawyer might analyse its powers, might insist that some were royal franchises while others were not, but all its powers whatever they might be were used in the mass and apparently with little thought as to the various titles by which they had been acquired. This indiscriminateness may help us to some inferences about the past. It is as we move towards modern times that a distinction between courts of various kinds becomes apparent; there is the court leet, the police court, exercising royal franchises, a court of record, in which, since it is the king's, jurors shall swear that they will keep the king's counsel and proclamation shall be made with a triple 'Oyez!'; suit to it is 'suit royal'; on the other hand is the court baron, a civil court, a court not of record, where no mention shall be made of the king's counsel and where only a single 'Oyez!' is permissible; suit to it is 'suit service.' We may strongly suspect that even to the very last these lines of demarcation were habitually disregarded in practice. In the six-

Coke, Reports, viii. 38 a, xi. 43 b.
 For these significant little points
 see Kitchin, Courts, 6 b, 7.

teenth century the court at Manchester, whose records are now being printed, seems to have been court leet and court baron all in one; the same jurors present that A has made an affray on B, that B is the heir of C and ought to pay relief, that D's yard is in a filthy state. But if we move backwards, even the theoretical differences become less obvious; we find (if I may so speak) a difference between functions instead of a difference between organs, and, whatever the king's lawyers may say, royal and patrimonial powers are but little distinguished by those who exercise both. It is the stringent 'quo-warranto-ing' which gradually brings out distinctions. In Edward I.'s time we can see that a court exercising these different functions is just coming to be regarded as two different courts. A prior has a charter which gives him 'sak, sok, toll, theam et infangenthef'; he is told that these terms have reference to a court baron and not to view of frank-pledge—que quidem verba habent referri ad cur' baron' et non ad visum franci plegii.2 It is clear that libera curia non est libertas nec regale 3; it is one thing to have liberam curiam and another to have visum franci plegii.

The term libera curia occurs pretty frequently; a lord Pree court is entitled to hold his libera curia though he has no fran-mote. chises or regalities; thus it is opposed to the view of frankpledge. Perhaps this term may sometimes mark another distinction; perhaps the free court is a court of freeholders as contrasted with a court of customary tenants. This may seem so when we find that the Abbot of Gloucester has a libera curia at Gloucester for all his greater freehold tenants, while on each of his manors he holds a halimotum. But we must not be quick to draw this inference; if a lord says that he has liberam curiam, he also says that he has liberas furcas,5 which does not mean a gallows for freeholders

<sup>1</sup> Manchester Court Leet Records,

e.g. i. 164. P. Q. W. 245. This is the one instance in which I have seen curia baronis in such a context that one naturally translates it by 'a court baron.' Compare Y. B. 21 and 22

E. I. p. 108, 'yl ne dut respundre a curt de baron de purpresture presente a la lettre [sic] ky est plus aut court. See also Britton, i. 135.

P. Q. W. 313. P. Q. W. 895.

<sup>•</sup> P. Q. W. 765.

but merely signifies that the gallows is all his own, and that no one else must interfere with his thieves:—in the middle ages liberty and property are closely connected ideas. Halimotum again is a common term for a manorial court; but a halimotum may certainly be attended by both free-holders and customary tenants.<sup>1</sup> The deep question how far the two classes of tenants were separated in the manorial courts must stand over for the present. I have seen a court calling itself curia militum,<sup>2</sup> a court of knights, and another which was curia villanorum,<sup>3</sup> a court of villans; curia baronum I have never seen nor do I know of any evidence that the freeholders of a manor were ever dignified by the title barones.

Past history of regalities and feudal rights.

Though the line between these two kinds of jurisdiction was well understood in Edward I.'s day we may doubt whether it had been observed in the past. We learn with certainty from the published Placita de Quo Warranto, which extend over a great part of Edward's reign, that very many of the lords, who as a matter of fact were exercising what the king and his lawyers regarded as royal rights, had no warrant for so doing save ancient seisin. This is true especially of the lay lords; but even the prelates had often no scrap of parchment which would suffice to support their claims. And here it is necessary to observe that if the great Edward in his efforts to reclaim his regalia did not suffer a decisive defeat, this was because, like a prudent bargainer, he began by demanding more than he hoped to get. When at the beginning of his reign he sent out his justices and his pleaders to recover his rights, the doctrine that he asserted was a doctrine which would have deprived a vast number of the lords of the powers that they were exercising-namely, that the only possible warrant for the exercise of royal rights is an express royal grant, and further that in the grantee's hands such rights are inalienable.4 On this ground franchise after franchise was

Prescription for regalities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Note B at the end of this Introduction.

The Earl of Essex's court at Easter in Essex. Rec. Off., Duchy

of Lane., Bundle 62, No. 758.

Brit. Mus. Add. Chart. 32,609.
Court of Wartling in Sussex.

<sup>4</sup> Strong statements of this theory

challenged. But the king did not proceed to extremities; after keeping judgment in suspense for several years he consented to a compromise. In 1290 he conceded that continuous seisin from before the coronation of Richard I. should be an answer to the inquiry quo warranto. The practical difference between this rule and the theory which he had originally asserted must have been enormous; many a jurisdiction was thus saved, for the jurors readily swore that it had been exercised from time immemorial, and as a matter of fact it is far from plain that Edward succeeded in destroying any considerable number of jurisdictions. He succeeded however in defining the regalia and in laying down a law for after times; he could not cancel the past, but he could provide for the future; there were to be no further usurpations; no one was to suppose that because he had a manor, therefore he had some penal or correctional jurisdiction over such of his tenants as were personally free.1

A study of these Placita de Quo Warranto seems to show that the minor franchises had been so systematically and universally usurped that we may be led to doubt whether in the past they had been regarded as regalia, and whether the act of assuming them had been regarded as wrongful. Let us take a group of townships near Cambridge which were subjected to the Quo Warranto inquiry in 1299. At Foulmire, Giles de Plaiz has view of frankpledge; at Shepreth and Barrington, the Abbess of Chatteris; at Haslingfield, the Abbot of York; at Caxton, Bourne and Granchester, the Prior of St. Neot's; at Granchester, William of Sengham; at Thriplow, Nicholas of Barrington; at Girton and Trumpington, Giles of Trumpington; at Cottenham, the Abbot of Croyland; in each of these cases the right is claimed by prescription, and in every case save one the claim is successful, the jurors testi-

Assumption of regulia had been

are frequent in P. Q. W; see e.g. p. 86, Ralph Pipard's case. The doctrine that one cannot prescribe for royal franchises is found in Bracton, f. 56.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; See Note C at the end of this Introduction.

fying to seisin from time immemorial. Whatever may have been the law, the fact seems to have been that a vast number of the lords of manors had in some way or another become possessed of the two jurisdictions known as the view of frank-pledge and the assize of beer; sometimes they exercised these in the presence of a royal bailiff, more frequently they excluded him; sometimes they paid to the sheriff a small sum in respect of these franchises, more frequently they paid nothing. Yet it is quite rare to find in a royal charter any express grant of the view of frankpledge, and when it is granted the charter usually provides for the presence of the king's bailiff.2 The king's pleaders always asserted, and apparently with success, that in a charter no words would serve to convey this jurisdiction save visus franci plegii; but as a matter of fact not one in ten of the lords who exercised it had any such term in his charter, and many of them had charters which made no mention of any franchises at all. As to the assize of beer, the lords of Northumberland, Cumberland, Yorkshire, and Lincolnshire pleaded that all lords, or all freeholders, had it by the common custom of their counties.3

Grants of sak and sok; their interpretation. Another curious indication of a past history may be found in the fact that according to the law of Edward I.'s day no franchise whatever was conferred by those ancient and troublesome words so common in charters of the eleventh and twelfth centuries, sak, sok, toll, team. Varying explanations were given of their meaning, but the usual interpretation of them seems to have been this: sok is the right to hold a court for one's tenants, the right to the libera curia; sak, the right to the amercements arising from such a court; toll, the right to tallage one's villans; team, the right to the progeny, the brood, the team, of one's

that the king's bailiff should receive a shilling or the like out of the profits of the view. Where there is manor above manor it is often the superior lord who has the view.

<sup>3</sup> P. Q. W. 125 6, 189, 191-2-8-6, 220, 226, 417, 599.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> P. Q. W. 99 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See e.g. the charter of the Bishop of Salisbury, Rot. Cart. 67, of the Bishop of London, P. Q. W. 475, of the Prior of Norwich, P. Q. W. 487, of the Abbot of Ramsey, P. Q. W. 10; all of these are due to King John. In Norfolk it was common

villans.' We may be quite certain that one at least of these words, namely team, had been twisted from its original meaning, and on all of them a minimising interpretation seems to have been set.2 In short, it was held that they did nothing; that they were like those 'general words' common in the conveyances of later times—that is, that they would convey no right that would not have passed without them; they did but describe the feudal or manorial jurisdiction and conferred no regality, no, not even the view of frank-pledge. If there was any old English word that would confer this last it was frithsoken.3 An interpretation which makes these terms nugatory is one which the student of Domesday Book and the charters of the Norman kings will probably reject. Lords had sought the Norman kings for charters merely giving or confirming to them their sak and sok.4 They meant something by this, something that only the king could bestow, and it seems plain that when Domesday was compiled, and even at the beginning of the twelfth century, sak and sok, whatever they meant, meant a jurisdiction that was not involved in the mere possession of a manerium.<sup>5</sup> But then what, if any,

'Exposiciones vocabulorum, as they were called, exist in great abundance; many are still in MS. Leg. Edw. Conf. c. 22 gives an early one; Hoveden, ii. 242, gives us another from the end of the twelfth century; then see Fleta, f. 62; Keilway's Reports (temp. Edw. III.), 145; Registrum Malmesburiense, i. 324; Chron. Abingdon. ii. 282; P. Q. W. 275, 511; also P. Q. W. 245, 'sak, sok, toll et theam et infangthief, que quidem verba habent referri ad cur' baron' et non ad visum franci plegii'; Keilway, 150 b, 'chescun seignior de commen droit avera tiels choses.'

2 Apparently the right conveyed by team should be a right to hold a court into which persons may be vouched as warrantors, and the earliest glossarists understand this; but it is soon misunderstood. Schmid, Gesetže, Glossar, gives' teâm originally deductio, hence soboles, proles; in legal language, advocatio ad warrantum, productio auctoris.' Toll again is sometimes the right to take toll, sometimes the right to be quit of toll, both of which rights are royal franchises; but it soon becomes the right to tallage one's villans, a common law right.

Abbot of Colchester's case, P. Q. W. 235; 'frythsokene, fraunchisede fraunkplegge' ibid. 275. The word appears in Domesday as frisoka, frigsoca, frigesoca, D. B. i. 340, 357 b, 368 b; may not this be the source of the troublesome sithessocna or sipessocna in Leg. Hen. 6, § 1?

<sup>4</sup> See e.g. the St. Albans charters in Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vi. 35, 38, 40; the Abingdon charters in Chron. Abingd. ii. 17, 89; the Ramsey charters, Chron. Rames. 205, 206, 208, 209, 214.

<sup>5</sup> See in particular D. B, i. 11 b; the Abbot of Battle holds the manor of Wye; it is worth £100, but would jurisdiction was involved in the possession of a manerium? That is one of the unsolved riddles of Domesday.

Retrospect.

To whatever quarter we look the law seems to be emerging into clearness out of a confused and contentious past. The courts are drawing a line between franchises and feudal rights; but it is no easy task, and violence must be done to the facts and the theories of former times. The view of frank-pledge, now an undoubted regality, the lords have taken to themselves wholesale; the assize of beer they claim as of common right. It is difficult to believe that their usurpations have always been deemed usurpations; surely it was not merely the duty but the interest of the sheriffs to withstand such encroachments, to keep as much jurisdiction as possible in their own and their master's hands; though perhaps at times they took upon themselves to sell immunities for ready money. On the other hand the king's pleaders have grand notions of royalty:—These old words in the charters, these mean nothing or next to nothing; show us 'visus franci plegii' in your charter or give up exercising the right; Nullum tempus occurrit Regi.1 We hear yet stronger and stranger assertions:-these charters of Offa, of Edgar, of the Confessor are worthless; 2 the Conquest put all jurisdiction into the hands of the Conqueror; nay every grant of a franchise may be revoked if it has not been confirmed by the now reigning king.4 The development of law has not been a quiet, orderly process of pure reason; it has been a struggle, sometimes a scramble. Even now the Earl of Warenne when asked for his title deeds produces a rusty sword.5

The franchises were of many various kinds and orders

be worth £20 more if he had 'sacas et socas.' Leg. Hen. Prim. 19: 'nec sequitur socna regis data maneria sed magis ex personis.' Bracton, f. 56.

conquestu Anglie omnes hujusmodi libertates, jurisdicciones et alia que sunt ad tuicionem populi corone regis annexe fuerunt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Keilway (temp. Edw. III.), 148 b. 'le Roy Edgar fuit devant le conquest, et par le conquest touts franchises fueront devolutes al mains le

roy'; but this argument is abandoned.
P. Q. W., e g. 259, 303; 'quia in

P. Q. W. 305; predicte concessiones per dominum regem nunc non sunt confirmate per quod competit accio domino regi ad omnes libertates revocandas que a corona sua sunt separate.' Ibid. 306.

\* Stubbs, Const. Hist. ii. 110.

ranging from those of the palatine earl to those of the lord Nature of of some petty manor who could look for nothing higher ties. than view of frank-pledge, waif and stray. The English courts never came to a classification of those franchises similar to that which obtained in France—haute, moyenne et basse justice, and the English lords who could have aspired to the title seigneurs hauts justiciers were not many. But still it would be easy for us to underrate the number and importance of the liberties of a high order. Without attempting an enumeration of them, we may draw some distinctions. In the first place we may mark off the class of immunities; the lord's men are exempted from doing suit at the hundred and county courts and the sheriff's tourn; they do not contribute to the sheriff's aid or to the fines imposed on the shire or the hundred; they do not pay toll. Then there are justiciary powers; frequently the lord has infangthief, more rarely utfangthief also; sometimes he may hear in his court the placita de vetito namii, pleas of replevin, which pleas are reckoned as royal seemingly because they imply a breach of the peace; 2 a few lords hold all pleas of the crown and have their own coroners; thus within the banlieu of his Abbey the Abbot of Ramsey was a true seigneur haut justicier.3 From these again we might distinguish cases in which the lord without doing the justice himself has a right to have it done by the royal officers for his profit and convenience: thus the Prior of Dunstable compels the king's justices in eyre to come and sit at Dunstable and sits there with them and hears himself called 'Sir Prior justice of our lord the king'; 4 and so the judges have to go to Knaresborough

for the convenience of the Earl of Cornwall,5 to Beverley

invented in John's time, Y. B. 30 and 31 E. I. p. 222; its invention is ascribed to Glanvill by the Mirror,

1 The importance attached to the

privilege of hanging one's own

thieves is well illustrated by a lively story about how the Abbot of c. 2, sec. 26. Tewkesbury succeeded with infinite pains in hanging John Milksop; Ann. Tewk. 511.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Bracton, 155 b. This form of action was regarded as new, invented since Henry II.'s time, P. Q. W. 232;

P. Q. W. 305; Chron. Rams. 214.
 P. Q. W. 72; Ann. Dunstap. 54, 108, 130, 193; throughout these Annals much attention is given to this dearly prized privilege.
P. Q. W. 212.

and to Ripon for that of the Archbishop of York, to Clifton for that of the Abbot of Kirkstall, to Tunbridge, to Battle; 4 again, when the lord's men are amerced in the king's courts, the lord gets the money, when they are adjudged to death in the king's courts they hang on the seignorial gallows; and then the lord has 'the return of writs' and this keeps the sheriff out of his territory. In our eyes a less mischievous kind of franchise and one which probably did much towards helping forward the centralisation of justice consisted of the privilege of being impleaded in no court but the king's; this was possessed by the Templars and Hospitallers and by several prelates and made a great rent in the scheme of feudal justice. Then a large number of the old hundred courts had passed into private hands, and the lord of the court thus acquired a jurisdiction over territory of which he was by no means necessarily the land-This process seems to have gone far even in the days before the Norman Conquest. Domesday shows us how seven of the twelve hundreds of Worcestershire were already beyond the sheriff's control, how the hundred of Oswaldslow belonged to the church of Worcester.6 In later days the Abbot of St. Albans claimed a hundred by gift of Offa,7 the Abbot of Ramsey claimed one by gift of Edgar; the Abbot of Abingdon one by gift of the Confessor; 9 Edgar, it was said, had given eight hundreds to Peterborough,10 the Confessor had given eight and a half to Bury St. Edmunds." True that the charters which the abbots produced would seldom pass muster in the eyes of the modern diplomatist, and the ethics of monastic forgery are an obscure topic; still we seem bound to believe that a marked distinction was made in the scriptorium between forging in support of traditional truth and forging falsehoods.12 On the great

```
P. Q. W. 221.
P. Q. W. 223.
P. Q. W. 348.
P. Q. W. 364.
Instances in the printed Rot.
Cart. are not uncommon; see in particular the Portsmouth charter at p. 77. See also Brunner, Entstehung der Schwurgerichte, 243.
```

```
409.

D. B. i. 172, 172 b.
P. Q. W. 288.
R. H. i. 458.
Chron. Abingdon. i. 465.
P. Q. W. 551-3; Keilway's Rep.
143 b.
R. H. ii. 143.
```

day at Pennenden Heath Lanfranc deraigned franchises and immunities far more extensive than those for which his successor Langton had to pay a heavy sum under Henry III.1 For some of the largest and most notable liberties in England the lords relied on Anglo-Saxon charters or on prescription; the Bishop of Durham spoke of Egfrith, the Archbishop of York received his gallows from Ethelstan, prescribed to coin money and could not or would not show anything beyond long seisin in support of many of the famous privileges of Ripon and Beverley.5 Lastly (to return from this slight digression) there were the small royal casualties, treasure trove, waif, estray, wreck of the sea, kingly fishes, and the like; also there were fairs and markets, forest, chase, and warren.

#### II.

But to the student of manorial rolls by far the most History of interesting franchise is the 'court leet or view of frankpledge,' because it is very common, because it has great importance in the history of society, because its origin is extremely obscure: so obscure that we may be rash in speaking about it; still a little may be ventured.

In the sixteenth century the institution can no longer be described as flourishing; the growth of the commission of the peace has drawn away its life; still the leet is holden and does business. It is a royal police court coordinate with the sheriff's tourn; the leet is for 'the franchise' what the tourn is for 'the geldable'; in the one the lord's steward is judge, in the other the sheriff. In both the business is transacted by means of presentments and indictments preferred by a jury. A presentment

lect in their

medieval forger has lately been reprehended by Dr. Brunner, Die Constantinische Schenkungsurkunde, 34. 'The Pennenden case is in Wilkins, Concil. i. 323, and Essays in A. S. Law, 369; 'Rex Anglorum

nullas consuetudines habet in omni-

bus terris Cantuariensis episcopi, nisi solummodo tres 'etc. Compare with this Bracton's Note Book, pl. 277.

- P. Q. W. 187.
  P. Q. W. 197.
  P. Q. W. 198.
  P. Q. W. 221-8.

or indictment of felony the court cannot try, it must be sent on elsewhere; presentments of trespasses and nuisances can be disposed of by the court; such presentments are untraversable if they are made by a jury of at least twelve and do not touch any question of freehold; the presented person is amerced there and then. What offences are presentable in leet and tourn is a question about which there is learning; to some extent it turns on the words of the apocryphal statute De Visu Franciplegii, the statutory character of which is asserted and denied; this document contains a list of the capitula or articles which are to be inquired of by the jurors.

The leet and frankpledge. It is still theoretical law that the jury ought to make presentment concerning all who are not in frank-pledge. But beyond this we do not see at first sight that the leet jury or tourn jury has any connexion with this obsolete institution. If however we look a little below the surface we see that, at least in some parts of the country, the jury is supposed to consist of the chief pledges (capitales plegii). A case illustrating this occurred in Coke's day and was 'very obscure and doubtful,' for, sighs Coke, 'Tempora mutantur.'

Procedure in the tourn

But, as we go backwards from this age, we begin to see an intimate connexion between these two institutions, the leet jury and frank-pledge. As regards names we have already remarked this; the term 'leet' disappears and is represented by 'view of frank-pledge'; indeed, to speak with extreme strictness the words 'leet' and 'tourn' were not even in Coke's day the most appropriate terms; the style of the leet was curia visus franci plegii tenta apud B. coram A.B. senescallo; that of the tourn was curia visus franci

1 This 'statute' is printed in the Commissioners' edition, i. 246, among the Statutes of Uncertain Date, along with some other miscellaneous documents which were at one time regarded as statutes of the last year of Edw. II. This was due simply to their being found in MSS, inserted between the Vetera Statuta which end with Edw. II. and the Nova Statuta which begin with Edw. III.,

like the Apocrypha between the two Testaments. The statutory character of some of them is often questioned in the Year Books; e.g. the statutory character of the articles in question is denied by Fairfax in Y. B. Mich. 22 Edw. IV. pl. 2, f. 23. See the discussion as to the Prerogativa Regis in Y. B. Mich. 15 Edw. IV. pl. 17, f. 11.

<sup>2</sup> Bullen's Case, 6 Coke Rep. 77 b.

plegii domini regis apud B. coram vicecomite in turno suo, and not turnum vicecomitis for turnum est nisi perambu-Latio.' In the thirteenth century to claim 'view of frankpledge' is to claim all that was afterwards known as the jurisdiction of a leet.<sup>2</sup> But, to pass from names to facts, we have two descriptions of the sheriff's tourn as it was near the end of the thirteenth century; the one is given us by Fleta, the other by Britton: Bracton unfortunately fails us. Fleta gives the articles of the tourn or view of frank-pledge and then makes clear to us that the persons who have got to make answer to those articles in the first instance are the chief pledges, the capitales plegii. But their presentments are not final; they are as it were material for presentments to be made by a jury of twelve free men who can reject these preliminary presentments of the chief pledges or supply omissions in them.3 Britton's account is substantially similar; the free landowners of the hundred are summoned and the first step is to cause twelve of them to swear that they will make presentment according to the articles. 'Afterwards the rest shall be sworn by dozens [i.e. by frank-pledges, the groups of ten or twelve 1 and by townships, that they will make lawful presentment to the first twelve jurors [i.e. the freeholders] upon the articles. . . . When the townships [les villeez] have given in their verdicts to the first jurors, and they are certified of the truth, let the first jurors go and deliver up their presentment to the sheriff.' 5

It will be seen that here we have a system of double system of presentment. The final presentments are made by twelve freeholders, but the material is provided in the first instance by the tithings, or the chief pledges, or the townships.

novem eum haberent ad rectum.' May not the word which Britton writes dozeine be formed from the Latin decena, or decenna, by the intermediation of such a form as deciona? I have seen decionarius for a tithing-man. In the Year Books both diseine and doseine occur.

Britton, i. p. 177-182.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Co. 4th Inst. 260, 265.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> P. Q. W. 249.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fleta, f. 113.

Britton writes dozeine, and the tithing may have been a tenth of the long hundred, and have thus consisted, not of ten, but of twelve men. But there is much evidence against this, e.g. Leg. Edw. Conf. 20, 'ita quod si unus ex decem forisfecerit,

Elsewhere we have plenty of evidence of the fact that the tourn was attended by the freeholders of the hundred and also by a class of representatives. We are a little perplexed however as to the mode of representation. Sometimes it would seem that the decennae were represented by their chief pledges, sometimes that the townships were represented each by its reeve and four men; sometimes again it would seem as if both modes of representation prevailed concurrently. The task of an investigator in this obscure region is much hampered by the fact that in parts of England, the southern counties, the 'tithing' is a geographical district coincident with the township, while in others it is the group of ten or a dozen men; there is the land of the territorial tithing and the land of the personal tithing. But it seems plain that whether the represented unit was tithing or township or both, the villagers, the peasantry, appeared in the tourn by their representatives, by the chief pledges or the reeve and four men. According to strict legal theory perhaps they could all be compelled to come in person; but our evidence shows that really they came by their representatives, and so gives us one more warning as to the extreme caution with which we should read medieval statements about 'all men,' or all men of a great class. One of the questions to be asked in the tourn was whether all the chief pledges are come, which seems to imply that each frank-pledge is sufficiently represented by its head.3 The duty of appearing seems to have been very generally com-

See Palgrave, Commonwealth, ii. exxi; Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. 86. In looking through the Hundred Rolls and Placita de Quo Warranto I have been much struck by the truth of the theory, that in the south of England the frank-pledge is territorial, in the midlands personal; and I am also inclined to subscribe the opinion that in the northernmost counties there was no frank-pledge at all; no lord claims to have view of frank-pledge.

On purpose I use vague words

such as 'villagers' and 'peasantry.' There is much to show that at least in the estimation of the greater folk the persons who were in frank-pledge and who were represented by the reeve and four men were properly described in the lump as villani, and in the Hundred Rolls, 'free man' and 'free holder' are constantly used as synonyms; but had it come to a de nativo habendo many of these villani might have proved that personally they were free.

Statutum de Visu Franciplegii,

muted for a small money payment, head-money, capitagium, chevagium, a sum paid by the frank-pledges ne vocentur per capita.1 In the Hundred Rolls and Placita de Quo Warranto we constantly read of such representation. There are many entries which show us the freeholders attending in person and the villagers by their representatives, and there is one which shows us the system of double presentment and so bears out the statements of Fleta and Britton: —the freeholders (libere tenentes) of Swavesey and four homines and the reeve go to the tourn and there the free men (liberi) shall swear and the four men and the reeve shall present defaults to the free men and the free men shall present them to the bailiffs.2

Now, with Britton's account before us, are we not com- The bourn pelled to see the origin of the sheriff's tourn in the Assize the Assize of the Assize of of Clarendon? This may be a new suggestion, but is it not true? Let us observe the words of the ordinance of 1166: -for the conservation of the peace and the doing of justice. the king ordains that in every county and in every hundred inquiry shall be made by twelve of the most lawful men of the hundred and by four of the most lawful men of every township concerning robbers, murderers and thieves and the receivers of such, and this inquiry the justices shall make before themselves and the sheriffs before themselves. The sheriffs, then, of the thirteenth century are doing just what they are bidden to do; they are making inquiry in each hundred by means of the oath of twelve hundredors

(Stat. of the Realm, i. 246); Britton, i. 181; Fleta, f. 112.

' Capitales plegii et eorum decene nichil dant ad capitagium; ideo vocandi sunt omnes per capita'; Roll of Manor of Houghton, Augment. Off. P. 34, No. 46, m. 4 d.

R. H. ii. 469. Ib. i. 101,

(Dorset), suit to the tourn by twelve liberi of the hundred and four men and the tithingman of every tithing; ib. i. 141 (Essex), by four villani and the reeve; ib. i. 154 (Essex), by the liberi homines and four homines and the reeve; ib. i. 100 (Salop), by all the liberi and by four homines

and the reeve. In the southern counties it is often the decenna that does suit by four men and the tithingman. In P. Q. W. 254, we find at court the reeve, four men and chief pledges. Or again the communitas ville does suit by its tithingman, P. Q. W. 293. The lord is asked whether his chief pledges or four men and the reeve go to the tourn, P. Q. W. 10. Presentments in the tourn are made by the capitales decennarii, P. Q. W. 88. See a curious case of suit by three rudmanni, P. Q. W. 780.

and by means of the presentments of four men from every township. In accordance with the charter of 1217 they perambulate the hundreds but twice in the year, and it is no longer permissible for them to try those who are presented as felons, for no sheriff may hold pleas of the crown; but they still receive presentments made in the manner ordained by Henry II. It may be urged that they do much more than is prescribed by the Assize; if they receive presentments of robbery, murder, theft, they also (and this has perhaps become the most important part of their business) receive presentments about and finally adjudicate upon many minor offences, nuisances, purprestures, scuffles, and the like. But the same remark will apply to the justices in eyre; in Henry II.'s day they are to inquire of robbers, murderers, and thieves; by the end of Henry III.'s day the articles of the eyre have become very numerous and detailed. May we not infer that the articles of the tourn, like the articles of the eyre, have received addition from time to time at the hands of the king and his council, or at the hands of his delegates?

Articles of the tourn. We have in easily accessible places five different sets of articles of the tourn or of the view of frank-pledge. A set for Wales is contained in the Statutum Walliae (1284), another set is given by Fleta¹ (circ. 1290), another by Britton² (circ. 1290), another in Horne's Mirror² (temp. Edw. I. or Edw. II.), and another in the apocryphal undated statute mentioned above. It is a curious fact that though these five documents agree in most points of substance, they are none the less five different documents; they give the articles in very different order, and it is difficult to thread them together by any theory of development.⁴ Perhaps the sheriffs were allowed a free hand in settling the articles under the guidance of the general idea

- 1 Pleta, f. 112.
- \* Britton, i. 177.
- <sup>9</sup> Mirror, c. 1, § 17.

homicide in the apocryphal 'statute,' an omission which I strongly suspect to have been accidental, for our four other authorities are clear the other way. In 1367 it was already understood that homicide was not presentable, Lib. Ass. f. 256, pl. 30.

In the later middle ages it was established that the leet could not receive a presentment of homicide; this seems due to an omission of

that whatever was against the king's peace was presentable at the tourn. Be this as it may, the constitution and procedure of the court that the sheriff holds are the constitution and procedure of the court ordained by the Assize of 1166. And in this context it may be remarked that according to the verdict of a Lancashire jury the sheriff of their county never held a tourn until after Magna Carta was granted 1 and that there was no tourn in Northumberland even in Edward I.'s day.2

Next we may notice how easy it was that the procedure The Assize instituted by the Assize should become implicated with the institution of frank-pledge. Already in the Leges Henrici Primi (cap. 8) we find the sheriff holding twice a year a tion. specially full hundred court to see that all are in frankpledge. We also find (cap. 7) that a lord may send the priest, reeve and four men to represent him in the county court and the hundred court in case neither he nor his steward can be present. In the days of Henry I., therefore, (for we may attribute these 'Leges' to his reign), the chief pledges must have attended the hundred court twice a year, and the reeve and four men may often have been there to represent their lord. As yet however there is no talk of any presentment of offences, of any communal accusation. Then upon this state of things is superimposed the procedure of the Assize, which requires the representation of the townships by their four best men. A certain confusion and interpenetration of the two representative systems would be a very natural result; the decenna is represented by its chief pledge, the township by its reeve and four men; but then in a great part of England the decenna is the township. So it will not surprise us that while according to Britton the presentments are made in the first instance by the townships (les villeez), Fleta says that they are made by the capitales plegii; the two accounts may represent local varieties of practice. In Wales there was no frank-pledge and in the Statutum Walliae which established

<sup>1</sup> P. Q. W. 371.

the sheriff's tourn in the principality we find no representation of the peasantry; a jury of twelve freeholders is to be sworn to make presentments and then all the men of the commote are to be sworn to make presentments to these jurors. We may suspect that if in later days we hear nothing of a system of double presentment in the tourn, this is due to the decay of the frank-pledge.

The private lect an imitation of the tourn.

We turn from the sheriff's court to the private courts. Now when we take up a roll of the fourteenth or any later century belonging to a court which has the leet jurisdiction, it is common to find as the first entry under any date, the names of the jurors; commonly there are twelve names, sometimes more, sometimes fewer; on this follow the presentments of these jurors. The same is occasionally the case in rolls of the thirteenth century and often there is nothing on the face of the roll to connect these jurors with the institution of frank-pledge; sometimes however we find that they are the chief pledges; the juratores are capitales plegii jurati. This is well seen for instance in the Rolls of the City of Norwich which begin in 1288.1 The city was divided into four leets; for each leet a jury appeared and made presentments, and it is clear that the jurors were the capital pledges of the decennae. Then, however, as we pursue our retrogressive course we come across many rolls which show no trace of a formally empanelled jury. Presentments dealing with police affairs, such affairs as belonged to the leets of later times, are made; but they are said to be made by the capitales plegii, or by a tithing, or by a tithingman; sometimes each tithingman comes separately, makes his presentments and offers to prove (offert probare) that he has nothing more to present.

The leet jurors and the chief pledges. A strong light is thrown upon the situation by an argument repeatedly urged by the king's pleaders when pressing

and Norwich Archeological Society, brought out this fact about the chief pledges in a paper read before the Society in 1887, with a report of which he has supplied me.

¹ The rolls are in the possession of the Corporation of Norwich. The Rev. W. Hudson, who very kindly inroduced me to them, who knows them thoroughly and will, I hope, see them into print for the Norfolk

the inquiry quo warranto:—'You have no business to be receiving presentments in your court, because you have not got twelve complete tithings; you have not got twelve chief pledges and no one ought to be punished save on the oath of twelve men.' To take one instance:—in Bedfordshire the Master of the Templars confesses that in some of the vills in which he holds a view of frank-pledge he has but four decennarii, in others five, in others six at most. Gilbert of Thornton, the king's advocate, says that the Master's claim is bad 'since he has not enough chief pledges (decennarii capitales) to do judgment on any malefactor; for the custom of England is that everyone shall be judged on the oath of twelve; besides he has to make up his tithings by collecting them out of various vills and he has only two tenants in this vill, three or four in that.' A similar argument is used in Edward III.'s day. We have this conversation:— Judge.—'How are presentments made in your leet?' Counsel.—'By the reeve and two men of the tithing (del dosen).' Judge.—'Divers things are presentable in a leet which bind the inheritance, as a purpresture on the highway or the like, to which a man shall have a traverse, and so presentment of these things by the reeve and two men is against law.' 2 The same judge however elsewhere admits that in some districts twelve dosiners present the articles of the view, in other districts but two or three according to the usage of the country.3 Some learning collected round this point which we must not here explore, but even when the presentment was of felony the necessity for a jury of twelve seems to have been regarded as of statutory origin.4 The Statute of 1285 required that indictments in the tourn or the court of a franchise shall be sworn by twelve at the least. Probably this statute had a great deal to do with fixing for later times the form of 'the leet jury.' In 1367

(outsider) with less than twelve free men.

P. Q. W. 5; see also the other cases on pp. 5, 6, 7. A similar doctrine is propounded in Riley's Munimenta Gildhallae, i. 116, where Richard Heriet, a judge of John's reign, is made to say that no man may hold a court for a free stranger

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Keilway, Rep. 141.

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid. 148.

<sup>4</sup> Y. B. Hil. 6 Hen. IV. pl. 4, f.

Stat. West. II. c. 13.

presentments at the leet are still made by the chief pledges.1

Conjectural history of lect jury.

From the point of view that we have now attained some inferences seem possible. Whether the institution of frankpledge belongs to the days before the Conquest or is the creature of Norman government we need not inquire; that it was in existence before the coronation of Henry II. there can be little or no doubt; but nowhere in our earliest accounts of it do we find that the frank-pledges have any duty to make presentments, nor unless we will put our trust in the well-known and oft-debated passage in the Laws of Ethelred about the twelve eldest thanes. have we any evidence that a procedure by way of presentment, of communal accusation, was known to the English law. Henry II. introduced this procedure into the sheriff's court and thereby gave rise to the tourns of later days. The procedure involved a representation of townships which naturally and speedily became implicated with the system of frank-pledge, so that in some districts the capitales plegii became the primary presenters. Wholesale the feudal lords grasped at this new procedure; nor can the king or his officers have tried to resist them very seriously. On the whole it was for the good of the peace that there should be as much presenting of offenders as was possible. Every lord of any consideration without troubling himself about charters assumed the right to inquire of all the articles which the sheriff set before the jurors in his tourn; many of them had charters which in a more or less vague fashion exempted their manors from suit to the communal courts and from the incursions of royal officers. Imitate in all respects the procedure of the tourn they could not; their precincts were often too small; they could not impanel twelve freeholders; they had not got so many; seldom could there be any representation of townships; the system of double presentment was too elaborate for their small domains; but the machinery of frank-pledge they could employ, and they did

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Y. B. Mich. 41 Edw. III. f. 26, Mich. pl. 28.

<sup>\*</sup> Ethelred, III. c. 1, § 3 (Schmid).

employ, some in this way, some in that; and the sheriff also was employing this machinery. The machinery was apt for the purpose; the duty of producing one's neighbour to answer accusations could well be converted into the duty of telling tales against him. Thus the lord made his court a court for the presentment of offences against the peace, in the language of later law 'a court leet.' Some of the smaller and lower lords could not obtain this jurisdiction; their overlords had got it and kept it to themselves; but very generally the lord of a manor possessed himself of a leet and the great Edward could not oust him of it. Statutes and quo warranto inquiries introduced a certain uniformity into the procedure; insisted that, at all events for grave cases, there must be a presenting jury of twelve. Thus it is that the leet jury of later days is developed; but even in later days the theory is not always forgotten that the jurors are the chief pledges. The lords turned the new procedure to their own profit; they employed it not merely for the presentment of offences against the general law of the realm but also for the presentment of breaches of manorial custom; when the two courts have fallen asunder there is a presenting jury in the court baron as well as in the court leet.

This theory is put forward tentatively, for it differs in Thelectionry some respects from that sanctioned by the best historians, and it touches an important matter. If what is here said be true, then the last hope of proving that the jury of presentment is an English institution of very high antiquity is gone until some one shall find a stepping stone between the Assize of Clarendon and the Laws of Ethelred.1

A further insight into the proceedings of the lords may The assize of be given us by the fact that they had very generally assumed the right of enforcing the assize of beer. Almost

' Dr. Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. 618, says, 'The lect juries of the small local courts do not draw their origin from any legal enactment, and bear every mark of the utmost antiquity.' I cannot (reverently be it said) think them very ancient; they seem to me imitations of the jury of the tourn, which seems to me the creature of the Assize of Clarendon. Of course I do not dispute that the half-yearly meetings of the hundred court for the purpose of viewing the frankpledges were older than this.

every manorial roll is rich with amercements of those who have brewed against the assize, the offenders being usually women. An assize of bread and beer fixing the price of those commodities seems to have been published in 1256 and is commonly printed among the statutes.1 This however was apparently an amended version of an older assize a copy of which appears in the Malmesbury Register and which we may attribute to John's reign. It said that when wheat sold for 3 s. the quarter, barley for 20 d. or 2 s., and oats for 16 d. or 18 d., then brewers may well sell two gallons of beer for a penny, in boroughs three gallons, in country and market towns four gallons. The ordinance of 1256 made some change in this tariff. As to bread we need here say nothing; the lords did not as a rule assume that they were to execute the assize of bread, but beer they took under their care. They made profit thereby, for the assize seems to have been broken with as much regularity as the most orthodox of political economists could possibly demand. They often got into a scrape for taking amercements instead of inflicting corporal punishment. The law was that on a fourth conviction the baker should go to the pillory, the brewster to the tumbrel; but this was disregarded. We have seen that in the northern counties the lords claimed the jurisdiction over beer as theirs by common custom.

## III.

The feudal or manorial jurisdiction,

1

We may now turn from those jurisdictional powers which were regarded as regalities to those which were regarded as feudal or manorial. Of course, it may well be true in a certain sense that all private jurisdiction was the outcome of royal grants; it may be true that if we follow up either of the two streams which united to make our law, the English or the Frankish, we come to a time when only by virtue of office or of specially granted royal privilege

the ordinance is settled by the bakers of Geoffrey Fitz Peter and Stephen of Turnham.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Statutes of the Realm, i. 199; Bracton's Note Book, i. 82.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Regist. Malmesb. i. 134. The date seems fixed by the fact that

can a man have jurisdiction over other free men; but such an age is very remote. In the thirteenth century it is clear, and so it must have been for a long time past, that quite apart from all royalties and franchises there is jurisdiction in private hands.

Now here the question meets us, What was it that gave Was it this power—what was it that gave a man a libera curia, a it manorial? court of tenants? We have apparently to make our choice between two principles. It may be maintained on the one hand that this jurisdiction was manorial, that the lord's court could only exist in connexion with or as part of that complex of rights which was known as a manor, or on the other hand that the jurisdiction was feudal, that every man who had tenants enough to form a court was at liberty to hold a court of and for his tenants.

These two principles will only come to one and the same What was a thing if we allow ourselves to say that every set of tenants holding of one and the same lord constitutes a manor, no matter the local distribution of their tenements. But so lax a use of the term 'manor' is not permissible. We have indeed no right to force on the thirteenth century, still less on the twelfth or eleventh, what became in after times the correct legal definition of a manor—no right to insist that every 'manerium' comprised lands held by freehold tenants of the manor and lands held by customary or villan tenants. To all seeming the word mancrium had as yet hardly become a technical term. The manor was not a unit in the governmental system; the county was such a unit, so was the hundred, so again was the vill, for the township had many police duties to perform, it was an amerciable, punishable unit; not so the manor, unless it coincided with the vill; thus there was no pressing need for a strict use of the term. It seems pretty certain that the manerium of the thirteenth century did not necessarily imply the existence of freehold tenants, and perhaps it may be possible to find instances of mancria, expressly so called, which had no customary tenants. But still it was not every aggregate of tenants holding of a single lord that

constituted a manor. A limit, though a vague limit, was set to the size of the manor. What as yet gave it its unity was rather economic practice than legal doctrine. It was an estate which could be and was administered as a single economic and agrarian whole. When men spoke of a manor, they thought primarily of the single group of tenants who worked in common at their ploughings and their reapings, of the single hall or manor house whose needs were supplied, whose garners and larders were filled, by the labours of this group. An estate too large or too scattered to be managed in this way would not, according to the common use of words, be a manor. Nor can we fail to perceive a close de facto connexion between the manor and the vill; in by far the greater number of cases the manor is either conterminous with or contained within the limit of the vill. We may add without much risk that the normal and typical manor had tenants who were not freeholders, had customary or villan tenants. Taking the term to imply this much, we may be able to discuss the question propounded above, without aspiring at present to trace the history of the legal definition of a manor.1

Subinfendation and creation of manors. Now in the thirteenth century the process of subinfeudation had been carried far. Let us take one instance:—at Paxton in Huntingdonshire Roger of St. German holds a messuage of Robert of Bedford, who holds of Richard of Ilchester, who holds of Alan of Chartres, who holds of William le Boteler, who holds of Gilbert Neville, who holds of Devorguil Balliol, who holds of the king of Scotland, who holds of the king of England.<sup>2</sup> The lord of a manor was often no tenant in chief of the crown, often he stood in the third, sometimes even in the fifth remove from

What did the makers of the Domesday survey mean by maneria when they applied this word to the Tempus Regis Edwardi? That they had some clear notion as to the essence of a manor seems plain, for they are very careful to state whether land was held pro uno manerio, pro tribus maneriis, or the like. I am inclined to think that by a manor

they meant a complex of land possessed or cultivated by a number of different persons, which nevertheless had been rated to the geld as a whole, its lord being liable to the king for the geld. Integral geldability rather than jurisdiction seems the test; but the question is too large and difficult to be argued here.

<sup>1</sup> R. H. ii. 673.

the king; for instance, at Comberton in Cambridgeshire the heirs of Gilbert of Cottenham have a manor; above them stand (1) John de Burdeleis, (2) Saer of St. Andrew, (3) the Earl of Winchester, (4) the Earl of Gloucester, (5) the king. Sometimes of course we can say that the manor is a submanor holden of another manor; but when the rights of one of the very great lords were conceived as forming a single whole, that whole was called, not a manor, but sometimes a barony, sometimes an honour. It must have happened pretty frequently that a lord high in the feudal scale had many freehold tenants and yet had no demesne land in the same part of the country. The immediate lord of halfa-dozen manors gives five of them to five different persons by subinfeudation; the five feoffees will be his tenants, but they will not be tenants of the one manor which he has retained for himself. It will be seen, then, that one of our two principles is much wider than the other. The wide principle which for brevity we may call the feudal, as contrasted with the manorial, principle—is this, that every lord with tenants enough to form a court may hold a court; de commune leu chescun frank home deit aver court de ses tenantz.2

The theory which will here be suggested-diffidently The jurisdicenough—is that this feudal principle was the rule of law, dental. but that it had to work under such and so many limitations. some of law and some of fact, that the actual result was not very different from that which would have been produced by the manorial principle; so much so that in course of time it became possible to regard a private court (when not created by real or supposed grant from the crown) as never existing save as a part of a manor.

One argument a priori may be allowed. It would be Discussion hard to find any rational explanation for the manorial that the principle. That principle amounts to this, that a man can never have jurisdiction over his freehold tenants unless along with this and as a part of the same complex of

Edw. III.), p. 138; the common law gives a man court of his tenants: this is no franchise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. H. ii. 555.

<sup>\*</sup> This is said by counsel, and not denied in Y. B. 17 Edw. II. f. 538. See also Keilway's Reports (temp.

rights he has jurisdiction over customary or villan tenants. Now we can conceive that as a matter of fact the jurisdicdiction over freeholders was never found except in combination with the jurisdiction over baser tenants; feudalism may have grown up in such a way that this was true. But if we turn this statement of fact into a principle of law, the principle is one which provokes an importunate 'Why?' The other side of the common learning about the essence of a manor is a little more intelligible, though it has difficulties of its own. We have evidence from Edward I.'s day of a doctrine that freehold suitors were necessary not merely if the lord was to exercise a jurisdiction over litigation between freeholders, but also if he was to exercise some of the franchises. A prior in Yorkshire claims infangthief and gallows; the king's counsel objects that he has no freeholder save one, who is not a suitor, and that freehold suitors are necessary for the judgment of felons.1 What jurisdiction a lord had who had no freeholders, whether freeholders were necessary to do judgment between customary tenants or whether the lord's steward was really their only judge, are questions which we reserve; but why cannot a jurisdiction over freeholders exist apart from a jurisdiction over tenants in villanage? We turn however from speculation to evidence.

Courts of honours and the manorial theory. Express statements of the feudal principle are hard to come by; but it seems clearly implied in the Leges Henrici Primi. Every lord, we are told, may summon his man to stand to right in his court and the man can be compelled to come from the remotest manor of the honour of which he holds. The court, then, need not be the court of a manor, it may be the court of an honour.<sup>2</sup> The indubitable fact that there were courts known, not as courts of manors, but as courts of honours, is met in the later law books in

suus submoneat eum. Si dominus ejus diversos feodos teneat, non cogitur per legem homo unius honoris in alium ire placitum, nisi de alterius causa sit, ad quem dominus submonuerit eum.

P. Q. W. 204.

Leg. Hen. Prim. 55, § 1. 'Omni domino licet submonire hominem suum, ut ei sit ad rectum in curia sua; et si residens est ad remotius manerium ejusdem honoris unde tenet, ibit ad placitum, si dominus

accordance with the manorial principle by the statement that really the so-called court of an honour was nothing but an aggregate of manorial courts. 'An honour consists of many manors, yet all the courts of the manors are distinguished and have several copyholders. And although there is for all the manors but one court, yet are they quasi several and distinct courts; and so it was usually in the time of the abbeys, that they kept but one court for many manors.' Whether or no this really was so in the thirteenth century we shall be better able to judge when we have studied the Abbot of Ramsey's court at Broughton; but the importance of the statement will be seen at once:—a lord's court is always a court for a manor; the court of an honour is but an aggregate of courts each of which is the court of a single manor. It will further be observed that the manorial principle even when it is qualified by the concession that the lord of several manors might combine his courts, might hold all his courts on the same day and at the same place, is still much narrower than the feudal principle. It will meet the case of one who is the immediate lord of half-adozen manors; but suppose him to give each manor to a different feoffee, it will not enable him to hold a court for these six freehold tenants of his; the court, if any, that he holds for them will not be the court of any one of those manors, nor will it be an aggregate made up of the courts of all those manors; there are now six manors, six manorial courts, six lords of manors; can there be an additional court held by the feoffor for his six feoffees?

Now most certainly the lord of a manor was often himself bound to do suit to the court of his lord. In some cases we can say that the manor which he holds is a submanor of another manor of which he is a tenant. Thus in 1279 we find Ellen de la Zouche holding the vill of

Court above

which however merely says that divers abbots, priors etc. used to hold courts at one manor for divers several manors, and that this was good by custom.

¹ This statement, on which modern historians have laid stress, comes from Scagood v. Hone (1632), Croke's Reports, temp. Car. I. 366-7. It is based on a passage in Clifton v. Molyneux, Coke's Reports, iv. 27 a,

Swavesey; she has demesne, freehold tenants and villani. Among her freehold tenants is John son of Henry Beneit. We must admit that he is the lord of a manor; he has demesne, freehold tenants and customary tenants; he owes suit to Ellen's court from three weeks to three weeks; but he holds his own court from three weeks to three weeks 'outside the court of the said Ellen.' But in many cases the court to which the lord of a manor owes suit can hardly be called the court of a manor of which he holds. Thus in Oxfordshire there are a number of lords of manors who owe suit three weeks by three weeks to the court of the Earl of Cornwall at North Osney; 2 other lords owe suit to the same Earl's court of the honour of Wallingford; other lords in the same county owe suit to the court of the honour of Coventry.4 It is not very rare to find the lord of a manor owing suit to a court many miles away. Nor is it necessary that the lord who has a lord of a manor among his suitors should himself be a tenant in chief of the king: R. C. holds the manor of Draycott and does suit for it monthly to the court of the lady of Appleton, who however holds of the Earl of Cornwall.<sup>5</sup> At Fen Drayton in Cambridgeshire R. B. has an estate with a court to which his freehold tenants owe suit; he himself owes suit to the court of Ellen de la Zouche and also to the court of the honour of Britanny of which honour Ellen holds; here are three courts one above the other; what is more, a freehold tenant of R. B. has to do suit to them all, going perhaps to the higher courts as the representative of his lord and of his lord's lady. The petition of the barons in the Oxford Parliament of 1258 assumes that not unfrequently the courts stand three deep; the capitalis dominus feodi has his court, but above him there is superior capitalis dominus fcodi ejusdem with his court, and above him again is alter superior dominus feodi illius, who also has his court.

• R. H. ii. 757.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> B. H. ii. 469. <sup>2</sup> R. H. ii. 693, 694, 716, 717, 858.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> R. H. ii. 775-7.

<sup>•</sup> R. H. ii. 474. • Art. 29. Printed in Stubbs, 4 R. H. ii. 858-9. Select Charters.

That the creation of new courts by means of sub- creation of infeudation was a recognised practice appears from such oourts. an entry as the following:—The Abbot of Peterborough refuses to allow his freeholders to have court for their tenants outside his court, whereas this is sanctioned by law and custom throughout the realm.1 The Prior of Dunstable got into difficulties with his burgesses at Dunstable about this matter; in 1247 he had to concede that his tenants in chief might hold courts for their immediate tenants.<sup>2</sup> Such an example is instructive; a burgess of Dunstable can hardly have had a large and distinguished body of freehold tenants, but the desire to have a court of his own sometimes possessed the shopkeeper or small merchant as it possessed the earl and the abbot.

Further, we find that some of the great lords kept How the courts on this wise:—the lord in each of his manors had a court to which the freeholders of that manor, or some of them, owed suit; but further he had one central court to which all of his more important freeholders owed suit, sometimes in addition to the suit owed by them to the court of the manor in which their lands lay. Thus the Abbot of Ramsey kept a court at Broughton in Huntingdonshire for the greater of his freehold tenants. Suitors had to come to that court from Lincolnshire, Norfolk, Suffolk, Bedfordshire, Hertfordshire, and Northamptonshire. Thus a tenant at Shitlington in the south of Bedfordshire owed suit to the court at Broughton and to the court of the manor of Shitlington when plea touching freehold was to be held there or a thief was to be judged.3 We find a quite similar arrangement on the lands of the Abbey of Gloucester; and here we have appropriate terms for the different courts; each manor has its halimotum, but the abbot has also his libera curia at Gloucester to which all his greater tenants owe suit, sometimes in addition to the

bbots held

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. H. ii. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ann. Dunst. 173-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cart. Rams. i. 41-43, 286, 295,

<sup>296, 305, 413, 438, 440, 458, 475,</sup> and the extracts from the Broughton Rolls printed in this book.

suit due to the halimote.¹ It seems probable that the same was the case among the freehold tenants of Thorney Abbey; the greater, but not the smaller, no matter in what manor they are, owe suit to the abbot's court at Yaxley.² So the Abbot of St. Albans held a court for his freeholders under the great ash tree at St. Albans, and this court seems to have exercised a 'jurisdiction in error' over the halimotes of the several manors.³ So Abbot Samson of St. Edmunds, though he had many manors, seems to have met all his military tenants in the court at St. Edmundsbury.⁴

Foreign analogies

1

It may be worthy of note that similar arrangements were common in France. There is a strong, if superficial, resemblance between the Abbot of Ramsey's courts and those which he would have held had he been a French scigncur. In each of his villages he would then have kept a court for the affairs of the smaller folk; but over and above these he would have held a central court, an assise of his greater tenants, his knights and esquires, which would have entertained the more important matters and to which appeal would have been made from the village courts.5 In Germany we find much the same thing: above the courts of his various manors or Fronköfe, the lord at his chief manor (Oberhof) has a court of error; occasionally, if he is a very great lord, his courts stand three deep.6 But at any rate no stretch of language will enable us to call the court at Broughton the court of a manor; it is the court of a great fief.

Later examples of honorial In 1340 we still find a tenant at Beighton in Derbyshire who owes suit from three weeks to three weeks to the court of the honour of Tickhill: the manor of Tickhill is in Yorkshire, but 'the honour of the manor' extends into three counties, those of York, Derby, and Nottingham.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cart. Glouc. i. 248, 303; ii. 84, 208; iii. 77, 133, 150, 180, 187.

<sup>\*</sup> R. H. ii. 643-5-6-8.

Court Rolls of Winslow, Univ. Library, Cambridge; see also Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vi. 438.

Chron. Jocel. Brakelond, pp. 20, 48-9.

See Tanon, Histoire des Justices des Anciennes Églises de Paris, cap.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> G. L. v. Maurer, Fronhöfe, iv. 228-243.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Y. B. (ed. Pike) 14 Edw. III. p. 88.

To come to yet more recent times, when Roger North took to 'court keeping' in order to learn law and was appointed temporal steward of the Archbishop of Canterbury, he found that the Palace Court of Canterbury had 'jurisdiction in all personal actions of any value arising within the liberty, that is, in any of the towns [i.e. townships] whereof the church of Canterbury had the seignioralty, which is a large circuit in the county of Kent; for although there were no demesnes, yet the services and other incidents of dominion in old time were considerable.' 1

Further investigation would probably produce other examples of feudal courts which were not courts of manors, or which were much more than courts of manors. Still, the ultimate triumph of the manorial principle as a rule of law, and the fact that some research is necessary to discover such courts, will make us suspect that they never were very common. In particular it would seem as if the lord of a great honour scattered about in divers counties had seldom attempted to hold a single court for all his great freehold tenants; his honour had different courts in different counties. The words of the Leges Henrici would have empowered the Count of Mortain to summon his Cornish tenants to a court in Yorkshire. We do not hear of this being done, and an earl or prelate would hardly have got knights to serve him had it been supposed that he would do anything of the kind. But the singularly well attested case of the Abbot of Ramsey's court seems to show that there was no rule of law preventing the formation of a court with suitors drawn from seven counties.

Our estimate of the evidence may perhaps be affected Rules as to by the opinion that we form on another question, important in itself, namely, whether a feoffor who desired that his feoffee should do suit to his court had to expressly stipulate for such suit on the occasion of the feoffment. About this question we have some interesting though perplexing information. In the middle of the thirteenth century it was the subject of warm controversy.

<sup>1</sup> Autobiography of Roger North, p. 110.

uit of court.

Bracton's doctrine.

Bracton's doctrine is that in the absence of special stipulation the tenant is bound to attend his lord's court for what are considered matters of royal concern, but only for those; he is bound to attend when a writ of right is to be tried, when a thief is to be judged, or when there is any business which touches the king's peace. In such cases the service which the tenant has to do is in truth service due to the king for the advancement of justice. It is not even competent for the lord and tenant to contract that such service shall not be done, though it is competent for the lord to agree that the tenant shall be indemnified for it; the burden of such service is thus placed on the same footing as the burden of scutage. But if the lord wants more suit than this, if e.g. he wishes that his tenant should do suit from three weeks to three weeks, he must expressly bargain for it.1

This doctrine is supported by a case from 1231. S. charges W. with exacting suit of court in contradiction to a charter whereby J., father of W., had enfeoffed S. to hold freely at a rent 'pro omni servicio.' W. denies that he has exacted any periodic suit of court; he has only demanded that reasonable suit of court which everyone is bound to do, namely to afforce the court when the king's writ comes there and when a thief is to be tried. This plea is treated as a sufficient answer, and W. is allowed to prove its truth by making his law; the only suit that he has demanded is racionabilis secta, and therefore the demand is not contrary to the charter of feoffment. In another case we find the Abbot of Beaulieu demanding from L. suit of court from fortnight to fortnight, while from B. he demands suit merely when the king's writ comes or when a thief is to be tried.3 As will be shown below. there is plenty of evidence that the suitors fell into two main classes, those who were bound to attend the periodic sittings of the court and those who either were only bound to come when there was royal business to be transacted or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bracton, f. 35, 35 b, 37. 

<sup>2</sup> Bracton's Note Book, pl. 531.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid. pl. 655.

in addition to this had to come twice a year to the two plenary sessions. Before 1234 the courts seem to have been commonly held at fortnightly intervals: an ordinance of that year provided that they should be held but once in three weeks.1

But apparently the law was in an unsettled state. William William of York became bishop of Salisbury in 1247 and died in 1256. For some years before his consecration he held a foremost place among the royal judges. Chronicling his death. Matthew Paris says that certain conduct of his had heaped innumerable maledictions on his head.2 On a later page we learn something as to what this conduct was —he had established as law a certain evil custom, to wit, that every tenant, no matter how small his tenement, should do suit to his lord's court. Men who have never done suit now to their surprise find themselves bound to do it. This, says Paris, brings great harm and loss on the tenants, and little or no profit to the lords. He proceeds to hint that the bishop is now expiating this oppressive innovation in another world.\* Whether William of York had gone beyond Bracton's doctrine or no we cannot decide for certain; seemingly he had, but the maledictions which he earned bore fruit in the revolutionary period which followed on his death. Suit of court is the very first matter dealt with by the Provisions of Westminster, which in 1259 were obtained from the baronial council by the knights, the subvassals. It is ordained that a person enfeoffed by charter shall not be distrained to do suit at his lord's court unless either the suit be expressly bargained for in the charter, or the suit was done at the time of the king's first journey into Britanny now twenty-nine years ago. No one, again, enfeoffed without charter need do suit unless it was done before the date just mentioned.4 When the Barons' War was over this provision found a place in the Statute of Marl-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ann. Dunstap. 139, 140. 4 Prov. West. in Stubbs, Select <sup>2</sup> Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. (Rolls Charters; see also Stubbs, Const. Ser.), v. 534. Hist ii. 81. 3 Ibid. 545.

borough of 1267, and became a permanent part of English law:—no freeholder is bound to suit at his lord's court unless this is imposed on him by the terms of his charter or was done before King Henry went to Britanny in the year 1230.

Practice of stipulating for suit of

That during the thirteenth century it was common to expressly stipulate for suit of court is plain. A number of instances may be taken from charters granted by the Abbey of Gloucester:—the feoffee shall do suit at our court of A; he shall do suit at our court of A like his neighbours; he shall do suit twice a year at the court of our Priory of B with our free men; he shall do suit at our court of B whenever it shall be holden; be and his heirs shall do suit at our court of Gloucester as well as at our court of Churcham; he shall do suit at our court of B twice a year and whenever our cellarer shall summon him to afforce the court for any difficult business; 7 'saving to us suit to our court of B twice a year, namely at Michaelmas and Hoketide.' Again, W. owes suit to our free court (libera curia) at Gloucester. during half the year whenever it shall be holden, and during the other half only to afforce it should the king's writ come there, and then only upon reasonable summons. Again in the extents of the Gloucester manors we constantly find entries which show that this obligation had been made the subject of bargain:—he owes suit to every court at Gloucester and twice in the year to the halimote of Barton: to every court of Northleach, and to every court of Gloucester; to our free court at Gloucester and the halimote of Churcham, and so forth. 10 That this was no local peculiarity we may see from other cartularies; for example, 11 a tenant of the Abbot of Ramsey at Shitlington in Bedfordshire owes suit both to the court of the Abbot's honour held at Broughton in Huntingdonshire and to the court of the

```
<sup>1</sup> Stat. 52 Hen. III. c. 9.
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cart. Glouc. i. 160.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid. 165. 4 Ibid. 221.

<sup>1</sup> lbid. 222.

<sup>•</sup> Ibid. 248.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Ibid. 303.

<sup>•</sup> Ibid. ii. 251. • Ibid. ii. 208.

<sup>19</sup> Ibid. iii. 55, 77, 133, 150, 180.

<sup>11</sup> Cart. Rams. i. 460.

manor of Shitlington whenever plea touching free men is moved in it or thieves are to be tried. Many instances may be found in the Hundred Rolls; thus at Offord Cluny in Huntingdonshire there are 29 tenants bound to do suit twice a year, and also to do suit from three weeks to three weeks when the king's writ comes, while another set of 51 tenants are only bound to come twice a year.

But what, we may ask, as to remoter times, what as to Remoter the twelfth century? The evidence that comes before us suit of court. is in the main the ambiguous evidence of silence. extant charters and fines enable us to say with some certainty that when a new freehold tenure was created the lord very seldom stipulated in writing for suit of court.2 Two inferences may present themselves as plausible: namely, that an express bargain was deemed needless, or else that the subinfeudators could not or did not care to secure the suit of new freehold tenants. We can hardly doubt however that the former is the sounder inference. thirteenth century when already much has been done to deprive the feudal courts of their value, the great lords, at least the great religious houses, still care a good deal about suit of court, they stipulate for it and enforce it rigorously even where in popular estimation it is not due. We cannot easily suppose that their predecessors had been less anxious about having courts of their own in days when feudal justice was still a formidable rival of royal justice.

Here once more we have, as it seems, to look back upon summarr a time of uncertainty and conflict, of vague understandings and misunderstandings. Suit of court, like the other feudal burdens, relief, wardship, marriage, the obligation to find men at arms, emerges out of tacit compacts which the contracting parties would construe each in his own way; general rule of law there has been none. It has perhaps been commonly assumed that a feoffee will owe suit of court, but only the most provident of landlords have been careful to define the amount. It has been very doubtful

> Rams. i. 154, dated by the editors between 1133 and 1160.

<sup>1</sup> R. H. ii. 683-4. <sup>2</sup> An instance is found in Cart.

how far the king's courts will be at pains to enforce an obligation which does not concern the king. The creation of numerous small freeholds at last forces on a crists; many of the tenants have been enfeoffed without charter; William of York holds one opinion, Bracton holds another; the matter is at length settled after revolution and civil war. But, to return to our starting-point, this controversy about suit of court favours rather the feudal than the manorial principle; the obligation to attend the lord's court, if in the absence of special stipulation there be any such obligation at all, is treated as the result of tenure and the manorial organisation is not brought into the discussion.

IV.

Checks on the operation of the feudal principle

Anyone however who maintains that the feudal principle was the legal rule is almost bound to offer some explanation of the fact that we hear little of any feudal courts that are not manorial. Such an explanation might, it is thought, be given. Part of it would have to deal with the days before the Conquest and part of it with the Conquest and the consequent distribution of lands. It is however generally admitted that the state of affairs disclosed by Domesday Book was not favourable to the formation of great patrimonial jurisdictions. The tenant in chief often had an estate which was scattered about England in comparatively small parcels. One illustration, perhaps new. may be given of this; it is taken from the townships round Cambridge:—in Trumpington there were five tenancies in chief, in Granchester six, in Barton three, in Comberton two and royal demesne, in Haslingfield three and royal demesne, in Harston three, in Barrington five; in this part of the country it was rare for a whole village to be subject to one lord. The estates of the Abbot of Bec, whose court rolls we are going to use, afford an example of a property acquired after the Conquest in little pieces; hardly anywhere had the abbot two contiguous manors.

Thus the feudal principle got no fair chance of showing the best or the worst that was in it. For all this however the process of subinfeudation would probably have issued in the creation of many great feudal courts, courts formed on the model of the Abbot of Ramsey's court at Broughton, had the jurisdiction over freeholders been very valuable. It remains therefore for us to see that it was not very valuable, and thus we are led to discuss the powers of a 'court baron.'

Now, however narrowly confined was its jurisdiction in The fendal the days of Edward I., we can trace the process by which the various limits had been set, and when we have removed those limits of which we know the history, the feudal court of the Norman reigns begins to appear as a very powerful court, powerful at least on paper. It is not a court which can entertain just a few definite pleas; rather it can entertain all pleas exceptis excipiendis—all pleas save those which the king has taken to himself. And then, again, the king s court appears as having in theory a limited jurisdiction; growth of it can entertain pleas touching the tenants in chief, complaints of default of justice in lower courts, the reserved pleas of the crown; but it does not hold itself open as a court of first instance for all England. In course of time exception becomes rule, and rule exception; but we can see this process at work.

(i.) In Henry I.'s time, the pleas of the crown can be Pleas of the enumerated in a miscellaneous and disorderly list; but as yet we find no such general idea as that all crimes or all grave crimes belong to the king.1 The extension and consolidation of the king's peace,2 the introduction of the new and peculiarly royal procedure by way of indictment 3 had much simplified matters before Glanvill wrote. Crime in general had come within the royal cognisance; it had

become the exception to a general principle that the lords

<sup>1</sup> Leg. Hen. Prim. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> F. Pollock, The King's Peace, in Law Quarterly Review, i. 37.

<sup>3</sup> Ass. Clarend. c. 5 : ct de illis qui

capti fuerint per praedictum sacramentum hujus assisae, nullus habeat curiam vel justitiam nec catalla nisi dominus rex in curia sua.

could deal with scuffles and blows and wounds. Even these little matters could be turned into pleas of the crown by the mere use of four words—'in pace domini regis.'

Possessor

(ii.) Perhaps the greatest event in the history of English law is that Henry II. cast his protection over possession, made the disturbance of seisin a cause for complaint to the king himself. Theoretically there was no interference with questions of property; practically the court which offers a possessorium will soon draw to itself all disputes about proprietary rights.<sup>2</sup> When, in or about 1166, Henry issued the Assize of Novel Disseisin, he took a step of decisive importance.<sup>3</sup>

Require. ment of writ of right.

(iii.) To all seeming he did more than this. In Glanvill's book we find the rule that no man need answer for his freehold without royal writ. A writ of right, breve de recto tenendo, has become necessary when there is to be a proprietary claim in the lord's court; such a writ contains a threat that if the lord will not do right, the sheriff shall do it; the lord is thus made to look like a mere officer and delegate of the king. We cannot find that such a writ was necessary in Normandy or that it was necessary in England before Henry's day, though occasionally such a writ was obtained in order to put pressure on a dilatory lord. Brunner has well said that a rule of this sort is not developed out of customary law; we can hardly fail to hold with him that the rule in question has its origin in an ordinance. and an ordinance of Henry II.6 May we not suspect that it was part of the Assize of Novel Disseisin? The two principles are closely connected:—no one is to be disseised

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Glanv. I. 1, 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> M. Paul Viollet, Précis de l'Histoire du Proit Français, p. 492, has remarked how in France the temporal courts by offering a 'possessoire' managed to deprive the ecclesiastical courts of business. A possessory action, he says, deals only with the external side of a question of right: 'or l'extériorité d'une question de droit, c'est bien souvent son côté pratique.'

At present the date seems fixed by the newly printed Pipe Roll of 12 Henry II.; see e.g. p. 65, T. debet 20 sol. pro dissaisina super assisam Regis.

Glanv. xii. 2 and 25.

Bigelow, Placita Anglo-Normannica, passim.

Entstehung der Schwurgerichte,
 411: 'Neuerungen dieser Art pflegen sich nicht auf gewohnheitsrechtlichem Wege zu bilden.'

of his free tenement unjustly and without a judgment:no one need answer for his free tenement without the king's writ:—the king undertakes to protect seisin of freehold not only against illegal force but also against irresponsible justice. In a writ of 1207 which John sent to the people of Ireland he coupled the two principles:—we will that no one shall disseise you of your free tenements unjustly and without a judgment, and that you shall not be impleaded for your free tenements without our writ or that of our justiciar; 1 may we not guess that he was quoting from his father's Assize?

(iv.) The Grand Assize of Henry II. made yet another The grand inroad on the feudal jurisdictions. In the proprietary action the tenant can always get the case removed into the royal court by claiming the privilege of that new mode of

trial which only the king can give him.

(v.) Not content with this, the king simply ignores the The write of lord's court and will when he pleases 2 issue a simple praccipe quod reddat even though the land be holden of a mesne lord. But this is regarded as an abuse and is forbidden by the Great Charter, a document in which retrogressive are mingled with progressive tendencies. But the prohibition is useless. Already under cover of a convenient uncertainty as to the exact limit between possessory and petitory claims, the chancery has been devising a large group of writs of entry, which take the dispute to the royal court on the pretext that the flaw in the tenant's title is recent. A provision in the Statute of Marlborough (1267) which looks obscure enough nowadays removes the limits by which these writs have hitherto been fenced in and practically reverses the policy of the Charter.5 It seems a little, technical improvement; but really it is a great landmark; it means this, that men no longer see any

would extend the possessorium; Bruns, Recht des Besitzes, p. 177.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rot. Pat. 76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Glanv. lib. i. c. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Charter of 1215, c. 34.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Rot. Cl. i. 32. Just at this time the Canon Law, like our English Law, was hesitating as to how far it

<sup>\*</sup> Stat. Marlb. c. 29, which gives ' the writ of entry sur disseisin in the post.

objection to the king's court making itself an omnicompetent court of first instance; feudal justice in any very serious sense is a thing of the past.

Replevin

(vi.) The king has instituted and has preserved very carefully for his court and his sheriffs the form of action, which under the name of replevin has a great history before it: here again we may perhaps see the notion that whatever may be the case with ownership, possession is a matter for royal protection.

Actions of trespanse, (vii.) The idea of the king's peace has not done all its work when it has placed all criminal justice in the king's hand and all that we can call police jurisdiction in his hands or the hands of those who theoretically are his delegates; it yet has to give to the world the action of trespass. This action seems to come into common use somewhat suddenly towards the end of Henry III.'s reign; possibly some ordinance not now known to us brought it into fashion and thus gave us a form of action fertile with new subordinate forms.<sup>2</sup>

Pecuniary limit to the competence of local courts. (viii.) The Statute of Gloucester (1278) or the interpretation set upon one of its clauses condemned the court baron to become in course of time a petty court. The clause in question seems on its face to have quite another object: it says that none is to have a writ of trespass in the king's courts unless he will affirm that the goods taken away were worth forty shillings at the least. This seems to have been construed to imply a very different rule, namely that no action for more than forty shillings shall be brought in a local court. The judges at Westminster have not escaped the charge of perverting the statute to their own profit; but we learn from Britton (circ. 1290)

Secabove, p. xxv. Britton, i. 136, says plainly that the refusal to deliver a distress when pledge is offered is an article of the king's peace; 'le vé est un article de nostre pes enfreynte.' The line which divided this placitum regale from a mere action for goods taken away was rather delicate. See the Earl of

Warenne's case, P. Q. W. p. 751.

There are a few actions of trespass in Bracton's Note Book; Bracton knew the action, and perhaps meant to treat of it; see f. 161, 113. For the frequent use made of it late in Henry's reign, see Plac. Abbrev. 160 foll.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Stat. 6 Edw. I. c. 8.

that this rule, so damaging to the local tribunals, was law already in his day, and we are hardly entitled to say that the judges misunderstood or perverted the intention of the lawgivers though they found in the clause more than we can see there; very possibly they themselves had penned that clause.2

The success of the king's justice in its competition with Success of feudal justice was well deserved. The royal court offered a better and stronger commodity than was to be had elsewhere; in particular it offered trial by jury as a substitute for the ancient modes of proof. It is true that the lords so far as they could imitated the royal procedure, they granted to litigants, they sold, the right to an inquest of But their power was limited. They could force their villans to swear; but could they force their freeholders to swear? That they took upon themselves to do this seems clear. But here again, as in many other instances, their efforts were opposed both by the king and by their tenants. As one of the Provisions of 1259, afterwards confirmed by the Statute of Marlborough, it is conceded to the lower freeholders that no lord may coerce his freeholders into swearing against their will, for none may do this without the king's command.3 This prevented the lord from impanelling a jury to try the freeholder's cases, unless jurymen would come of their own free will.

(ix.) Every change in the law which made it easier for Law of disa lord to enforce his proprietary rights against his freehold tenants must have made a court of freeholders of less value to him. In past time one of the main uses of such a court must have been that it enabled the lord to exact his dues; he could bring the pressure of law to bear upon his tenants in a tribunal of which he himself was the president. Now it should here be noted as probable that the lord of freehold tenants must at one time have needed the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Britton, i. 155; Fleta, 133. I have not been able to find any evidence that the rule was older than the Statute of Gloucester.

<sup>2</sup> Do not gloss the statute; we

understand it better than you do, for we made it ':--per Hengham, Y. B. 33-5 Ed. I. p. 82.

<sup>3</sup> Prov. Westin. c. 18; Stat. Marlb. c. 22.

aid of a court before he could distrain those tenants for rent or other services in arrear. There is a good deal of evidence that for a long while after the Conquest the lord could not distrain until he had first obtained either the judgment of a court or a writ from the king. To obtain a judgment in his own court would be no very difficult affair; having a court of his own, he was saved the risk of going to another tribunal of whose care for his interests he could not be so certain. But a change in the law of distress, which we can now but dimly see, altered all this; he needed no court to enable him to distrain.

No appeal from lord to overlord,

(x.) Lastly, it was established, and this was of great importance, that a lord could not make his court a court of error or of appeal; with what went on in the courts of his tenants he was to have no concern. We can see however that the point was hotly contested; the greater lords wished for a jurisdiction of second instance over the vassals of their vassals. But the cause of the lower freeholders was the cause of the king also. The writ of right tells the lord to do right in his court, and threatens that if he will not do it, the king's sheriff shall. Bracton argues from these words that if the court of the immediate lord makes default, there can be no recourse to the courts of superior lords, the case must be removed by royal writ into the county court. But this he states diffidently as a probable. opinion.2 Evidently there was a struggle between the higher and the lower feudatories. In the famous petition of the Oxford Parliament of 1258 this point is raised. In some counties the usage is to allow the superior lords to entertain cases when the courts of lower lords have made

power of distraining a tenant to appear in court and answer touching services in arrear. Until the writ of cessacit per biennium was granted by statute (Westm. II. c. 21) in the days of Edward L. there was apparently no action in the royal court whereby a lord could eject a freehold tenant who did not perform his services.

\* Bracton, L 329 b, § 2.

As to distress, see Bigelow, Hist. Procedure, p. 202-8. Even Bracton, f. 157-b, seems to treat it as usual though not necessary that the lord should obtain a judgment in his court before distraining his tenant for services in arrear. See Bracton's Note Book, pl. 2, 78, 202, 270, 348, 370, 177, 1207. The power of distraining a tenant for services in arrear is of course to be distinguished from the

default; this is manifestly against justice, as appears from the wording of the writ of right. The Provisions of 1259 deal with a similar point; no one but the king may hold plea of false judgment, for a plea of this kind specially pertains to the king's dignity. In 1267 this was confirmed by the Statute of Marlborough. A concession to the lower freeholders takes the form of an assertion of royal prerogative.

We may regard this as a turning-point in the history of the feudal courts. If the great baron had been able to make his court a court not merely for his immediate tenants but also a court with a supervisory jurisdiction over their courts, it would have been worth his while to keep his court alive; it might have become the fountain of justice for a large district. But a court merely for the suits of his great freehold tenants, some dozen or half-dozen knights, was hardly worth having and became less worth having as time went on.

We may notice that even Bracton, a royal judge, though he holds that all temporal justice is in some sort derived from the king, has not attained the point of view from which it seems natural that every injury should be redressed in the king's own courts. He gives reasons why this and the other action should be heard there—all disputes about advowsons, for example, must come there, because none but the king can force the bishop to say whether the church be empty; actions by a widow who has got no part of her dower must come there, because perchance the marriage may be denied, and none but the king can compel the bishop to say whether marriage there was: actions to try the question of free or villan status must come there, because—well, Bracton does not know exactly why this is, but perhaps it is in favour of liberty.4 A few years of civil strife followed by a few years of Edward's government make a wonderful change. are no longer clamouring about the multitude of new

<sup>1</sup> Petition of the Barons, c. 29, in the Select Charters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prov. West. c. 16. <sup>3</sup> Stat. Marlb. c. 19. <sup>4</sup> Bracton, f. 105-6.

writs; parliament has to urge the chancery not to be too pedantic, but to grant new writs when new cases fall under old principles; the king's courts have triumphed all along the line, but triumphed by becoming the courts of a king who habitually legislates with the consent of a parliament.

Bummary history of feudal justice.

Looking back once more to the Norman time, and thinking away the restrictions which have gradually narrowed the sphere of seignorial justice, the feudal court stands out as a tribunal with large, vague powers. In vain we look for any strict theory, in vain we ask how much was the outcome of the mere fact of lordship, how much the outcome of grants of sak and sok. Without daring to set limits to the knowable, it yet seems likely that there was little clear law about these things. It is likely that extreme theories were advanced on either side honestly and plausibly, the king contending that private jurisdictions of all sorts and kinds were regalia, the lords that a lordship over tenants implied a court for those tenants competent for all cases save a few specially royal pleas. Between king and barons there was no impartial judge, and therefore in our sense but very little law.

Jurisdiction over villans and villan tenements. In course of time a feudal court becomes unimportant except in so far as it is a manorial court, a jurisdiction over freeholders is a mere adjunct to the jurisdiction over villans and customary tenants. But this latter jurisdiction is of the utmost importance, it is the very lifeblood of the agrarian and economic system. And, let the lawyers say what they will, it is a true jurisdiction, an administration of the custom of the manor; it is no mere exhibition of the will of a lord who is owner of the villan tenements and owner of the villans:—no decent lord treats it as such.

V.

Coke's doctrine of the manorial courts. A few words as to this most difficult subject, the jurisdiction over unfree tenants. We will start by transcribing the classical passage in which Coke lays down the law for future times:—

And it is to be understood that this court [i.e. the court of a manor] is of two natures. The first is by the common law, and is called a court baron, as some have said, for that it is the freeholders or freemans court (for barons in one sense signifie freemen), and of that court the freeholders being suitors be judges, and this may be kept from three weekes to three weekes. The second is a customary court, and that doth concerne copiholders, and therein the lord or his steward is the judge. Now as there can be no court baron without freeholders, so there cannot be this kind of customary court without copiholders or customary holders. And as there may be a court baron of freeholders only without copiholders, and then is the steward the register, so there may be a customary court of copiholders onely without freeholders, and then is the lord or his steward the judge. And when the court baron is of this double nature, the court roll containeth as well matters appertaining to the customary court, as to the court baron. (Co. Lit. 58 a.)

Along with this we must take the well-known statement The theory consecrated by Coke that without a court of freehold tenants freeholders. there is no 'manor.' If at any time there cease to be two freeholders owing suit, the manor perishes, though the lord's rights over his copyholders remain what they were and he can hold a customary court for them.2

This doctrine can be traced back into Broke's Abridge- The theory ment. We find the following passages:-

In the same year [i.e. 83 Hen. VIII.] it was said for law that if there be a manor and all the freeholds save one escheat to the lord, or he purchases all save one, his manor is then extinct, for there can be no manor without a court baron, and a court baron can only be held before two suitors, and not before one suitor, therefore one freehold only cannot make a manor. (Bro. Abr. Comprise, 81.)

In 23 Hen. VIII. it was said that a lord of a manor cannot hold a court or do justice without two suitors, and if they cease to exist or there is but one suitor, the manor is determined, for there is no manor without suitors. (Bro. Abr. Court Baron, 22.)

See Register tit. Accioun remove extra curiam baronis [i.e. Reg. Brev. f. 11 b], because there were only four suitors; but

<sup>&#</sup>x27; No, it is curia baronis not curia baronum; see above, pp. xvi.-xx. <sup>2</sup> Co. 4 Rep. 26 b; 6 Rep. 64 a.

<sup>\*</sup> Note that Broke does not say that he can still do justice on or between copyholders.

quaere as to this, for it seems that the plural number, to wit two, is sufficient. And so it was said for law in the Star Chamber in the time of Hen. VIII. [in a case] between Browne, justice, and Lion, grocer of London. (Bro. Suite, 17.)

The guess is permissible that these three extracts refer to one and the same case, to some decision of the Star Chamber in the 23rd or 33rd year of Henry VIII. of which Broke had a note.

Now as regards one part of this doctrine we have little difficulty: -it is a natural thing that some minimum number of judges should be requisite to form a court in which the suitors are the judges. We may be surprised at finding that so small a number as two will suffice; older statements seem to point to four or even to twelve; 2 but in Henry VIII.'s day when the importance of such courts had become very small and the steward probably did in practice all such judging as had to be done, two suitors might seem a sufficient quorum: - Tres faciunt collegium. It would seem also that in some of the German manorial courts two suitors were enough,3 though seven were generally required.

Our difficulties begin only when we attempt to understand the meaning of the dogma that without freeholders and a court for freeholders there is no manor. There seem to be two alternatives open to us-namely, either to say that the freeholders were required as judges for the customary tenants, or to say that the dogma was a barely verbal proposition, no rule of law but a mere definition or partial definition of the term 'manor.'

The received

The latter alternative is that taken by Coke and his successors. The presence of freeholders is not necessary in order to give the lord a jurisdiction over copyholders; the freeholders are not to judge the copyholders, the copyholders'

<sup>1</sup> Y. B. 21 and 22 Ed. I. p. 526; Reg. Brev. f. 11 b. When the proceedings of any local court were to be brought before the king's court, the regular practice was to demand the presence of four suitors as

<sup>1</sup> See the dictum ascribed to Heriet. a judge of John's reign, cited above, p. xxxv.

G. L. von Maurer, Fronhöfe, iv.

<sup>117.</sup> 

only judge is the lord's steward; but still for some reason never explained we are not to give the name 'manor' to any complex of rights that does not include a jurisdiction over freeholders. Suppose a manor; suppose that all the freeholds escheat; the relation of the lord to his customary tenants remains just what it was before, only we are not to say that he has a manor; at best he has 'a customary manor,' a 'reputed manor.'

We can conceive that this is the long and the short of Isitamerely the matter, that we have come upon a piece of arbitrary verbal proposition? and sterile terminology such as is occasionally found in every technical system. It may be shown, for example, that lawyers once used the words 'appendant' and 'appurtenant' indiscriminately and saw no harm in pleading about the 'seisin' of chattels:—no word begins by being a technical word. But further in the present case we can venture a surmise as to the means whereby the term underwent a specification. I have not been able to find in the Year Books the statement that without a court baron or a court of freeholders there can be no manor; 2 but we may easily find the statement that to every manor a court baron is incident.3 This seems to mean two things—(1) that when a feoffment, lease or the like is made of a 'manor' there is no need to mention the court baron, for it is comprised in the term 'manor'; and (2) that if it be admitted that you have a 'manor,' you have no need to show either grant or prescription for your court baron. Now both these rules may well be true without its being also true that there is no manor without a court baron. In convey-

<sup>1</sup> See Scrutton, Commons and Common Fields.

The case Trin. 12 Hen. IV. f. 25, pl. 13, cited by Coke, 6 Rep. 64 a, is distinctly against him as regards terminology, for it says that when 'a manor with [freehold] services ' was partitioned, and 'the manor' was allotted to one parcener, the services to the other, 'the parcener who had the manor' could have no suit of court from the freeholders, while he who had the services could have no

suit. 'because he had not the 'manor.' Thirning, C. J., had no notion that the 'manor' was suspended; one of the parceners had the manor, though he had no suit of freeholders.

<sup>\*</sup> Chescun manoir de common droit ad un court baron incident al manoir,' Trin. 34 Hen. VI. f. 49, pl. 15. 'De common droit a chescun manoir est incident un court baron,' Trin. 8 Hen. VII. f. 3, pl. 1.

ing land there is no need to mention the easements which that land enjoys over other land; but it is not every piece of land that has easements. Still once get these rules stated authoritatively in the form 'To every manor a court baron is incident' and a little misunderstanding, of a kind familiar to all who have studied legal history, may bring us to the dogma 'No court baron, therefore no manor.'

Or are the freeholders judges of the copy.

Certainly however it would be more satisfactory could we see here a rule of law and not a mere rule of legal terminology. But this we can hardly do without holding that the reason for requiring the two or more freeholders is that without the presence of free suitors no justice can be done even between or upon the customary tenants. This Coke and his successors deny; they assert that for customary tenants there is a customary court in which the lord's steward is the sole judge. But even in Elizabeth's day this assertion seems to have been disputed, for there were who doubted whether any manorial court of any sort or kind could be held if there were not two free suitors. A mere doubt on such a point is enough to set us asking whether the whole doctrine of a customary court distinct from the court baron, the doctrine that the customary tenants have no judge save the lord's steward, be not of modern origin.

Difficulty of the question.

In course of time the Selden Society may come to some assured answer to this question. It certainly is a very difficult question. As will be seen from the specimens here printed, the court rolls of the thirteenth century usually maintain a provoking silence about the constitution of the courts whose doings they relate. We have to attend to minute points and ambiguous indications which we are likely to construe in various ways according to our preconceived opinions as to the earlier history of the villan class:—Is it the history of a class of free men falling through predial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See what is said by Gilhert, Tenures, p. 210-1 Compare Nelson, Lex Maneriorum, p. 70-1.

<sup>\*</sup> Roger North in his Autobiography, p. 108, when he is by way of

explaining to laymen the mysteries of 'court keeping,' speaks of 'the Copyhold Court, which is called the Court Baron.'

serfage to personal slavery, or of a class of slaves rising through predial serfage to liberty, or of a fusion of classes of free men and slaves whose mixed traditions of freedom and slavery are consolidated into a law of serfage? But still, though we must leave this wider question open, a few suggestions may be made.

The constitution of the communal (county and hundred) suitors as judgmentcourts in the thirteenth century may serve us as a starting-inders. point, for it has every appearance of being very old and there is some direct evidence of its great age. In these courts, we are told, the sheriff though he presided was not the judge; the suitors were the judges. This was no idle doctrine:—a case from 1226 shows us how the sheriff of Lincolnshire was obliged to adjourn the court because he had quarreled with the freeholders whose business it was 'facere judicia.' 'Facere judicia.' to make judgments. this was the duty of the suitors. The relation between the sheriff and the suitors we can hardly express in any of our accustomed English terms, because in England the constitution of these old courts became a matter of small importance, an archaism, and all our traditional, technical language is adapted to describe a newer type of court, a court which has 'judges' for questions of law and 'jurors' for questions of fact.3 If for a moment we may use German terms we can say that the sheriff is der Richter, the suitors are die Urtheilfinder. The sheriff is the presiding magistrate. he controls the whole procedure, issues all the mandates, pronounces the sentence; but 'judicia facere,' to find the judgments, that is the duty of the suitors. They are not 'judges of fact'; the ancient procedure requires no 'judges

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Brunner, Deutsche Rechtsgeschichte, i. 152, has lately summed up the evidence as to the constitution of the Anglo-Saxon courts in accordance with the prevailing

opinion. <sup>2</sup> Bracton's Note Book, pl. 1730; see also pl. 212. The doctrine is well maintained in the Year Books; 26 Ass. pl. 45, f. 129; Hil. 45 Ed. III. f. 1, pl. 2; Mich. 6 Ed. IV. f. 3, pl. 9; Hil. 7 Ed. IV. f. 23, pl.

27; Pasch. 12 Hen. VII. f. 15, pl. 1. Thus Choke makes the sheriff a mere 'minister,' Coke makes the steward a mere 'register'; neither of these terms is very appropriate; see Holroyd v. Breare, 2 Barn. and Ald. 473. In a roll of Edward I.'s reign for the hundred of Appletree, a township is amerced 'pro defectu judicatorum'-an apt term; Duchy of Lancaster Rolls, Bundle 43, No. 482, m. 1 d.

of fact'; facts are proved by appeals to the supernatural: by solemn, formal oaths, by ordeals, by battle; the 'judgment-finders' lay down the law, decide by whom and in what mode the case shall be proved. We come upon one of the convincing proofs that trial by jury is an innovation when we say it never forms part of the procedure of the communal courts. Presentment by jury does make its way into their procedure; in consequence of royal ordinance it becomes the procedure of the sheriff's tourn; even this serves to introduce a new order of ideas:—in the sheriff's tourn the sheriff is the judge.

Rffects of the introduction of the jury.

The manorial courts were more open to the assaults of the new procedure. We have seen how the lords grasped at the presenting jury and made it the active force of their leets. The jury of trial also they introduced when they could. In imitation of their royal master they took to selling the right to have questions decided by inquest. But here a distinction disclosed itself between the two great classes of tenants: the lord could force his villans to swear. he could not force his freeholders to swear; they resisted the attempt and made good their point. Here then we see a distinction capable of splitting a manorial court into two: for the villans there may be judge and jury after the fashion of the king's courts; 2 a jury of freeholders cannot be had unless freeholders will voluntarily consent to serve as jurors. In the one case the suitors can be depressed from the position of 'judgment-makers' to that of jurors; in the other case they must remain 'judgment-makers' until the end.

Illustration: -Trial of a peer,

٠.

An illustration of this process may be seen in another province of the law. At the end of the middle ages a peer indicted for felony or treason has to stand his trial before one of two very different tribunals, and it depends, we may say, on an accident, the sitting or not sitting of parliament, whether he will have to plead before the one or before the

<sup>1</sup> Stat. Marlb. 1267, c. 22: 'nec jurare faciat libere tenentes suos contra voluntatem suam, desicut hoc nullus facere possit sine precepto domini regis.' Trin. 44 Ed. III. f. 19, pl. 14; le seignour ne poit my

arcter les sutours de jurer.' See also Co. 2nd Inst. p. 142.

<sup>2</sup> See the precedents for summoning jurors to try customary plaints, in Scriven, Copyholds, ed. 1834, Ap. p. 117-9.

other. He may be tried before a court in which all his peers sit as judges of both fact and law, presided over by a high steward who is but 'primus inter pares'; on the other hand he may find that a high steward empowered 'ad audiendum et terminandum' is his only judge, while a selected body of his peers summoned 'ut rei veritas melius sciatur' plays the part, not indeed of a jury, for they do not swear, but of a quasi-jury charged to find fact but not to meddle with law.1 Was it such a tribunal as that last described that the barons demanded in 1215, when they claimed the judicium parium suorum? Assuredly not; had they wanted a jury they would have known how to ask for it: such terms as veredictum, sacramentum, jurata, recognitio, inquisitio all lay ready to hand; fighting against the tendency of the time they asserted an older principle, the old common law of our race, they demanded a judgment of their peers. In part they ultimately succeeded; a trial of a peer before the House of Lords at the present day would show us a true judicium parium, the high steward would be 'der Richter,' the assembled lords 'die Urtheilfinder'; but in part they ultimately failed. May we not guess that the same force, which raised the king's steward to be sole judge of the court, raised the lord's steward also? if the customary suitors declined from the position of 'judgment-makers' to that of jurors, they shared this fate with the peers of the realm.2 Our rolls will show that such a declension might take place very gradually. We shall read how in 1258, and again in 1294, the freeholders of the Abbot of Ramsey had to elect four of their number to do the military service for the Abbey. On the former occasion the election takes the form of a judgment pronounced by the whole court,3 on the latter that of a sworn inquest.4

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Lord Ferrer's Case, State Trials, xix. col. 964; Lord Morley's Case, vi. col. 772-4.

That the 'judicium parium' of Magna Carta did not mean trial by jury, seems now generally admitted, and must be clear to anyone familiar with the language of the time; Reever, Hist. Engl. Law, i. 249;

Gneist, Engl. Verfas. Ges. 295; Stephen, Hist. Crim. Law, i. 162; Bigelow, Hist. Procedure, 155; Stubbe, Const. Hist. i. 537; Bracton's Note Book, pl. 857, 1213; Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. iii. 252, 257, vi. 73.

<sup>Below, p. 61-2-4.
Below, p. 76.</sup> 

The distinction is delicate but all important. The jurors or recognitors of the time, we must remember, had often enough to answer questions which involved matter of law: for instance, in the grand assize they had to say whether A or B had the greater right to the land. The difference between returning a sworn verdict to such a question and finding a judgment in favour of A or B would not be very apparent to John the Smith and William the Miller; but it was a difference big with future history.

•

Transforming power of the new procedure.

We approach our rolls therefore with a suspicion that the courts which they reveal will be undergoing a transformation, will be suffering the intrusion of new elements, presentment by jury and trial by jury, elements hardly compatible with their old constitution. We shall not be disappointed.

Judgmentfinders in the manorial courts.

Nowhere do we find any clear assertion that the lord's steward is judge. Quer and over again the 'curia' is mentioned in contexts which prove that he is not the 'curia,' that the 'curia' is the whole body of suitors or some part of it: the 'tota curia' does this, the 'plena curia' does that. In a court of freehold tenants such as that which the Abbot of Ramsey kept for his honour of Broughton, in a court on the ancient demesne such as that which the same abbot kept for his manor of King's Ripton, it is abundantly clear that the suitors were the judges; 2 even in the court of a fair to which no one owed suit it was not for the lord's steward to make the judgments: at least in cases of difficulty they were made by the assembled merchants.3 Elsewhere the position of the 'curia' is less clear because it seems to discharge many functions: now it judges, now it presents, now it serves as a jury of trial. Imitation of the royal courts seems to be transfiguring it: the admission of presentments by jury, of trial by jury, will hardly assort with the maintenance of the old principle that 'facere judicia' is the function of the suitors, with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, Index, s.v. Court.

<sup>\*</sup> See the extracts from the roll of the fair of S. Ives given below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Extracts from the rolls of these courts are given below.

old rule 'Curia domini debet facere judicium et non dominus.' But certain other facts we may note.

In the first place, though we have little warrant for The bulk of speaking in general terms or treating any one manor astypical, we have evidence enough that the number of freehold tenants of a manor was usually small, that they were far outnumbered by the customary or villan tenants; such at all events was the case on those great monastic estates of which we know most, and the older the evidence, the fewer the freeholders.2 True that at the end of the thirteenth century the number of maneria without any freeholders at all seems quite small, but the number with less than five freeholders is large, and some of the freehold tenements are often of trifling value. We may with some safety assume, as a rule subject to many exceptions, that the bulk of the persons named on the roll of a court which is the court of a manor, not of an honour, are not freeholders. In most cases therefore the manorial court must have been mainly a court for customary tenants.

In the second place we shall be much struck by the fact The court that with rare exceptions our rolls notice no distinctions of remains the procedure between cases which concern freeholders and and others. cases which concern customary tenants, or even between cases which concern free men and those which concern bond men. We do not see the court reconstituting or rearranging itself as cases of different kinds arise.

Freeholders and villans owe suit to the same halimotum and the same curia pronounces judgment upon them. It is even possible to find cases in which the judgment is said to be that of the whole township (villata), a phrase which certainly includes the villans, even if it does not exclude any freeholders that there may be. Indeed there seem to

' Munimenta Gildhallae, i. 66. \* Though it is very possible to believe that the villani of Domesday were free men, it seems impossible to doubt that they were the predecessors in title of the villani of the thirteenth century. It follows that over the greater part of England the Domesday manerium has rarely any tenant whose successors in title will be freeholders. Thus far Mr. Seebohm seems to have proved his case, though his reading of yet earlier history cannot be accepted.

3 A roll of Henry III.'s reign of the Earl of Essex's court at Waltham.

holders.

have been some who thought it positively wrong for a lord to divide what in later days would have been called his 'court baron' from his 'customary court.' On the Hundred Roll of 1295 it is complained that the Abbot of Fécamp used to hold his court at Steyning of his free men and his bond men all together, but now he holds a court of his free men by themselves and a court of his bond men by themselves and amerces them in their absence, whereas they used to be amerced in the presence of the whole court, and thus he appropriates to himself new franchises. The books of a later age which insist that the two courts ought to be distinguished confess that as a matter of fact the distinction is habitually neglected. But the usage may well have varied from manor to manor. Thus on a stray roll belonging to Wartling in Sussex and to Edward I.'s reign. 'the whole court of the villans' appears, makes presentments and finds verdicts in such a context that we may thence infer that the court had other suitors who were not villans.2 But similar entries have not as yet been found elsewhere.

Customary tenants had 'judicium parium.' On the strength of this evidence, though it be for the more part of the silent kind, we may believe that even the customary tenants, even the born villans, were or had been entitled to the judgment, not merely of the lord's steward, but of the manorial 'curia'; we even hear a distinct claim of villan tenants to have the judgment of their neighbours. In this there is nothing absurd; in a German manor though the tenants and suitors be personally unfree (Leibcigene) they are none the less the judgment-finders of the manorial court. At King's Ripton most certainly the suitors were the judges and could even do justice upon their lord, and yet they owed him services of a very 'vil-

Duchy of Lancaster Records, Bundle 62, No. 750: 'consideratum est per totam villatam'; 'tali de causa tota curia dedit dicto R. totum mesuagium.' In general to say of a man that he forms part of the villata is as much as to say that he is a villanus.

R. H. ii. 203.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Brit. Mus. Add. Chart. 32609: 'testatur per totam curiam villan'... tota curia villanor' dicit' etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> R. H. ii. 788: 'et si dampnum fecerit in blado domini nichilominus faciat emendam per consideracionem vicinorum suorum.'

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Maurer, Fronhofe, iv. 109.

lanous 'kind. True that King's Ripton was on the ancient demesne; but have we any explanation of the privileges of the men of the ancient demesne except that they have preserved a certain freedom which their fellows on other manors have lost? When, too, we consider that even the king's courts gave the villan an action against all but his lord, and that the freeholders and customary holders of the manor must often have been involved in the same disputes, we shall have some difficulty in believing that the tenants in villanage had no judge in the manor court save the lord's steward.

We must not, however, hastily dismiss the notion that Did the freeonly the freehold suitors were judges, that they were judges judge the as well for their inferiors as for themselves. In the first tenants? place the common learning about the essence of a manor points this way, though not unambiguously. Secondly, the villani who come to the hundred and county courts as representatives of their vills and tithings fill a distinctly subordinate position when they get there; they are not judgment-finders, but mere presenters. Thirdly, it is common in the thirteenth century to find just a few freeholders on every manor—often they bear the distinctive surnames of Freeman and Franklin; their presence suggests that they may be wanted to hold pleas, and we often find that they are required to exercise a certain control over the villans: they direct the labours of the villans at the boon works.2 Fourthly, some of the franchises, e.g. of that sending thieves to the gallows, could not be used without free suitors, and the intermixture of the franchise jurisdiction with the manorial jurisdiction and of the jurisdiction over freeholders with that over customary tenants was such that probably the same

<sup>1</sup> The Gloucester and Ramsey Cartularies and the Hundred Rolls supply a large number of instances in which one of the very few freeholders bears the name 'le Freeman' or ' le Frankelain.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The freeholder is often bound to attend at the boon works with a rod in his hand, e.g. R. H. ii. 627-8-9, 663. A freeholder is bound to stand

in the lord's barn at harvest time and supervise the garnering of the corn. Ib. 539.

P. Q. W. 204; see above, p. xl.

The often-cited story told in D. B. i. 193 b of how Earl Roger borrowed three sokemen to hold his pleas is not very luminous, because we do not know of what sort were the pleas that were to be holden.

'curia' served for all cases. Now in all probability a freeholder might always have objected to having a villan among his judges, while on the other hand it is by no means certain that the principle of 'judicium parium' was infringed by requiring the villan to submit to the judgment of freeholders. That principle would protect a man against the judgment of his lord or his lord's officer, and against the judgment of his inferiors: it did not mean that his judges were not to be superior to him in legal or social standing.

Operation.

On the whole, however, and as a provisional judgment upon a matter which requires much further investigation, we shall be inclined to hold that the 'curia' which meets us on page after page of this book is in general the whole body of suitors, and that these suitors are, or have been, the finders of judgments. When an 'extent' of a manor is made the jury often consists partly of freeholders, if the lord can get them to swear, partly of born villans. When knights are to be sent to the war to represent the Abbey of Ramsey, very humble socagers have a voice in the election; yet the social gulf between the Earl of Oxford and some of those who elected him and acted as his 'pares' must have been far wider than that which divided a rackrented holder of a few freehold acres from a thriving customary tenant. But new modes of procedure are emphasising distinctions which have heretofore been less felt. The freehold suitors can maintain their position, the customary suitors become mere presenters and jurymen with the lord's steward for their judge. This of course is by no means a complete explanation of what probably is but one of the many effects of a great social movement. The intrusion of trial by jury is by no means the only force which is debasing the legal position of the customary tenants. In particular every extension of royal justice at the expense of feudal justice does some immediate harm to the villan. It is just because all other people can sue for their lands and their goods in the king's own court that he seems so utterly defenceless against his lord: - 'the custom of the manor'

Below, p. 76. The electors include the Abbot's riding bailiffs, redemanni.

looks so like 'the will of the lord,' just because the humblest freeholder has something much better than the custom of the manor to rely upon, for he has the assizes of our lord the king, the statutes of king and parliament. But here on the threshold of vaster questions it is high time to end this overgrown Introduction.

F. W. M.

CAMBRIDGE: December 24, 1888.

#### NOTE A. History of the word 'Leet.'

The term 'court leet,' in which 'leet' seems used as an adjective qualifying the substantive 'court,' is modern. Does it occur in any medieval document English, French or Latin? Without daring to answer this question in the negative, we may safely affirm that much more commonly 'leet,' or in Latin 'leta,' appears as a substantive, thus-clamat habere liberam letam—indictatus fuit in leta—si un presentment soit in un 1 leet—un tenant resiant deynz mon leete. Indeed it is allowable to doubt whether the phrase 'a court leet' became current until long after the leet had ceased to be a really effective institution. Coke gets as near to it as 'the court of the leet,' but usually speaks of 'a leet,' 'the leet.' But even this substantive 'leet' is not among the oldest of our technical terms. Without saying that it does not occur in any statute, ordinance or textbook of the thirteenth century, I think that I may say that it does not occur in those places where one would most naturally look for it. Even in the Hundred Rolls and the Placita de Quo Warranto, which deal minutely with the private jurisdictions and with courts which we should call courts leet, this word is by no means frequent. If I mistake not, it is only in Norfolk that the hundredors speak of leets; thus-Prior de Cokeford clamat habere letam in Rudham-Alicia de Playz clamat habere letam suam in Thoft (R. H. i. 452). On the other hand the word occurs pretty frequently in the Year Books of Edward I.; but on comparing the French discussions with the Latin pleadings we shall be brought to the opinion that if lawyers had been asked to give the Latin for 'leet' they would have said 'visus franci plegii.' The earliest occurrence of the word that I have seen is found in a survey of the lands of the Abbot of St. Edmunds

<sup>1</sup> Sic in the printed YBB., where genders are disregarded.

in Suffolk, made near the end of the twelfth century. In this document 'leta' is used to denote a certain geographical area, though it may imply a jurisdiction within that area:—'In hundredo de Tinghowe sunt xx. ville ex quibus constituuntur ix. lete quas sic distinguimus; Barue et Flemeton et Lacford sunt una leta; Barue illius lete est medietas' etc. (The survey is printed in Gage, History of Suffolk, p. xii.) I have not yet seen any instance in the thirteenth century of a court calling itself a leet on its rolls except in East Anglia; but in East Anglia I have seen a few instances; e.g. (A.O.  $\frac{2}{2}$  at Walsoken, 'Leta Episcopi Elyensis, Abbatis de Rameseye et Prioris Lewensis tenta in communi'; a curious instance of a leet with three lords.

It would seem as if the word 'leet' was somewhat suddenly adopted by lawyers for the purpose of expressing a distinction which after the great Quo Warranto Inquiry had to be expressed, the distinction between the delegated royal jurisdiction and the properly feudal jurisdiction, two things which were commonly combined in practice, but which were for the future to be sharply severed in theory. The difficult task that the philologist has with this word may perhaps be eased by the reflection that very likely it was caught up pretty much at random out of the popular speech, perhaps the popular speech of a particular district, and made to do a duty for which as a matter of etymology it had no special aptitude.

A derivation from A.-S. leod, Germ. Leute (people, folk), is rejected by modern science: this word would become in English leed or lede, not leet. The derivation suggested by Coke from A. S. gelavian (to invite, to summon) is similarly unacceptable. Dr. Skeat tells me that in one way or another leet may be traced to A.-S. létan, Germ. lassen, our modern English to let. He has kindly written the following note:—

'Etymologically, if it be an English word, leet is almost certainly a derivative of the verb létan, to let. In modern English, the vowel of let has been shortened; it was long as late as the fourteenth century. The Essex phrase threere-leet (A.-S. threora gelétu) means "a place where three ways meet," lit. "dismissals (or exits) of three." So also, in the words in-let, outlet, the vowel was formerly long, as in-leet, out-leet. It is certain that, in these senses at any rate, leet is derived from the root-verb létan."

He adds:—'The verb *latan*, to let, is a primitive root-verb, capable of originating derivatives easily. One common derivative is A.-S. ge-late, lit. an allowed or permitted way, hence a way

(in general) from a given point. This word becomes leet and is still used in East Anglia. My belief is that the A.-S. ge-léte, which could of course be spelt léte, as the prefix ge-makes no difference at all, is the origin not only of the East Angl. leet in the sense of "road" but of the disputed word. The sense may easily have been "the thing appointed," for létan constantly means "to cause to be done." It also means "to let," as in "to let land or a house." I think the whole difficulty is caused by the Protean senses of this verb létan, meaning "to let (allow), to let (be done), to let (land), to let (go, dismiss)."

Mr. Wedgwood would fetch our word from the same source by the following route. He writes thus:—' Leet, Germ. lasse, Lassbauer, the name given in many parts of Germany to tenants subject to certain rents and duties. Lassbank, the court of the lassi, court leet; Lassschöffen, leet jury. Dutch laet, a peasant tenant subject to certain jurisdiction; laet-banke the court of the tenants, court leet. In England court leet is the court of the copyhold tenants, opposed to court baron, that of the freeholders of a manor, copyhold being a servile tenure.' It will be seen that Mr. Wedgwood is not quite right in his law, for the leet of our Year Books is not a court for copyholders; indeed its specific mark is that it is not a court for tenants of any kind. but a court for residents. The suggested connexion between our court leet and the German Lassbank and Lassschöffen is attractive, but opens up new difficulties; for is the German Lassbauer, as Mr. Wedgwood seems to think, simply a peasant to whom land has been let, or is he a representative of the let. leto, litu, litus, laetus, letus, lidus, ledus, lassus, lazzus, the half-free man who appears in the Frankish, Frisian and Saxon laws, who appears as lat in the laws of Ethelbert of Kent? In this latter case what is the meaning of the word; is the let the man who is late, slow, slothful, a loafer, or is he the man who stays, who dwells, the manens or mansionarius (Grimm, Deutsche Rechtsalterthümer, 305; Müllenhof in a note to Waitz, Das alte Recht der Salischen Franken, 288)? The word manentes seems often used in the A.S. land-books and the parallel continental documents like casati to denote the, presumably unfree. tillers of the soil. Again is it this word which occurs in the formula of an A.-S. charter (Kemble, No. 425) 'cum omnibus ad hoc rebus rite pertinentibus, sive litorum, sive camporum. agrorum, saltuumve'? This may remind us of another A.-S. formula, 'ne lédes ne landes' (Schmid, Gesetze, p. 408), which seems the same as the Frisian formula (Brunner, Deutsche Rechtsgeschichte, i. 101) 'om land ner om letar.' Perhaps we here come upon another word, the lathe which appears as a subdivision of Kent, A.-S. lab, of which Dr. Skeat says, 'I suspect it to stand for lego from liegan, to lie; cf. Dan. lægd, a division of the country (in Denmark) for military conscription; we also find Dan. lagd, a site.' It is curious that in the twelfth century Kent should have been divided into lathes and Suffolk into leets and that the two words should have nothing to do with each other; but the lathe is superior to the hundred, the leet inferior. Lastly it may be just worth notice that the duty of the Bishop of Winchester's tenants to attend his court at Taunton twice a year without being summoned, which in Domesday appears as 'ter in anno teneri placita episcopi sine ammonitione,' is described in a document printed by Kemble (Cod. Dip. vol. iv., p. 233) as 'preó mótlæðu ungeboden on xij. mondum.

Leaving such questions for experts, the point that seems clear is that the word *leet* as meaning a court of a particular kind only becomes prominent at a comparatively late time; it seems to have been spread abroad by the lawyers of the four-teenth century.

#### NOTE B. On the word Halimot or Hallemot.

I believe that this word is not found in the Anglo-Saxon documents; it occurs however in the Leges Henrici and is common in documents of the thirteenth century. It has generally been supposed to mean 'the hall moot,' the meeting in the lord's hall. In the thirteenth century however it is generally spelt halimot, and Dr. Skeat tells me that this points, not to 'hall-moot,' but to 'holy moot.' If that be the true derivation then perhaps we may guess that the term was first applied to the courts belonging to monastic houses in the sense of 'the Saint's court,' for it is not uncommon to find such courts spoken of as though they belonged to the patron saints, e.g. the Abbot of Ramsey's court is Curia S. Benedicti. In Germany the manorial courts of religious houses were sometimes known as 'holy courts'; see G. L. von Maurer, Fronhöfe, iv. 98. From these courts, perhaps the first private courts that ever existed, the name may have been extended to the similar courts of lay lords. But in the Leges Henrici as printed we find a varying spelling, halimoto (9, § 4), hallemotis (20, § 1), hallemo. (20, § 2),

hallimoto (57, § 8), halimoto (78, § 2). Have two different words been fused? On the whole it would be convenient if philology would suffer us to believe that we have to do with a 'hall moot.' In Domesday the halla, haula, aula, seems the very essence or at least the outward and visible sign of the manerium, so that a manerium sine haula is a noteworthy thing (Index to D.B. s.v. Manerium). When we read 'Hoc manerium habet suum placitum in aula domini sui' (D.B. i. 265 b) we are greatly tempted to believe in the existence of a hall moot.

#### Note C. The Quo Warranto Inquiry of Edward I.'s reign.

I have ventured to depart from the common opinion which represents the great Inquiry as a thoroughly successful measure. That either Edward was defeated in his original claim or else had from the first intended to compromise it, seems plain from the Statute Book and the Placita de Quó Warranto. In the proceedings under the Statute of Gloucester of 1278 the king's pleaders assert in the most uncompromising manner that user however long gives no title to a franchise; to urge that the usurpation has been long continued is to aggravate the injury (e.g. P.Q.W. 4). The concession was made by two statutes or ordinances of the year 1290 (Statutes of the Realm, i. 107); the latter of these was regarded by the Dunstable annalist as a welcome measure of relief which justice demanded (Ann. Dunst. p. 360; Ann. Waverl. 395). The change in the law, or in the king's theory of the law, becomes extremely plain if we compare the pleadings of the earlier with those of the later years of the reign (e.g. compare in P.Q.W. Bedfordshire with Cambridgeshire). In the former if the lord prescribes, the king's pleader at once craves judgment; in the latter, he joins issue and the jurors almost always find against the king. See the writ to the justices in Yorkshire announcing the change in the law, P.Q.W. 203, and a case from 1292 in Y.B. 20 and 21 E. I. p. 114. A comparison of the Quo Warranto Inquiries of Edward's day with those of his grandson's day (this is possible in the case of Bedfordshire) seems to prove that most of the franchises claimed at the earlier date were still exercised at the later. They seem to have been well enough liked by the classes from which jurors were drawn.

#### NOTĖ.

THE following typographical devices have been used:—

- Words or letters about which the editor is uncertain are printed in italics.
- Words which appear to have been interpolated or added by way of postscript are printed within ( ).
- Words through which a pen has been drawn, and which therefore seem to form no part of the record as finally settled, are printed within { }.
- Illegible words restored by conjecture and words not in the original which have been inserted in the translation to make it clearer are printed within [ ].
- The letters R. H. stand for the Rotuli Hundredorum; P. Q. W. for the Placita de Quo Warranto.

# PLACITA IN CURIIS MAGNATUM ANGLIE.

SELECT PLEAS FROM MANORIAL ROLLS.

#### MANORS OF THE ABBEY OF BEC.

#### I. THE MANORS OF THE ABBEY OF BEC.

#### INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

THE following extracts are taken from rolls which belonged to the Abbey of Bec and which now belong to King's College, Cambridge. The famous Norman house, the home of Lanfrance and Anselm, naturally became a large landowner in England. It would seem however that she did not obtain any great share in the original distribution of the spoil. In Domesday Book we find that she already is a tenant in capite at Deverel or Deverhill in Wiltshire owing to the liberality of Queen Matilda and holds land at Tooting under Richard FitzGilbert (D. B. i. 68 b. 84 b). But she soon became rich, as appears from a charter of Henry II. which is known to us through an inspeximus (Monast. vi. 1068). This charter confirms to her a large number of manors in various parts of England. Her possessions extend from Devonshire to Norfolk, from Warwickshire to Sussex. Hers was an extremely scattered estate consisting of single manors dotted about in divers In most cases her benefactors were the owners mentioned in Domesday or their immediate successors. She seems to have had cells at Ogbourne in Wiltshire and at Ruislip in Middlesex, and the Priory of S. Neots was also subject to her, though in the fourteenth century the Prior asserted his right to sue and be sued (P. Q. W. 9. 55. 101. 301). In the thirteenth century most of her manors seem to have been under one management. The rolls in question bring this out very plainly. We can follow the steward as he makes his tour twice a year throughout England carrying his rolls with him. This is a point of some interest, for the action of such stewards going about from one corner of the land to another must have tended to produce a great uniformity in manorial customs and thus have supplemented in a humbler sphere the work that was being done by the king's itinerant justices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Monasticon, vi. 1067; Nichols, Alien Priories, i. 22. The early man, Norman Conquest, ii. 214-227.

The manors which will come before us are Preston in Sussex (D. B. i. 24 b), Tooting ('Tooting Beck' is a name still known) in Surrey (D. B. i. 34 b), Combe in Hampshire (D. B. i. 46 b), Wantage in Berks (D. B. i. 57 a), Ogbourne in Wilts (D. B. i. 65 b; R. H. ii. 269), Deverel in Wilts (D. B. i. 68 b), Povington in Dorset (D. B. i. 80 b), Ruislip in Middlesex (D. B. i. 129 b), Bledlow in Bucks (D. B. i. 146 a; P. Q. W. 88), Swincombe in Oxford (D. B. i. 159 b; R. H. ii. 757; P. Q. W. 667), Cottisford in Oxford (R. H. ii. 837), Weedon ('Weedon Beck') in Northants (D. B. i. 223 a, 224 b; P. Q. W. 583), Atherstone in Warwick (D. B. i. 239 b; P. Q. W. 780), Wretham in Norfolk (D. B. ii. 236) and Blakenham in Suffolk (D. B. ii. 851 b), but these are not all the manors with which the rolls deal.

King's College also possesses among its muniments (Dd. 38) a long and handsome roll of parchment containing 'extents' of some of the manors which lie in the south of England. To judge from the handwriting these were compiled in the early part of the thirteenth century. There seem to have been few, if any, freeholders on these southern manors; all the tenants seem to pay merchet and if they die intestate their chattels are at the will and disposition of the lord (' et si intestatus decesserit debent omnia sua bona que possedit in voluntate domini et disposicione remanere'). The two Oxfordshire manors are described on the Hundred Roll of 1279. At Swincombe the abbot has ten customary tenants holding eight acres apiece, who are described as scrvi, and eleven cottars, each of whom holds a croft; but a few miles off at Ewelme were two freeholders, each holding a virgate, who owed suit to the court at Swincombe twice a year (R. II. ii. 757. 761). On the manor at Cottisford there were five freeholders, one of whom had four virgates by the service of holding the abbot's court twice a year, fifteen villani holding virgates and half-virgates and one cottar (R. II. ii. 837).

The courts in these manors seem to have been holden but twice a year. It is possible that they met more frequently under the presidency of the local bailiff for merely formal purposes; but if so what was done at these meetings was not enrolled. The steward seems generally to have made his rounds after Easter and again about Martinmas.

The charter of Henry II. had some large 'general words' of exemption and immunity and confirmed the lands to the abbot cum soka et saka et tol et them et infangenethef et cum omnibus aliis libertatibus et liberis consuctudinibus suis and a charter of Henry III. expressly gave him catalla felonum and the amerce-

ments of his tenants in whatever court they might be amerced. But it seems clear that none of his charters, according to the doctrine of Edward I.'s time, would give him the view of frankpledge which he exercised; for this he had to rely upon prescription (P. Q. W. 55. 88. 101. 301. 462). The earliest of the existing court rolls fail, as I think, to show that he was then seised of the view of frank-pledge or the police jurisdiction that was incident thereto. It is only somewhat late in the day that the capitales decennarii come on the scene with their presentments. But the evidence of silence is insufficient proof. It will be noticed that the rolls do not show us a regular jury of presentment like the 'leet jury' of later times. On the other hand issues between litigants are often tried by jury, the right to a jury being sold by the lord and the purchaser having to pay more in the case of a favourable than in that of an unfavourable verdict.

On the whole these rolls seem good specimens. They are somewhat above the average in interest owing to the number of disputes between litigants. They begin in 1246 and run on, though with many large gaps, through the reign of Edward I. There are many later rolls; but these I have not used. I have given the substance of the earliest rolls. After this I have made selections; but have on many occasions given the whole of the entries which show the business done on a given day in a given manor. It is hoped that in this way a fair picture is presented.

#### PLACITA IN CURIIS MAGNATUM ANGLIE.

¹ PLACITA MANERIORUM BECCENS' IN ANGLIA DE TERMINO DE HOKEDAY ANNO DOMINI Mºº CCºº XLº SEXTO.

#### Bleddel'. Die Sabbati proxima ante Ascenscionem Domini.

Gregorius de Sidenham versus dominum de pl' leg' vad' per Ricardum Molendinarium. aff'.

Jordanus de Henton' versus Willelmum de Mora et Roisiam viduam de placito transgressionis per Johannem Squier. ij°. aff'.

Ricardus filius Lecie de co' sum' per Johannem Harding. aff'.

Johanna vidua versus Widonem Parage de placito transgressionis per Gilebertum filium Mabilie. aff.

Robertus Costard versus Galfridum de la Strette j<sup>\*</sup>. de placito transgressionis per Gilebertum filium Mabilie. aff.

Simon le Franceys versus Johannem de Senholt iij. de placito transgressionis per Odonem de Musel. aff.

Willelmus filius Simonis versus cundem de codem per Ricardum filium Odonis. aff.

{Willelmus le Carpenter} de co' per Johannem le Franceys.

{Willelmus le Franceys} de eodem per Radulfum Kinet. Curia presentavit quod Simon de la Cumbe levavit quandem sepem super terram domini. Ideo prosternatur.

Simon de la Cumbe dat xviij, d. pro licencia concordandi cum Simone le Besmere. Plegii Johannes Sperleng et Johannes Harding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> King's Coll. Camb, C. 1. m. 1; a single membrane.

<sup>\*</sup> de placifo lens tridiate. These essoins are printed as specimens of a very common class of entries, of which but few examples will be given.

<sup>!</sup> The essoiner pledged his faith,

afidacit, that the person whose excuse he brought would make that excuse good, see Brack n. f. 337 b.

t decommon various nations. This is the essent, not of a lit gant, but of one of the surfors of the court who has not obeyed the summons convening the court.

A day is given to Alice of Standen at the next court to produce her charter and her heir.

John Sperling complains that Richard of Newmere on the Sunday next before S. Bartholomew's day last past with his cattle, horses and pigs wrongfully destroyed the corn on his [John's] land to his damage to the extent of one thrave of wheat, and to his dishonour to the extent of two shillings; and of this he produces suit. And Richard comes and defends all of it. Therefore let him go to the law six-handed.' His pledges, Simon Combe and Hugh Frith.

#### Swincombe [Oxfordshire]. Sunday before Ascension Day.

Richard Rastold [essoins himself] of the general summons by William Henry's son.

Hugh Pike and Robert his son are in mercy for wood of the lord thievishly carried away. The fine for each, 6 s. 8 d. Pledges, Roger Abovewood, Hugh Abovewood, Hugo Wood, William Shepherd.

Peter Alexander's son in mercy for the same. Fine, 2 s. Pledge, Alexander his father.

Henry Mile in mercy for waste of the lord's corn. Pledges, Richard Mile and William Shepherd.

John Smith in mercy for not producing what he was pledge to produce. Pledges, Richard Etys and Hugh Wood. Fine, 12 d.

Roger Abovewood and William Shepherd in mercy for not producing what they were pledges to produce . . . Fine, half a sextary of wine.

#### Tooting [Surrey]. Sunday after Ascension Day.

The court presented that the following had encroached on the lord's land, to wit, William Cobbler, Maud Robin's widow (fined 12 d.), John Shepherd (fined 12 d.), Walter

' He must bring five compurgators.

V. G.

11. d.

vj d.

zij. d.

(fin. ij. s.), Willelmus de Morevilla (fin. xij. d.), Hamo de Hageldon' (fin. xij. d.), et Mabilia relicta Spendelove (fin. vj. sviii d d.). Ideo in misericordia.

> Goduynus in misericordia quia contempsit facere quod ei fuit preceptum ex parte domini. finis xij. d.

Rogerus Ruffus in miscricordia pro detencione redditus. Plegius Jordanus de Stretham. fin. vj. d.

Una acra quam Sarra vidua tenuit de terra Willelmi Roce capta est in manum domini donec producat warantum suum.

Willelmus de Stretham in misericordia quia non habuit quod plegiavit. fin. xij. d.

### Risselep. Die Martis proxima post Ascensionem Domini.

Curia presentat quod Nicholaus Brakespere non est in decena et tenet terram. Ideo distringatur.

Fractores assise Alicia relicta Salvage (fin. xij. d.), Agnotta amica Bercarii, Rogerus Canon (fin. vj. d.), Uxor Ricardi Chayham, Relicta Petri ultra nemus, Uxor Radulfi Cok (fin. vj. d.), Alewyne (fin. vj. d.), Johannes Bercarius (fin. vj. d.), Galfridus Carpentarius, Roysa uxor Molendinarii (fin. vj. d.), Willelmus Albus, Johannes Carpentarius, Johannes Bradif.

Rogerus filius Hamonis dat xx. s. pro habenda saisina terre que fuit patris, et pro habenda inquisicione xij. de quadam crofta quam Gilebertus Bisuthe tenet. Gilebertus le Lamb, Willelmus filius Johannis et Robertus

Isabella relicta Petri in misericordia pro transgressione quam Johannes filius suus fecit in bosco domini. Finis xviij. d. Plegii Gilebertus Bisuthe et Ricardus Robin.

Ricardus Malevill' in lege contra dominum qued non abstulit servientibus domini namia sua ad dampnum et

1 Essoins omitted.

zviij d. zviij. d.

1) d.

...

2 \* 11 j ·1

let

dedecus xx. sol. Plegii Gilebertus Bisuthe et Ricardus Hubert.

Hugo de Arbore in misericordia pro averiis suis captis in gardino domini. Plegii Walterus de la Hulle et Willelmus Slipere. finis vj. d.

Xij. juratores dicunt quod Hugo de Cruce habet jus in fossato et haya unde contencio fuit inter ipsum et Willelmum Album. Ideo teneat in pace, et dictus Willelmus distringatur pro pluribus transgressionibus. (Postea fecit finem xij. d.) Dicunt eciam quod haya que est inter relictam Druet et Willelmum Slipere debet quamdiu fossatum se extendit dividi per medium fossatum, ita quod cresta fossati est divisa inter cos co quod ca cresta crecta fuit super antiquam divisam.

- . . . gus i filius Rogeri Clerici dat xx. sol. pro habenda saisina terre que fuit patris sui. Plegii Gilebertus . . . et Hugo de Cruce.
- . . . j. mar. pro habenda saisina terre que fuit matris sue ultra nemus. Plegii Willelmus . . . Robertus Marleward.

# PLACITA MANERIORUM BECCENSIUM DE TERMINO S. MARTINI ANNO GRACIE M.O. CCO. XLO SEPTIMO.

### Ockeborn'. Die Lune proxima ante festum S. Mathei Apostoli.

Atachiamenta hominum domini Willelmi Longesp' ponuntur in respectu usque ad proximam curiam sub cadem plevina, et Heiwardus habet penes se particulas et nomina plegiorum.

Atachiamenta hominum domini Sampsonis Foliot in respectu.

Rogerus Playdur in lege contra Nicholaum Crok quod ipse non interfecit payonem suam nec aliquis de suis.

lez

<sup>&#</sup>x27; The roll is torn

King's Coll Camb., C. 2. m. 1. A roll of four small membranes filed at the tops.

...

# B

15 e

Plegii Ringerus et Jordanus. Postea fecit legem. Ideo quietus.

Willelmus filius Eve in lege contra Ringerum quod non verberavit equam suam ad dampnum suum v. s. Plegii de lege Ricardus de Horiford et Ricardus de Lortimere.

De duabus villatis ad tallagium Abbatis x. m.

Ricardus . . . in misericordia quia fuit in defectu ad precaries i autumpnales. fin. vj. d.

De Ricardo de Lortemere vj. d. pro codem.

The Manufacture and continue c

De Henrico Preposito pro ovibus captis in warda facta et defectu caringii iij. [sol.].

De tota villata Minoris Okeburne [exce]ptis septem quia non venerunt ad lavand' oves domini dim. m.

De Minore Okeburne pro defectu falcacionis dim. m.

### Waneting'. Die Mercurii proxima ante festum S. Mathei Apostoli.

Henricus filius Aelene dat xx. sol. pro habenda saisina masuagii quod mater sua tenuit per licenciam ipsius Aelene. Plegii Henricus le Teler et Robertus le Baretor salvo herieto ipsius Aelene.

Rogerus de Fraxino in lege contra Galfridum de Puteo quod non debet ei xvj. d. nec eos injuste ei detinuit a festo S. Petri Advincula proximo uno anno elapso usque nunc. Plegii de lege Willelmus Lovel et Henricus de Fraxino.

Hugo filius Ade dat ij. s. de ingressu et ij. altilia de redditu annuo de die Invencionis S. Crucis pro habenda

<sup>1</sup> Sic. 1 Twelve entries similar to the last are omitted.

21 .

dim m.

.

V. B.

1) m.

licencia tenendi quandam particulam terre quam Cristiana relicta Petri de Cimiterio ei dimisit.

Tota villata dat de tallagio Abbatis xl. s.

Willelmus Iremangere dat dim. m. pro habenda saisina masuagii quod Willelmus le Prest tenuit et pro ducenda relicta ipsius Willelmi. Plegii Eurardus Biwestebrok et Hugo de Wika.

#### 'Weddon'. In vigilia S. Michaelis.

Ricardus le Boys de Auteneston' juravit fidelitatem pro terra que fuit patris sui et invenit plegios de quatuor sol. pro relevio suo scil. Willelmum Clericum de cadem, Godefridum Seniorem, et Rogerum Fabrum.

Elyas Deynte resignavit terram suam in plena curia et Willelmus Deynte filius ejus fuit inde saisitus et juravit fidelitatem et invenit predictos plegios pro v. s. de relevio suo. Postea solvit.

Tota villata dat de tallagio Abbatis vj. mar.

Villata presentat quod malecredunt Robertus Dochy et Willelmum Tale eo quod fecerunt finem cum militibus coram justiciariis quum rettati fuerunt de latrocinio.

Fractores assise, Willelmus Parys, Ricardus Cappe, Matillis relicta Roberti Cartere, Walterus Cartere, Rogerus Faber, Ricardus filius Widonis, Willelmus Grene, Gilebertus filius Vicarii, Wido Lauman.

Willelmus Grene et Wydo Lauueman habent gallonas insufficientes.

Johannes le Mercer dabit iij, gallinas annuatim ad festum S. Martini pro habenda advocacione domini, et recipitur in thedinga.

All the entries found under this heading are here copied.

v. sol.

aviii d

griff d.

vj d.

II) m.

j m. dim.

vj 4.

vj. d.

11 4

#### Wrotham. Die Veneris proxima post festum 8. Michaelis.

Gillebertus filius Ricardi dat v.s. pro habenda licencia ducendi uxorem. Plegius Seeman. Terminus Purif.

Mulieres subscripte violate fuerunt et ideo debent leyrwite Botild filia Aluredi (fin. vj. d.), Margareta filia Stephani (fin. xij. d., plegius Gilebertus filius Ricardi), Agnes filia Scemanni (fin. xij. d., plegius idem Sceman), Agnes filia Jori (fin. vj. d., plegius Galfridus Frankelayn), Magotta filia Edithe (fin. vj. d.).

De villata ad tallagium Abbatis iij. marc.

### Blakeham. Die Martis proxima post 8. Fidis festum.

Nicholaus filius Sacerdotis (fin. xij. d.) et Robertus de Mogedon' (fin. xij. d.) in misericordia quia contradixerunt tallagium quod positum fuit super cos per vicinos suos.

#### 'Totting'. Die Martis proxima post festum 8. Dionisii.

Tota villata dat de tallagio Abbatis ij. m. dim.

Willelmus Jordan in misericordia quia male aravit terram domini. Plegius Arthurus. fin. vj. d.

Jon Bercarius in misericordia quia preocupavit super divisam juxta terram suam. Plegius Walterus Prepositus. fin. vj. d.

Lucia Ruffa in misericordia pro averiis suis captis in pastura domini in warda facta. Plegius Hamon de Hagheldon'. (In respectu.)

Elyas de Stretham in misericordia pro defectu servicii in autumpno. fin. vj. d.

Bartholomeus Chaloner qui fuit in lege contra Reginaldum filium Sueyn defecit in lege. Ideo in misericordia et satisfaciat predicto Reginaldo de dampno et pudore suo scil.

All the entries found under this head are here cepied

dim m.

1 m

22 ml.

11. sol.

rj den.

vj. s. Plegii Willelmus Sutor et Willelmus Spendeloue. fin. vj. gallon'.

Radulfus de Morevilla dat dim. marc. per plevinam Jordani de Stretham et Willelmi Spendeloue pro habenda jurata ad inquirendum utrum propinquior heres sit terre quam Willelmus de Morevilla tenet. Et xij. juratores veniunt et dicunt quod nullum jus habet in predicta terra immo Willelmus Scot majus jus habet in eadem terra quam aliquis alius. Et predictus Willelmus dat j. marc. per plevinam Hamonis de Hageldon' et Willelmi de Morevilla et Reginaldi Sueyn et Ricardi Leaware pro habenda saisina predicte terre post mortem predicti Willelmi de Morevilla si forte supervixerit eum.

Postea venit predictus Willelmus Scot et quietum clamavit totum jus quod habuit in predicta terra cum pertinenciis cuidam Willelmo filio Willelmi de Morevilla per licenciam domini, et idem Willelmus dat xx. sol. pro habenda saisina ejusdem terre, et saisitus est inde, et juravit fidelitatem. Walterus serviens recipiat plegios.

#### ' Deurel. Die Sabbati proxima post festum S. Leonardi.

Willelmus Molendinarius in lege quod non fuit plegius Willelmi Scut de Hulle de ovibus suis captis in pastura augnorum. Plegii de lege Willelmus Porcarius et Thomas Guner.

Arnoldus Faber in misericordia quia non habuit predictum Willelmum Scut quem plegiavit.

Persona ecclesie in misericordia pro vacca sua capta in prato domini. Plegii Thomas Guner et Willelmus Coke.

Villata dat de tallagio Abbatis ij. marc.

De Willelmo Cobbe, Willelmo Coke et Waltero Doggeskin ij. sol. pro warda vij. porcorum Roberti Gentil et pro dampno quod fecerunt in blado domini.

De Martino Bercario vj. den. pro plaga quam fecit Pekinno.

All the entries found under this heading are here copied.

vj. d.

vj d.

vj. d.

ij. sol.

211 4

zij. d.

¥1. 4.

T. -

' Pouinton. Die Lune proxima post festum Lucie Virginis.

Galfridus de Lutteton' versus Isabellam relictam Stephani de pl' leg' vad' per Radulfum Quechepuke.

15. mar. dim. Tota villata dat de tallagio Abbatis ij. marc. dim.

Gonilda de la Pole et Johannes filius ejus in miscricordia pro injuria illata Alicie la Webbe et matri ejus. Plegius Willelmus de Witeway. fin. vj. d.

# Risselep'. Die Martis proxima post festum Purificacionis B. Virginis.

Robertus Coc in misericordia pro bosco domini. Plegius Willelmus Baldewyn'. fin. vj. d.

Johannes Brasdefer in misericordia pro codem. Plegii Willelmus Coc et Arthurus le Gardinir. fin. vi. d.

Ricardus Malevill' dat ij. sol. pro licencia concordandi cum Willelmo de Pinnore de placito transgressionis. Plegii Robertus Maureward' et Willelmus de Felda.

Robertus le King in misericordia pro bosco domini. Plegii Ricardus Malevill' et Robertus Maureward. fin. xij. d.

Ricardus Brun in misericordia pro codem. Plegii Willelmus Slipere et Gilebertus Lamb', fin. xij. d.

Alwynus Bithewod' in misericordia pro codem. Plegii Willelmus Baldewyn' et Willelmus Coc. fin. vj. d.

Ragenilda de Becco dat ij. sol. quia nupsit sine licencia. Plegius Willelmus de Pinnore. Endem Ragenilda petit quoddam masuagium versus Rogerum de Lofta et Julianam uxorem ejus quod fuit Roberti le Beck', et conceditur ei jurata xij. legalium hominum per predictum finem et si recuperet seisinam dabit per totum v. sol. Et electi sunt xij. juratores seil. Johannes de Hulla, Willelmus Maureward', Robertus in Hale, Walterus le But, Walterus Sigar, Willelmus

All the entries found under this heading are copied

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Esseins emitted.

Brihtwin, Richard forseman, Richard Leofred, William John's son, Hugh Cross, Richard Pontfret and Robert Croyser, John Bisuth, and Gilbert Bisuthe, who are sworn. And they say that the said Ragenilda has the greater right. Therefore let her have seisin.

William But in mercy for his pigs caught doing damage to the lord. Pledges, Robert Maureward and Walter Reaper's son. Fine, 6 d.

Alvena Leofred is at her law six-handed against Isabella of Hayes [to prove] that she did not take from her a certain knife on the Friday after Midsummer day last past, to her damage and dishonour 3 s. Pledges for her law, William Blund and William Shepherd. Afterwards they compromise by leave of the court so that Alvena engages to pay an amercement (fixed at 6 d.), on the security of the said two pledges.

Isabella Jonant demands a certain messuage with a croft which Arthur Gardener holds and gives 12 d. to have a jury of the said twelve men, and if she recovers she will give 2s. Pledges, Robert Fountain and John Gery. And the twelve jurors mentioned above come and say that the said Isabella has the greater right.

Ruislip [Middlesex]. Saturday after the Purification of the Blessed Virgin.<sup>3</sup>

Richard Guest gives 12 d. and if he recovers will give 2 s. to have a jury of twelve lawful men as to whether he has the greater right in a certain headland at Eastcot which Ragenilda widow of William Andrews holds, or the said Ragenilda. Pledges for the fine, John Brook and Richard of Pinner. And the said Ragenilda comes and says that she has no power to bring that land into judgment 3 because she has no right in it save by reason of the ward-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are fourteen names; the two last seem to have been added to an original list of twelve.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The court scems to have been

adjourned from Tuesday to Saturday.

She cannot, that is, act in litigation as tenant of the land.

21). d.

dim. in

racion ustodie filii et heredis viri sui qui est infra etatem. Et Ric, us non potest hoc dedicere. Ideo expectet etatem.

Agnes la Strette dat xij. d. pro licencia concordandi cum Alicia le la Strette. Plegii Arthurus Porcarius et Cristianus Le tred.

Walterus de la Hulle dat j. marc. pro habenda licencia manendi super terram Prioris de Hermodesworth' quamdiu viverit ita tamen quod invenit plegios seil. Willelmum Slipere, Johannem Bisuthe, Gillebertum Bisuthe, Hugonem de Arbore, Willelmum filium Johannis, Johannem de la Hulle qui manucapiunt quod predictus Walterus faciet domino omnia servicia et consuetudines que faceret ei si maneret super terram suam et quod herietum suum salvum erit domino si forte ibi moriatur.

Willelmus Albus dat dim. marc. pro habenda saisina terre que fuit Ricardi patris sui. Plegii Arthurus Porcarius et Ricardus de Pinnore.

#### Weddon'. Die Veneris proxima ante Nativitatem S. Johannis Baptisto.

Curia presentavit quod Willelmus filius Noss nativus domini est et fugitivus et manet apud Doddeford. Ideo petendus. Dicunt eciam quod Willelmus Askil, Johannes Persone et Godefridus Grene furtive asportaverunt quatuor aucas de villa de Horepol.

Johannes Witrich' in misericordia pro pullo suo capto in blado domini. Plegii Wido Loue et Simon Winebold.

Xij. juratores veniunt et dicunt quod Guner Lutting nullum jus habet in dimidia virgata terre quam Ricardus Oppmel tenet. Ideo predictus Ricardus inde sine die et Gunerus solvat ij. s. de fine quem fecit pro jurata habenda per plevinam Simonis Champiun et Thome Askil.

Lesoins omitted.

tij a

ij. a.

#### Weddon'. Die B. Petri ad Vincula.

Johannes filius Henrici dat iij. s. et si recuperet dabit iij. marc. pro habenda jur' xij. ad inquirendum utrum majus jus habeat in medietate unius virgate terre cum pertinenciis in Wedon' quam medietatem clamat versus Radulfum Winebaud et Julianam uxorem suam qui medietatem inde tenent et versus Galfridum Winebaud qui alteram medictatem inde tenet an predicti Radulfus Juliana et Galfridus. Et electi sunt xij. juratores scil. Willelmus Grene Letard, Walterus de la Grene, Johannes Richeman, David King, Galfridus Tonstal, Aylewinus Crispus, Johannes Tailloor, Ricardus filius Widonis, Wido Caretarius, Guner Bissop, Simon filius Prepositi, Robertus Brokhole, Johannes Cade. Johannes Bernard, et Willelmus Brother. Qui jur' veniunt et dicunt quod predictus Johannes nullum jus habet in predicta terra. Et ideo consideratum est quod predicti tenentes inde sine die et predictus Johannes solvat iij. sol. per plevinam Godefridi Franceys et Godefridi le Tailloor.

Galfridus Suweyn petit medietatem unius virgate terre quam Johannes Crispus et Alina del Hel tenent, et dat ij. sol. pro habenda jurata, et si recuperet dabit xx. sol. Et predicti juratores veniunt et dicunt super sacramentum suum quod predictus Galfridus nullum jus habet in predicta terra. Ideo predicti tenentes inde sine die et predictus Galfridus solvat ij. sol. Plegii Hugo Bussel et Godefridus Franceys.

Juliana filia Sair petit medietatem unius masuagii cum crofta quod masuagium Willelmus Snel et Goda uxor ejus soror predicte Juliane tenent ut jus suum. Et concordati sunt per licenciam ita quod predicti Willelmus et Goda dant predicte Juliane unum horreum et unum curtillagium propinquius la Grene et duos seillones in predicta crofta ex parte occidentis. Et predictus Willelmus posuit se in [mm] un 4 misericordia. fin. iij. den.

Hugo de Stanbrig queritur de Gileberto filio Vicarii et

1 Essoins omitted.

and William of Stanbridge that the wife of the said Gilbert who is of [Gilbert's] mainpast and the said William unjustly etc. beat and unlawfully struck him and dragged him by his hair out of his own proper house, to his damage 40 s. and to his dishonour 20 s., and [of this] he produces suit. And Gilbert and William come and defend all of it fully. Therefore let each of them go to his law six-handed. Afterwards they make accord to this effect that in case the said Hugh shall hereafter in any manner offend against [Gilbert and William] and thereof shall be convicted he will give the lord 6 s. 8 d. by way of penalty and will make amends to [Gilbert and William] according to the judgment of six lawful men, and the others on their part will do the like by him. And Hugh put himself in mercy. Fine, 8 s. Pledges, John Tailor and Walter Brother.

Breakers of the assize [of beer:] William Idle (fined 6 d.), Maud Carter's widow (6 d.), Walter Carter.

John Witriche in mercy for carrying off thorns. Fine, 6 d.

Robert Dochi in mercy (fine, 2 d.) for divers trespasses. Pledges, Gilbert Priest's son, Ralph Winbold and Walter Green

Ailwin Crisp in mercy for his cow caught in the lord's pasture when ward had been made. Fine, 12 d.

John Bernard in mercy for his beasts caught by night in the lord's meadow. Fine, 2s.

Richard Love gives 12 d. to have a jury of twelve touching a rod of land which Robert of Brockhole and Juliana his wife hold. This action is respited to the next court [when the jurors are to come] without further delay. Afterwards the jurors come and say upon their oath that the said Richard has the greater right in the said land. Therefore let him have seisin.

<sup>1</sup> As to the making of ward, see above, p. 10, note 1.

TJ. 4.

11. ..

11. s.

11 .

211 4.

# PLACITA MANERIORUM BECCENSIUM ANNO DOMINI M=0 CC=0 XL\* NONO.

#### Ockeburn.' Die Jovis in ebdomada Pentecostes.

Willelmus Blakeberd' in misericordia quia non venit cum lego sua sicut debuit. Plegii Galfridus de Wyka et Galfridus Payn. fin. vi. d.

Presentatum fuit quod Stephanus Pastor de nocte percussit sororem suam cum quodam cultello et cam enormiter culneracit. Ideo committatur prisone. Postea fecit finem ij. s. Plegius Galfridus de Wika.

Presentatum fuit quod Robertus filius Cartitar' de nocte invasit Petrum le Borgeys et ad hostium suum jactavit lapides in felonia ita quod predictus Petrus levavit hutesium. Ideo committatur predictus Robertus prisone. Postea fecit finem ij. s.

Nicholaus Drye, Henricus le Notte (fin. xij. d.) et Thomas de Hoga (fin. xij. d.) convicti fuerunt quod de nocte invaserunt domum domini Thome Capellani et unum hominem et unam mulierem ibidem hospitatos vi ejecerunt. Ideo in misericordia. Plegii predicti Thome Ricardus de Lortemere et Jordanus de Parys. Item plegii predicti Henrici, Ricardus Pen. . . . et Ricardus Butry.

Adam Moyses dat dimidiam sextariam vini pro habenda inquisicione utrum Henricus Ayulf imposuit ei crimen latrocinii et dixit opprobria et verba contumeliosa. Postea concordati sunt, et Henricus vadiat misericordiam. fin. xii. d.

Isabella Surardi in miserianria quia vandidit. Ricard

Isabella Sywardi in misericordia quia vendidit Ricardo Bodenham terram quam ci warantizare non potuit.

Omnes carucarii Majoris Ockeburn convicti fuerunt per sacramentum xij. . . . . . . domini male fuit arata

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> King's Coll. Camb. C 3. A the assize probably the assize of damaged roll of three rotulets.

<sup>\*</sup> A small hole . The roll is damaged.

A list of those who have broken

their default [the land] of the lord was ill ploughed whereby the lord is damaged to the amount of 9 s. . . . . . And Walter Reaper is in mercy for concealing [i.e. not giving information as to] the said bad ploughing. Afterwards he made fine with the lord with 1 mark.

From Ralph Joce 6 s. 8 d. for his son, because he [the son] unlawfully carried off corn from the lord's court. Pledge, Geoffrey Joce.

From Henry Pink 12 d. for a trespass by waylaying.1

From Eve Corner 6 d. for a trespass of her pigs.

From Ralph Scales 6 d. for timber carried off.

From William Cooper 12 d. for ploughing his own land with the lord's plough without licence.

From Hugh Newman 12 d. for trespass in the wood.

From Richard Penant 12 d. for the same.

From Helen widow of Little Ogbourne 6 d. for the same.

From Nicholas Siward 6 d. for a false complaint against William Pafey.

From William Pafey 12 d. for fighting with the said Nicholas.

From the widow of Ralph Shepherd 6 d. for a trespass in Pencombe.

Bledlow [Bucks]. Friday after S. Peter at Chains.

. . . . . . .

Richard Blund gives a half-mark and if he recovers will give two marks and a half to have a jury of the whole court,<sup>3</sup> to inquire whether he has the greater right in a virgate of land which Hugh Frith holds in wardship with Cristiana daughter of Simon White, or the said Cristiana. Pledges for the fine, Richard Dene, William Hulle, John of Schholt, Hugh Smith, and William Ketelburn. And the whole court say upon their oath that the said Richard has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This scems the meaning of forsteal. See Schmid, Gesetze, Glossar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This feast is 1 Aug. <sup>3</sup> of the whole court substituted for of twelve lawful men.

Ricardus majus jus habet in predicta terra quam aliquis alius. Et ideo recuperet saisinam suam.

ij. marc.

Petrus Coterel dat ij. marc. pro habenda saisina terre que fuit patris sui salva Roisie matri sue tercia parte ejusdem terre. Plegii Willelmus Ketelburn, Simon le Franceys, Willelmus Costard et Johannes de Senholt.

. . . molend' dat iiij. sol. pro transgressione cervisie et pro blado domini male custodito apud molendinum. Plegii Johannes Orped et Jocius Serviens.

. . . . . . .

#### 'Wedon'. Die Lune proxima post festum S. Leonardi.

j. marc.

Simon Wynebaud dat j. marc. pro habenda saisina quarte partis unius virgate terre quam Galfridus frater ejus tenuit. Plegii Willelmus Askil et Radulfus Wynebaud.

XX. 8.

Agatha filia Roberti filii Matillidis dat xx. s. pro habenda saisina tercie partis illius virgate terre quam Johannes de Bledd' tenuit eo quod pertinet ad ipsam tanquam racionabilis pars sua. Plegii Hugo Bussel, Willelmus Askil et Gillebertus filius Sacerdotis.

Rogerus Faber queritur de Roberto de Brokehole de una acra terre quam predictus Rogerus conduxerat de Matillide filia predicti Roberti<sup>2</sup> ad terminum quatuor annorum et dicit quod predictus Robertus manucepit tenere ei terminum suum, et dat ij. s. pro habenda inquisicione.

ij. s.

ij. s.

Gillebertus filius Sacerdotis queritur de codem Roberto de tribus acris terre codem modo, et dat ij. sol. pro habenda inquisicione.

ij. •.

3 •

Noes dat ij. sol. codem modo pro inquisicione de una acra. Postea posuerunt se in arbitros qui consideraverunt quod predictus Robertus solvet predicto Rogero iij. sol. et predicto Gilleberto vj. sol. et predicto Noes vij. sol. et ad hoc invenit pleg'.

<sup>1</sup> m. 2. 

<sup>2</sup> Rogeri corrected into Roberti.

<sup>3</sup> The roll becomes ragged.

#### Cottisford [Oxfordshire]. Vigil of S. Martin.1

Ralph Bar in mercy for having beaten one of the lord's men. Pledges, Herbert Rede and Ralph Brunild.

For the common fine of the township, a half-mark.

John Boneffant found pledges, to wit, William Smith and William of Bledlow, that he will not eloign himself from the lord's land and that he will be prompt to obey the lord's summons.

. . . . . . .

PLEAS OF THE MANORS OF THE ABBEY OF BEC IN THE THIRD AND FOURTH YEARS OF ED-WARD I. [A.D. 1275-6].

### Bledlow [Northamptonshire]. Court holden on the Monday next before the feast of S. Luke.

Hugh le Pee in mercy (fine, 12 d.) for concealing a sheep for half a year. Pledges, Simon of Newmere, John of Senholt.

William Ketelburn in mercy (fine, 13 s. 4d.) for divers trespasses. Pledge, Henry Ketelburn.

Hugh Derwin for pasture, 6 d. Richard Hulle for divers trespasses, 12 d. Henry Stanhard for pasture, 6 d.

John Churchyard for subtraction of work. Hugh Osmund for pasture, 6 d. Alice Andrew's widow, 6 d.

John Osiet in mercy for a trespass; fine, 12 d. Gregory Miller for a trespass, 4 s.; pledge, Robert Serjeant.

William Derwin for a trespass, 6 d.; pledge, William Sperling.

Hugh Hall gives the lord 12 d. that he may have the judgment of the court as to a tenement and two acres of land, which he demands as of right, so he says. And it being asserted that the said land is not free[hold] let the court say its say. And the court says that the tenement and one of the two acres are of servile condition and that the other acre is of free condition. The case is reserved for the lord's presence. Pledge, John Brian.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; S. Martin is 11 Nov.

iij. s.

liij. lib.

xilj. s. liij. d.

x. s.

Johannes Palmerus seisitus est tenemento patris sui et mij. s. mij. d. dat domino de ingressu liij. s. iiij. d.

vi. s. viij. d. Willelmus Ketelburn dat domino vj. sol. viij. d. ut amoveatur ab officio prepositure. Robertus Serviens plegius.

Willelmus de la Fridh' pro opere subtracto (vj. d.), Johannes Raghenild pro eodem (vj. d.), Johannes de Sahenholt' (xij. d.) Willelmus Ketelburn (xij. d.).

De communi fine c. sol. ad festum S. Andree Apostoli.
Ricardus de Hull' (vj. d.). Alanus de Buritrop (vj. d.).
Relicta Fabri (vj. d.). Matillis Martin (vj. d.). Alicia
Coterel (vj. d.). Robertus Molendinarius (vj. d.). Philippus

Chepman (vj. d.). Petronilla de Strata (vj. d.). Hugo Squier (xij. d.). Simon de Niwemere (vj. d.). Willelmus de la Fridh' (vj. d.). Hugo Wyking (xij. d.).

Presentatum est per capit' decen' quod Godefridus Serviens fecit defaltam et quod Johannes le Pee levavit unum fossatum injuste iccirco emendetur.

Robertus Faber seisitus est tenemento patris sui et dat domino de ingressu iiij. lib. Robertus Serviens plegius.

Willelmus Ketelburn pro transgressione xiij. sol. iiij. d. Summa xiiij. lib. iij. sol. viij. d.

#### Cotesford. Curia tenta die Martis proxima ante festum S. Luce Evangeliste.

Radulfus de Croultham' in miscricordia (vj. d.) pro transgressione. Willelmus filius Rogeri, Rogerus Faber.

> Ricardus de la Forde seysitus est tenemento et terra quam tenuit Roberus Parmentar et dat domino de ingressu x. sol. Wido, Rogerus Faber plegii.

De communi fine vill' xx. s. ad festum S. Andree Apostoli.

A certain unknown man ' gives the lord 20 s. for leave to contract [marriage] with a certain widow. Pledge, John Serjeant.

Total of monies due, 50 s. 6 d.

# Weedon Beck [Northamptonshire]. Court holden on S. Luke's day.

For the general fine payable on S. Thomas's day, 10 marks. William Fleming gives £4<sup>2</sup> for leave to contract [marriage] with widow Susan. Pledge, Richard Serjeant.

John Mabely gives the lord 3 s. to have the judgment of twelve men as to certain land whereof Noah deforces him; pledges, Richard Smith, Ralph Bernard. The said jurors say that Noah the Fat has right; therefore etc.

Agnes Stampelove gives the lord 2 s. for leave to come and go in the vill but to dwell outside the lord's land. Pledge, Richard Smith.

Richard Plumer for a trespass, 13 s. 4 d. Walter Wide for the same, 13 s. 4 d.

Godfrey Tailor the younger for a trespass, 2 s.

The plea between Stephen Franklain and John Tailor is adjourned to the next court, when no essoin is to be allowed.

Whereas Godfrey Tailor the younger has demanded against Noah a farthing land, now the action is compromised in manner following:—Godfrey for himself and his heirs remises to the said Noah and his heirs all right and claim which he has or can have in the said farthing land by reason of the gift made by his grandfather John Tailor.

Agnes Mabely is put in seisin of a farthing land which her mother held, and gives the lord 33 s. 4 d. for entry money. Pledges, Noah, William Askil.

The full court declares that in case any woman shall

below, where the receipts of the court are added up.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Some one, I suppose, who does not wish that his name should be made public.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is a very large sum; but the figures are correct, as appears

No jurors have been previously mentioned.

Bij d.

ij. s.

111) a

zviij. d.

21. d.

domini plene egressa et fuerit maritata libero homini poterit tunc bene revertere et recuperare dicta mulier jus et clamium si quod habet in aliqua terra; si autem copulata fuerit servo, tunc servo vivente non poterit, set post mortem bene potest.

Robertus Wyd dat domino vj. s. viij. d. pro relaxanda secta sua usque ad festum S. Michaelis. Colinus de Camera plegius.

Summa xiiij. lib. iiij. d.

#### Adereston'. Curia tenta die dominica proxima post festum S. Luce Evangeliste.

Willelmus filius Alicie seisitus est j. furno in regia strata, sustinebit domum propriis sumptibus et dat de ingressu xij. d. et de annuo redditu x. sol. ad tres terminos anni, viz. ad festum S. Martini iij. s. iiij. d. ad Annunciacionem iij. s. iiij. d. ad Nativitatem iij. s. iiij. d. Adam Clericus, Johannes Deboneir' plegii.

Radulfus Marescalcus seisitus est tenemento patris et dat de annuo redditu xij. d. et de ingressu ij. s. Robertus de Overton', Adam Clericus plegii.

Sarra Lotrix reddidit burgagium suum in manus domini de que scisitus est Thomas de Fulwde et dat de ingressu iiij. s. et invenit plegios Willelmum Alicon, Thomam Julian, Thomam Pistorem qued libertas per illum in nulle lederetur.

Hawisa dat domino vj. d. Laurencius Fullo plegius pro consideracione curie habenda de j. placia quam ad firmam tradidit et dat domino xij. d. pro habenda seisina. Alexander Rotarius, Thomas Lucas plegii.

Dulcia dat domino xij. d. pro consideracione curie de dote sua. Hugo Tulluse, Thomas Lucas plegii. It is presented that Stace Hulle (fine, 12 d.) has received [strangers] contrary to the assize, also Godfrey of Widon (fine, 6 d.) and Hugh Tulluse (fine, 6 d.), also they say that Geoffrey Turner has committed a trespass (fine, 6 d.). Robert Meke for a trespass, 12 d. Thomas Baker, 12 d.

For the general fine of the vill payable on S. Andrew's day, 30 s.

Total of monies due, £4.0 s. 10 d.

Tooting [Surrey]. Court holden on Monday before the feast of S. Leonard.

. . . . . . .

John son of Alma demands a cottage which Henry Fleming holds and gives the lord 12 d. for the oath and recognition of 12 men; pledge, Richard Jordan. The jurors say that Henry Fleming has the better right.

. . . . . . .

Baldwin Cobbler's son finds [as pledges] Walter Cobbler, Roger of Broadwater, Robert Linene, William Frances, that notwithstanding <sup>3</sup> his stay in London he will always make suit with his tithing and will at no time claim any liberty contrary to the lord's will and will come to the lord whenever the lord wills.

. . . . . . .

### Preston [Sussex]. Court holden on the day of S. Martin.

Simon Patrick gives the lord 12 d. to have the judgment of the court as to a cottage of which the widow of Geoffrey Dogers deforces him; pledge, Simon of Strode. The said jurors 5 say that the said Simon has the better right. And the said Simon remises and quit-claims all his right to his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Stubbs, Select Charters, for the writ of 1233, forbidding the reception of strangers for more than one night.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S. Leonard is 6 Nov.

This seems the meaning of propter in this case.

S. Martin is 11 Nov.

No jurors have yet been mentioned.

sue et Johanni Horin marito suo, x. sol. dat' domino de ingressu. Symon Patrik, Johannes Talk' plegii.

'Suynecumb'. Curia tenta in vigilia Apostolorum Philippi et Jacobi.

Ricardus de Cruce in misericordia pro sanguine effuso (vi. d.). Petrus de Cruce plegius.

Henricus de la Dene (xij. d.) Johannes Forestarius (vj. d.) Radulfus Muncy (vj. d.) Johannes de la Hacshe (vj. d.) Adam Wille (xij. d.) Henricus Bunting (xij. d.) Johannes Carter (xij. d.) Johannes de Fonte (xij. d.)

Henricus de la Dene (xij. d.) Johannes de la Hacshe (vj. d.) Relicta Wace (vj. d.).

### Bledelawe. Curia tenta in festo Apostolorum Philippi et Jacobi.

Robertus de Cruce pro pastura vj. d.

Hugo Wyking quia non sequitur molendinum domini xij. d.

Ricardus de la Hull' (xij. d.) Robertus de ('ruce (vj. d.)

Relicta W. Ketelburn (vj. d.) Matillis Martin (xij. d.)

Willelmus de Mora (vj. d.) Robertus Molendinarius (vj. d.)

Petronilla de Strata (xij. d.) Hugo Wyking, Henricus de

Lolkesbergh' (vj. d.) Willelmus de la Fridh', Lucia Blakston

(vj. d.) Alicia Harding (vj. d.).

Presentatum est quod Willelmus (Derewyn) et Johannes
Derewyn' transgress' fecerunt (xij. d.) in Agnetem de la
Den' et clamor levatus fuit ideo etc.

19 4. Hugo de Cimiterio contraxit sine licencia (xij. d.).

Juliana Forestar' distringatur pro defalta (et) Willelmus de la Mora.

Johannes Kulbel in misericordia (xij. d.) quia non habuit Gregorium Molendinarium et preceptum est quod habeat eum ad proximam curiam.

Hugo filius Andree dat domino iiij. s. pro licencia nubendi. Robertus Serviens plegius.

<sup>1</sup> Probably it is John who gives the heading are here printed, money.
1 All the entries found under this heading are here printed.

Juliana Forester gives the lord 12 d. in order that for the future no occasion may be taken against her for neglect of suit of court.

John Franklain is put in seisin of his father's tenement and gives the lord 20 s. for entry; pledge, Robert Serjeant.

Henry Cross gives the lord 4 s. for licence to marry; pledge, Robert Serjeant.

### Tooting [Surrey]. Court holden on Saturday before Ascension Day.

. . . . . . .

Hugh Ellis has demised and let to William Smith the acre of land called Lusemead for a term of nineteen years, and in case the said Hugh shall die within the said term and so be unable to warrant the said meadow he has obliged himself by plighted troth to keep the said William indemnified and to secure him his chattels. And for the making of this entry on the roll the said William gives the lord 2s.; pledge, Robert Serjeant.

# Cottisford [Oxford]. Court holden on Tuesday after Trinity Sunday.

Isabella Warin gives the lord 4 s. for leave to give her daughter Mary in marriage; pledge, John Scrjeant.

It is presented by the whole township that Ralph le War has disseised the lord of a moiety of a hedge, whereas it had often been adjudged by award of the court that the said hedge belongs as to one moiety to the lord and as to the other to Ralph, and the said Ralph claims and takes to his use the whole to the lord's damage etc. Also they say that the said Ralph holds Overcolkescroft, which land by rights is the lord's.

'PLACITA MANERIORUM BECCENSIUM ANNO REGNI REGIS EDWARDI NONO.

Toting'. Curia tenta die Sabbati proxima post festum S. Martini.

Presentatum est per veredictum tocius curie concorditer quod si qua mulier jus habens in aliqua terra secundum consuetudinem manerii et in scisina fuerit de voluntate domini, si aliquis cum dicta muliere contractus fuerit, et dicta mulier jus suum et seisinam suam in manus domini reddiderit, et ille qui cum ea contractus est illud jus et seisinam de manu domini receperit, precluditur via inperpetuum quibuscunque heredibus dicte mulieris et remaneat dictum jus contrahenti et heredibus suis. Iccirco Willelmus de Bosco, qui in hoc casu est, teneat terram suam in forma predicta. Et pro hac inquisicione facienda, dat dictus Wilvj. a. viij. d. lelmus domino vj s. viij d.

zij. d.

Rislep. Curia tenta die Sabbati proxima post Quasi modo geniti.

Tenementa Lucie de Molendino capiantur in manus domini propter adulterium quod commisit ita quod ballivus respondeat.

Pres' cap' dec' 2 quod Cristina filia Ricardi Malevile maritatur Lond' sine licencia domini, ideo distringatur dictus Ricardus (qui finem fecit pro xij d.). Item Alicia Berde similiter, ideo dicta Alicia distringatur. Item quod Robertus de Fonte fecit transgressionem Willelmo Gery, ideo dictus Robertus in misericordia, Honfridus plegius,

<sup>&#</sup>x27; King's Coll Camb., C. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Presentant capitales decennarii.

to a viol.

4) 610

. . .

m s. vj. d

\$11. 1

£11 -t

 vj d. Item quod Ricardus Malevil' extraxit sanguinem de Stephano Gust, ideo in misericordia ij s.

De communi fine vill' in festo S. Jacobi Apostoli xl s.

#### Blodolaw. Curia tonta in festo S. Thiburcii et Valeriani.

Galfridus Coterel in misericordia pro bateria, Adam Serviens plegius, xij. d. Galfridus Coterel pro transgressione in feno, Alanus Messor plegius, vj. d. Hugo de Senholte in misericordia pro viridi bosco vj. d.

Hugo Wyking in misericordia pro tardacione operum suorum faciendorum vj. d. Hugo de Cimiterio in misericordia pro trangressione in spinis vj. d. Thomas Golde in misericordia pro bosco, Robertus Triturator plegius iij. d.

Willelmus de la Dune in misericordia pro subtraxione operum autumpnalium ij. s. Avicia Isaac pro codem vj. d. Hugo Wyking pro codem vj. d. Agnes la Rede in misericordia pro transgressione filie sue in blado vj. d.

Walterus de Fraxino in misericordia quia non sequebatur molendinum domini vj. d. Hugo l'inel in misericordia quia impedivit aquam de cursu suo solito in nocumentum vicinorum, Robertus Fresel plegius, vj. d.

Johannes de la Dune in miscricordia pro asportacione bladi in autumpno, Adam le Wyte plegius. Alanus Messor dat domino xij. d. pro uno multone in custodia sua deperdito.

Adam le Wyte in misericordia pro mala falcacione vj. d. Hugo Harding in misericordia pro codem vj. d.

Pres' cap' decen' quod Henricus Blacstan vj. d., Hugo de Cimiterio xviij. d., Walterus de Fraxino vj. d., Henricus de Lockesberwe xij. d., Avicia Isaac vj. d., Ricardus Matheu vj. d., Hugo Wiking Radulfus de la Dene vj. d., Johannes le Palmer' xij. d., Johannes Cotèrel vj. d., Johannes de la More vj. d., Johannes Cubbel xij. d., Hugo Andreu vj. d., Philippus le Chapman vj. d., Johannes Felawe xij. d., Robertus Ballivus vj. d., Alicia Squier xij. d., Johannes Gratele Ricardus de Hulle

ix. d.

1. e.

xviij. d.

iij d.

v.s. vj. d., Osbertus Messor vj. d., Robertus de Cruce fregerunt assisam cervisie vj. d. Item quod Henricus de Senholte, Henricus le Brone, Hugo le Heyward, Ricardus de la More, Juliana Wodeward', Alicia Herding, Petronilla de Strete, Alionora de Prato faciunt defaltam. Item quod Walterus de Fraxino Johannes Wyking Johannes Smert

Henricus Coterel marit' se sine licencia domini, ideo distringantur ad faciend' voluntatem domini. Alanus Messor pro transgressione pullani sui vj. d. Philippus de Chapman in misericordia quia vetuit vadium suum ballivo domini iij. d.

De communi fine vill' in festo Nativitatis S. Johannis Baptiste l. s.

Summa lxxiij. s. vj. d.

## Wanetinge. Curia tenta die Jovis proxima post Hockeday.

Willelmus de Fraxino in misericordia pro transgressione in blado vj. d. Johannes Irmangger' in misericordia pro despectu vj. d. Pres' cap' dec' quod Willelmus de Riple vj. d., Walterus Faber (nichil habet), Matildis de Pasmer' recept' contra assisam, ideo in misericordia vj. d.

Matildis relicta Reginaldi de Chawelowe sufficienter probavit quamdam ovem esse suam viij. d. apreciatam quo si infra unum annum et unum diem exigatur, obligat se ad restitucionem diete ovis vel precii per pleg' Johannis le Irmanggere, et Johannis Roberd, et dat domino pro warda iij. d.

1 PLACITA MANERIORUM BECCENSIUM ANNO REGNI REGIS EDWARDI FILII REGIS HENRICI (SEPTIMO DECIMO].

### Cotesford. Curia tenta die Sabbati proxima post festum B. Fidis Virginis anno supradicto.

Impositum est Johanni Huwes per senescallum in plena

curia quod ipse procurat et nititur auferre domino et hominibus suis communam pasture sue qua usi sunt a tempore quo non extat memoria et quod ad ejus procuracionem pro predicta communa pasture sunt attachiati per breve Quare vi et armis et quod sic facit inventum est per inquisicionem tocius curie cui inquisicioni noluit se submittere, set omnia ista sibi imposita negat de verbo ad verbum et est super hoc ad legem suam. Plegii de lege Robertus le Bar et Ricardus de la Forde.

### Wedon'. Curia tenta die Veneris proxima post festum B. Dionisii anno supradicto.

Ricardus Loverd reddit in manus domini unum cotagium cum pertinenciis et Emma Loverd filia ejusdem R. reddit unam acram terre arabilis de quibus seisitus est Henricus le Coverur et dat domino de ingressu et pro licencia contrahendi cum dicta Emma v. s. et sustinebit dictum Ricardum in mensa ita bene sicut se ipsum et dabit ei quolibet anno unum garmamentum et unum par lineorum et unum par caligarum et sotularium.

Tallagium ville xiij. s. iiij. d.

<sup>1</sup> King's Coll. Camb., C 9. Part of the word septimo is legible; the date is supplied from an old endorsement.

' Bledel'. Curia tenta die S. Luce Evangeliste.

Maria de la Dene in misericordia quia non fecit opera autumpnalia vj. d. Ricardus le Paumer in misericordia quia non habuit quem plegiavit pro j. pullano vj. d. Willelmus Coterel in misericordia xij. d. plegius Robertus Gibe.

Tastator'

Presentatum est quod Ricardus ate Hulle vj. d. Augnes ate Holemere, Gregorius Molendinarius xij. d., Johanna ate More iij. d., Matildis Phelip iij. d., Willelmus Kavening vj. d., Johannes de Gratel' vj. d., Johannes Felawe vj. d., Hugo Wiking vj. d., Hugo de Cimiterio xij. d., Johannes Niwemer vj. d., Johannes Brun vj. d. fregerunt assisam cervisie, ideo in miscricordia.

Cap' Dec'

Presentatum est quod Domina de Hamelden' facit defaltam, ideo preceptum est quod distringatur.

Willelmus Andreu dat domino xij. d. pro licencia maritandi se. Johannes Brun dat domino x. s. pro licencia contrahendi cum Avicia Ysac.

Tallagium ville videlicet tenentium domini iiij. lib. Summa iiij. lib. xix. s. iij. d.

Preston'. Curia tenta die Jovis proxima post festum B. Leonardi.

Martinus de Hampton' in misericordia pro transgressione iij. d. Galfridus de Hampton pro officio carnificis vj. d.

Willelmus Motard seisitus est una roda terre que fuit de dominico domini et dat domino de ingressu xij. d. et de annuo redditu vj. d. et edificabit super dicta roda et triturabit per annum septem minas et dimidiam, inveniet eciam unum hominem per tres dies ad colligendum fenum in tribus pratis domini et metet unam rodam bladi in autumpno et inveniet j. hominem ad tres precarias autumpnales.

All the entries found under this heading are here printed.

Editha in pe Hale que per consideracionem tocius curie inventa est legitime etatis et propinquior heres ad terram quam tenuit quondam Rogerus le May, reddit in manus domini in plena curia ad opus Mathei le Paumer totum jus et clamium quod ad predictam terram habet vel aliquo modo poterit habere pro qua reddicione idem Matheus dat eidem Edithe ix. s. vj. d. et est seisitus per dominum unde dat domino de ingressu hujus terre x. s.

Cumba. Curia tenta die Mercurii proxima post diem Pasce regni Regis Edwardi filii Regis Henrici decimo octavo.

Johannes de Bagemer' petit versus Johannem filium Walteri de Puteo unam virgatam terre cum pertinenciis in villa de Cumba ut jus suum secundum consuetudinem manerii. et ideo ut jus quia dicit quod quidam Johannes de Bagemer' avus suus obiit seisitus de predicta virgata terre cum pertinenciis ut de jure secundum consuetudinem manerii et de ipso Johanne descendit jus cuidam Willelmo filio suo patri predicti Johannis qui nunc petit cujus heres ipse est secundum consuctudinem manerii ut dicit, et quod tale sit jus suum petit quod inquiratur et dat domino pro inquisicione v. s. Et predictus Johannes (de Puteo) venit et respondit et bene concedit seisinam Johannis de Baggemer et quod predictus Willelmus fuit filius predicti Johannis, set dicit quod per predictum Willelmum patrem suum nullum jus sibi accrescit in predicta virgata terre nec de jure secundum consuctudinem manerii accrescere debet, quia dicit quod idem Willelmus pater Johannis qui nunc petit alias ipsum in predicta curia coram domino de predicta virgata terre nunc versus ipsum petita inplacitavit petens predictam terram versus eum ut jus suum secundum consuetudinem manerii etc., et tandem lis conquievit inter ipsos ita quod concordati fuerunt in hac forma de voluntate domini et in plena curia ita videlicet quod predictus Willel-

mus de Baggemere concessit remisit et quietum clamavit pro se et heredibus suis predicto Johanni de Puteo totum jus suum quod habuit vel quoquo modo in predicta virgata terre habere potuit inperpetuum secundum consuetudinem manerii, et hoc paratus est verificare per recordum rotulorum seu xijcim jurator' i ejusdem curie per voluntatem domini et senescalli, et petit judicium si contra factum predicti Willelmi patris sui cujus heres ipse est ut dicit aliquod jus in predicta terra secundum consuctudinem manerii sibi accrescere poterit. Et predictus Johannes de Baggemere dicit quod predictus Willelmus pater suus nunquam in prefata curia jus suum de se et heredibus suis prout dicit remisit nec quietum clamavit et hoc ponit super recordo rotulorum seu quod per xij'im juratores curie inquiratur. Et predictus Johannes de Putco similiter. Et datus est dies ad proximam curiam ad audiendum judicium et recordum suum.

Postea summonita fuit curia ad diem Mercurii proximam post festum B. Nicholai proximo sequens, ad quam curiam Johannes de Baggemere petens fecit se essoniari versus Johannem filium Walteri defendentem in hec verba. Johannes de Baggemere versus Johannem filium Walteri de Puteo de placito terre per Hugonem de Baggemere. Et Johannes filius Walteri de Puteo optulit se et petit judicium de defalta Johannis de Baggemere et dicit quod essonium predicti Johannis non jacet quia dicit quod nullus inplacitatus in curia post primam apparenciam se potest essoniare secundum consuetudinem manerii, et petit judicium precise de defalta predicti Johannis quia dicit quod ad diem istam habuerunt diem ad audiendum judicium et recordum suum secundum placitum inter eos placitatum. Et tota curia venit et dicit precise quod essonium predicti Johannis non jacet et quod predictus Johannes de Baggemere facit defaltam. Ideo consideratum est quod Johannes de Puteo inde sine die et quod Johannes de Baggemere et plegii de prosequendo in misericordia.

<sup>1</sup> Either juratorum or juratores.

Ogbourne [Wiltshire]. Court holden on the Friday next after the feast of S. Mark' in the said year of King Edward.

. . . . . . .

The whole vill, except Adam Russel, John Druet, John Butery, William Reeve and Peter Reaper, are in mercy for having elected for themselves a common reaper and not having presented him, as the custom is, to the bailiff of the place; fine, 6 s. 8 d. It is forgiven by the lord.

William Viner is convicted by six lawful men bec

William Viner is convicted by six lawful men because the dispute which occurred between him and Maud Kelbe was wholly due to him and not to the said Maud. Therefore he is in mercy. His amercement is put in respite. Also it is ordered that he pay to the said Maud the 2s. which he has promised her on the security of Paulin Drye and Adam Assuk for the trespass committed against her.

. . . . . . .

William Brond is convicted by six lawful men of having agreed with Maud Nicholas's daughter to demise to her a half acre of land for a term of years. Therefore it is ordered that he do keep the said covenant made between them and established by the oath of the said [six] men.

. . . . . . .

Peter Kiwel complains of William Viner and says that he has grievously defamed him by asserting in public that he, Peter, is a false man full of frauds and a picker of quarrels, to his [Peter's] damage etc. And the said William comes and answers and denies word by word and is at his law as to the defamation aforesaid. Pledge for his law, John Pound.

The chief pledges, to wit, Solomon atte Noke, Paulin Drye and John Pound with the whole tithing undertake that Walter Wich, Philip Ringer and John Squal shall personally come before the lord or his steward whenever he [the lord] shall wish to implead them.

<sup>1</sup> S. Mark is 25 April.

## 'Cotesford. Curia tenta die Apostolorum Philippi et Jacobi anno supradicto.

Cum Johannes Huwes de Cotesford inculpatus fuisset quod dominus Tehobaldus de Verdun inplacitari fecit homines domini ejusdem Johannis videlicet domini Abbatis de Becco de manerio de Cotesford per breve de transgressione coram domino Rege ad procuracionem et abettum ejusdem Johannis, idem Johannes venit et verbo ad verbum negat et bene defendit quod nunquam ad ejus procuracionem predicti homines ad sectam domini Tehobaldi fuerunt coram Rege inplacitati prout sibi inponitur et vadiavit legem etc. Postea fecit legem et acquietavit se se sexta manu.

• • • • • •

### Wedon'. Curia tenta die Veneris proxima post festum Invencionis S. Crucis anno supradicto.

. . . . . . .

Johannes Carettarius in misericordia pro averiis suis captis in pe Inlond, vj. d.

Robertus ate Hulle in misericordia quia succidit arbores et vendidit quas vendere non potuit, xij. d.

. . . . . . .

Inventum est per xij. jur' curie videlicet Galfridum ate Grene, Walterum Bilhing, Johannem Person, Godefridum le Taylor, Simonem le Juvene, Galfridum Yngulf, Simonem Clericum, Henricum Godefray, Walterum de la Grene, Radulfum Bernard et Stephanum Gileberd quod Robertus ate Hul nullum jus post mortem uxoris sue de Wedon' habet ad tenend' dimidiam virgatam terre in Wedon' quia dicunt quod uxor sua verus heres predicte terre nunquam hujusmodi o coram domino vel suo senescallo prefato Roberto reddidit nec eciam aliquis antecessorum ejusdem per quod

<sup>1</sup> The only entry found under this heading is here printed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It may be that the words de Wedon' are due to mistake.

<sup>\*</sup> The writer of this roll habitually uses hijusmodi to mean 'the same' or 'the said.' Other instances occur below.

aliquid juris vel clamii prefatus Robertus poterit in dicta terra mortua uxore sua secundum consuetudinem manerii aliquo modo vendicare. Ideo terra capta est in manum domini. Postea veniunt Thomas de Gayton' et Athelina uxor sua et dicunt quod ipsa Athelina soror uxoris predicti Roberti propinquior heres est ad petend' dictam dimidiam virgatam terre secundum consuetudinem manerii. Et quia ipsa Athelina quondam recessit penitus de libertate domini, ideo dat domino vj. s. viij. d. ita quod possit redire ad camdem et jus suum quod habet ad predictam terram prosequi secundum consuetudinem manerii, unde predicti Thomas et Athelina uxor sua seisiti sunt in plena curia prefata dimidia virgata terre et dant domino de ingressu iiij. l. vj. s. viij. d.

• • • • • • •

#### Rislep. Curia tenta die dominica proxima post Gulam Augusti anno supradicto.

Benegerus Sutor dat domino xij. d. pro consideracione curie utrum ipse anno presenti habere debeat fenum cujusdam prati racione seisine quam habet per dominum de hujusmodi prato an Galfridus le Golder illud percipere debeat racione [seisine] quam habuit in prato predicto prout dicit. Et inquisicio dicit quod Benegerus hujusmodi fenum secundum consuetudinem manerii habere debet. Ideo consideratum est quod dictus Galfridus qui hujusmodi fenum contra voluntatem predicti B. de prato amovit, sit in misericordia et quod faciat emend' predicto Benegero etc.

Rogerus Sutor convictus est per vj. legales vicinos suos quod injuste et sine racione detinuit Johanni le King annuum redditum sibi debitum videlicet terciam partem unius denarii. Ideo consideratum est quod Rogerus sit in misericordia et quod satisfaciat dicto Johanni etc.

zij. d.

PLACITA MANERIORUM BECCI ANNO REGIS EDW[ARDI FILII REGIS] H. DECIMO OCTAVO FINIENTE.

Rislep. Curia tenta die S. Luce Evangeliste anno supradicto.

Rogerus Ponfrayt petit versus Johannem ate Hulle unam virgatam terre cum pertinenciis in Rislep ut jus suum etc. et ideo ut jus quia dicit quod quedam Maselina proava sua obiit seisita de predicta terra ut de jure suo secundum consuctudinem manerii, et de ipsa Maselina desendit i jus et descendere debuit cuidam Alicie ut filie et heredi, et de ipsa Alicia cuidam Ricardo ut filio et heredi et patri ejusdem Rogeri Ponfrayt qui nunc petit, et quod tale sit jus suum petit quod inquiratur per curiam. Et predictus Johannes venit et defendit vim et injuriam et jus suum etc. et negat seisinam predicte Maseline, etc., et dicit quod predicta virgata terre cum pertinenciis devenit in manus domini tanguam sua eschaeta per mortem omnium heredum, et quia nullus dictam terram jure hereditario et secundum consuctudinem manerii petere potuit, ideo dominus cam tanquam suam eschaetam pro voluntate sua eidem Johanni vendidit et eum in seisinam secundum consuctudinem manerii predicte terre ponere fecit, unde dicit quod majus jus habet ad tenend' eandem quam predictus Rogerus ad petend', et hoc similiter petit inquiri per curiam. Et xij. jur' curie videlicet Rogerus Hamund, Humfridus de Estcote, Radulfus Hoberd, Johannes Fige, Petrus Lamb, Willelmus Harding, Rogerus Hoberd, Ricardus Malevile, Radulfus But, Willelmus le Messor, Hugo de Arbore et Radulfus Croyser dicunt super sacramentum suum quod predicta Maselina de cujus seisina predictus Rogerus petit,

Sic. Sic.

<sup>1</sup> King's Coll. Camb., C. 10. Part of this heading has perished.

nunquam fuit seisita de predicta virgata terre, set tanquam eschaeta pro morte heredum devenit hujusmodi terra in manus domini et fuit predicto Johanni vendita et tradita secundum consuetudinem manerii, unde dicunt super sacramentum suum quod predictus Johannes majus jus habet ad tenend' predictam terram quam predictus Rogerus ad petendum. Ideo consideratum est quod predictus Johannes teneat ut tenet et dictus Rogerus in misericordia.

zij. l. ziiii. s. ii. d.!

Wedon'. Curia tenta die Lune proxima post festum Invencionis S. Crucis anno regni Regis Edwardi decimo nono.

Willelmus Clericus reddit in manus domini dimidiam virgatam terre que quondam fuit cujusdam Yvonis ad opus Juliane filie sue. Postea de voluntate ejusdem Juliane dictus W. seisitus est cadem terra ad tenend' ad terminum vite sue ita quod Juliana post obitum Willelmi sit heres

vite sue ita quod Juliana post obitum Willelmi sit heres ejus propinquior ad habend' et tenend' hujusmodi dimidiam virgatam terre secundum consuetudinem manerii et si Juliana sine herede de corpore suo procreato decedat hujusmodi terra revertetur ad heredes predicti Willelmi unde Willelmus pro premissis in plena curia recordat' et inrotulat' dat domino x. s.

• • • • •

Adreston'. Curia tenta die Mercurii post festum Invencionis S. Crucis anno regni Rogis Edwardi filii Regis H[enrici] decimo nono.

Augnes quondam uxor Walteri Muk' petit versus

Reginaldum Molendinarium terciam partem unius burgagii ut dotem suam racionabilem quam dietus Reginaldus ei

<sup>&#</sup>x27; No explanation is given for the appearance of this sum in the margin of the roll; the margin is damaged.

injuste deforciat ut dicit et hoc ponit super curiam. Et predictus Reginaldus venit et defendit vim et injuriam et jus suum etc. et dicit quod consuetudo manerii de Adreston' talis est quod quando uxor aliqua venit cum marito suo in plena curia et reddunt in manus domini aliquod tenementum ad opus alicujus emptoris, uxor hujusmodi post mortem mariti sui nullam recuperabit dotem de tenemento sic in curia reddito et vendito, et quod talis sit consuetudo manerii et quod dicta Augnes sic venit in plena curia cum marito suo et totum jus et clamium quod habuit vel aliquo modo habere poterit in toto vel in parte hujus burgagii in manus domini ad opus ejusdem R. reddidit ponit super curiam. Et dicta Augnes bene concedit hujusmodi cons', set dicit quod nunquam in plena curia jus suum quod habuit in dicto burgagio in manus domini reddidit, et hoc ponit super curiam. Et xij. jurat' curie videlicet Adam le Clerk, Thomas Julian, Hugo de Cymiterio, Radulfus Stace, Radulfus Faber, Johannes filius Augnetis, Radulfus Pistor, Walterus Douce, Radulfus Pistor junior, Johannes le Bouer, Robertus Woderowe, Thomas Lucas et Robertus Muk dicunt super sacramentum suum quod predicta Augnes venit in plena curia et totum jus et clamium quod aliquo modo habere potuit in dicto burgagio in manus domini reddidit, unde dicunt super sacramentum suum quod nullum jus habet secundum consuctudinem manerii de Adreston' ad petend' terciam partem supradicti burgagii. Ideo consideratum est quod dictus Reginaldus inde sine die et predicta Augnes in misericordia.

Rislep. Curia tenta die Martis ante festum S. Petri ad Vincula anno supradicto.

Matildis pe Clerekes petit versus Isabellam Ponfrayt unum mesuagium cum pertinenciis ut jus suum etc., et ideo ut jus quia dicit quod Juliana soror sua obiit seisita de predicto

mesuagio ut de perquisito suo secundum consuctudinem manerii, et de ipsa Juliana quia obiit sine herede de se descendit jus et descendere debuit isti Matildi que nunc petit tanquam heredi propinquiori, et hoc offert verificare per curiam. Et predicta Isabella venit et defendit jus suum etc. et dicit quod predictum mesuagium nunquam fuit perquisitum dicte Matildis 1 set perquisitum cujusdam Willelmi Ponfrait mariti ejusdem Juliane, qui quidem Willelmus fuit predicto mesuagio in plena curia scisitus, set Juliana nunquam in curia nec extra fuit per dominum hujusmodi mesuagio seisita et hoc offert verificare per curiam et petit quod inquiratur. Et dicta Isabella? hoc idem similiter petit et ponit se super inquisicionem. Et juratores videlicet Rogerus Hamund, Benerus Brun, Johannes Robin, Hugo Horsman, Radulfus Croyser, Willelmus Golder, Robertus Nothel, Rogerus Huberd, Willelmus Harding, Johannes Kevere, Willelmus in le Hole, Robertus de . . . , Ricardus Malevile, Petrus Salvage, Radulfus Stevene, Johannes Randulf, Willelmus ate Hulle et Johannes King dicunt super sacramentum suum quod Juliana per quam dicta Matildis petit hujusmodi messuagium nunquam fuit seisita ipso mesuagio, set Willelmus Ponfrayt maritus ipsius Juliane unde secundum consuctudinem manerii Juliana post mortem W. mariti sui nichil poterit clamare nisi dotem in hujusmodi mesuagium nisi fuerit in plena curia una cum marito suo de hujusmodi perquisito conjunctim scisita, et hoc nunquam fuit factum prout dicunt super suum sacramentum, unde dicunt quod non est in hujusmodi peticione audienda. Ideo consideratum est quod dicta Isabella teneat ut tenet et Matildis in misericordia, que est condonata per dominum quia pauper.

<sup>1</sup> Sic; corr. Matildis.

Sic.

'[PLACITA MANERIORUM BECCENSIUM ANNO REGNI REGIS EDWARDI FILII REGIS HENRICI VICES-IMO Ç"ARTO.]

Rislep. Curia anta die Veneris proxima post festum 8.
Barnabe Aposta'i anno regni Regis Edwardi vicesimo quarto.

. . . . . . .

Adam de Rameseye atachiatus fuit ad respondendum domino ad sectam Willelmi Forestarii et Willelmi Messoris per pl' Willelmi de Scaccario et Roberti Aliz de placito quare cum idem Adam simul cum aliis ignotis die Veneris in ebdomada Pentecostes apud Rislep super feodum et libertatem domini ad domum Hugonis Marleward nativi dicti domini cum una caretta venisset, et ibi macremium per dictum Hugonem Marleward contra defensionem domini prostratum et ibidem prohibitum sciente dictam defensionem per dictum dominum sic factam in dicta caretta cariare fecisset, ut a libertate domini ipso invito amoveretur ad dampnum domini c. solidorum ad quam cariationem supervenerunt Willelmus Forestarius et Willelmus Messor tanquam ballivi domini et prohibuerunt dicto Ade ex parte domini Regis et domini sui ne dictum maeremium sic per dominum prohibitum a dicto loco contra voluntatem domini amoveret et quod se per pleg' attachiasset ad respondendum domino in curia sua de eo quod dictum macremium sic contra voluntatem domini a libertate sua sic cariare voluisset, et ceperunt quendam equum de caretta dicti Ade nomine vadii ut ipsum per pl' attachiassent ad respondendum domino de transgressione predicta, quibus dictus Adam simul cum aliis ignotis insultum vi et armis fecit nec ipsum secundum legem et cons' regni in forma predicta attachiar' permisit, set de dicto equo sic attachiato quantum in ipso fuit rescussum fecit propter quod dicti W. Forestarius et W. Messor super dictum Adam et alios sibi adherentes et eis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> King's Coll. Camb., C. 11. The general heading of this roll has heading of the Ruislip cases.

sic insultum facientes hutesium levaverunt, ad quod hutesium venit quidam Walterus Salvage decennarius de Estcote cum tota decenna, quibus dictus Adam cum hutesio levato injunxit et ex parte domini Regis precepit quod ipsum simul cum hutesio sequerentur dicens se per dictos W. Forestarium et W. Messorem de equo suo contra voluntatem suam et pacem domini Regis et tanquam servientem domini Regis furtive spoliatum esse, per quod dictus Walterus decennarius cum tota decenna perteritus timore de precepto domini Regis una cum dicto Ada hutesio levato dictos W. Forestarium et socium suum tanquam felones usque ad manerium domini sequebantur, et insuper ad portam manerii dicti domini dictus Adam cum dictis hominibus ipsum sequentibus super dominum et suos hutesium levavit dicens se sic per dictos W. et W. per preceptum domini sic' de dicto equo furtive spoliatum esse ad dampnum et pudorem domini c. s. et amplius. Hec omnia predicta fecit dictus De quibus transgressionibus dicto Ade in plena curia sic impositis, confitetur se dictus Adam in omnibus esse culpabilem et ponit se in misericordia domini et invenit plegios Walterum Salvage, Robertum Nothel, Johannem Kevere et Hugonem Marleward. Postea taxata fuit dicta misericordia per Rogerum de Suhtcote Willelmum de Scaccario Hugonem de Cumba liberos sectatores curie usque ad duas marcas.

Henricus le White petit unam acram terre quam tenuit Johannes frater suus cujus heres ipse est ut dicit. Et Cristina Trice venit et dicit quod majus jus habet ad tenend' dictam acram ad vitam suam quam dictus Henricus ad petend' quia dicit quod predictus Johannes perquisivit dictam acram post matrimonium inter ipsum et ipsam contractum et secundum consuctudinem manerii de Rislep uxor post mortem mariti sui tenebit integre perquisitum quod perquisivit post matrimonium inter ipsos contractum et hoc offert verificare per curiam et dat domino

' sic is thus repeated.

vj. d. pro inquisicione habenda. Que quidem inquisicio dicit quod talis est consuetudo manerii prout per Cristinam narratur unde majus jus habet ad tenend' quam Henricus ad petend'. Ideo consideratum est quod teneat ut tenet et Henricus in misericordia etc. iij. d.

Cap' dec' presentant quod Robertus de Cilterne, Willelmus dictus Clerk, Henricus dictus Prust, Henricus Cocus, Johannes Malevile, Elias Faber, Willelmus le Lepere, Robertus Redhed et Petrus Stevene faciunt defaltam. Preceptum est quod attachientur etc.

Presentatum est quod Willelmus Forestarius levavit hutesium super Adam de Rameseye et juste, ideo Adam vad' misericordiam.

Item presentatum est quod Walterus Salvage, Johannes Blakemere, Willelmus de Campo, Willelmus Marleward, Johannes ate Hatche, Robertus Wrenche, Ricardus ate Forde, Amicia de Pinnore, Juliana ate Hulle, Ricardus Sherewind, Ricardus Rotarius, Willelmus Edelot, Radulfus de Fonte levaverunt hutesium super dominum et servientes suos injuste et illud coram porta domini diu continuarunt et injuste. Ideo adjudicati sunt ad juisam et positi in compedibus etc.

Item presentatum quod Galfridus de Reygate levavit hutesium super Johannem Payn et juste. Ideo Johannes vad' misericordiam.

Item presentatum quod Johannes Fige levavit hutesium (et juste) super quosdam homines noctanter et vi et armis espervarios domini in parco suo asportantes de quibus nulla adhue habetur noticia.

Johannes Robin optulit domino unam marcam argenti pro licencia recedendi de officio prepositi. Precoptum est quod levetur.

Johannes Kevere levavit hutesium super dominum et servientes suos injuste et negavit in plena curia se esse nativum domini unde terra sua capta fuit in manum domini.

As to the word juisa, here translated pillory, see Glossary.

Postea venit et in plena curia confitetur se nativum domini et est reseisitus terra sua et ponit omnino se in misericordiam domini etc. in respectu.

Wedon'. Curia tenta die Sabbati proxima post festum S. Margarete anno regni Regis Edwardi vicesimo quarto.

Johannes Tonestal reddit in manum domini duas acras terre quarum una jacet apud Ricolneswee et alia jacet in Tinemede. De quibus seisiti sunt idem Johannes et Alicia uxor sua ita quod si decedant sine herede de corpore suo procreato quod revertantur ad heredes predicti Johannis secundum consuctudinem manerii et dant domino de ingressu et pro luijusmodi reversione inrotulanda v. s.

Willelmus Cade dat domino ij. s. pro auxilio habendo ad recuperandum debitum sibi recognitum per Petrum Letard.

Willelmus Wilot noluit liberare namium suum messori, ideo in misericordia vj. d. Johannes Brochole fecit rescussum communi messori, ideo in misericordia iv. d.

Walterus Mile queritur de Johanne Brochole et dicit quod idem Johannes injuste levavit quoddam murum et quandam hayam inter tenementa ipsorum ad dampnum suum etc. et petit quod inquiratur. Et Johannes bene concedit quod inquiratur. Inquisicio dicit quod murum non est iniuste levatum set haya est injuste levata et ad dampnum dicti Walteri. Ideo consideratum est quod Johannes sit in misericordia pro haya sic injuste levata et quod fiat emenda et quod Walterus sit in misericordia pro falso clamore etc. viij. d.

Walterus Mile petit versus Johannem Person' xxxv. s. xj. d. in quibus sibi tenetur ut dicit pro rebus sibi promissis et debitis de maritagio filie ejusdem Johannis etc. Et

daughter etc. And John says that in no respect is he bound to [Walter] as regards the said marriage portion, save as to a mantle, price 5 s.; and this he offers to verify by his law; and he at once waged and made his law. Therefore be Walter in mercy (fine, 4 d.) and John also is in mercy for the wrongful detainer of the mantle etc. (fine, 4 d.).

Ogbourne [Wiltshire]. Court holden on the Saturday next after the feast of S. James in the said year.

William Bigge and William Druladon are convicted by inquest of the court of wrongfully having millstones in their houses and taking toll and multure to the great damage of the lord as regards the suit to his mill. Therefore be they in mercy and it is commanded that the said millstones be seized into the lord's hand.

<sup>1</sup> S. James is 25 July.

## II. THE COURT OF THE ABBOT OF RAMSEY AT BROUGHTON.

### INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

THE matter that follows is supplied by two documents in the Record Office. One of these (Augmentation Office Court Rolls, Portf. 5, No. 44), a strip of three membranes, gives the proceedings of courts holden during a part of the year 1258. The whole of this document is here printed, except the few first entries, which are a continuation of what was written on a part of the roll which has perished. After a lapse of more than thirty years another glimpse of the same tribunal is afforded us by a roll of six rotulets (Portf. 5, No. 29), which shows the business done in the years 1293-5. The more important entries on this document are here printed.

There is hardly any group of manors concerning the state of which in the thirteenth century we have so much information ready to hand as we have about the estates of the Abbey of Ramsey. Published in the Rolls Series we have the Chronicle of the Abbey and two volumes of the Cartulary of the Abbey—a third volume is to follow—and as regards the lands in the shires of Huntingdon and Cambridge the minute details that are given us by the manorial 'extents' can be supplemented by the results of the royal inquest contained in the Hundred Rolls. This is one reason why the court rolls of this Abbey should be of no common value; but there is another reason, in order to state which a little must be said about the possessions of the Abbey.

On the eve of the Conquest Ramsey stood in the front rank of the English religious houses. In Domesday Book it appears as holding lands in seven shires. Many of these lands were claimed by it in later days under a charter of Edgar, others

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cart. Rams. ii. 51; Cod. Dip. A.-S. vol. iii. p. 101, marked by Kemble as spurious.

under a charter of the Confessor, and whatever we may think of the 'books' that it produced, there can be no doubt that even in 1086 its title was already regarded as ancient:- 'hoc manerium jacet et jacuit semper in dominio ecclesiae S. Benedicti'; such was the opinion of the Cambridgeshire jurors when the Conqueror's survey was in the making. And S. Benedict did not grow poorer; if he lost in some directions, he gained in others. His estates consisted in the first place of a solid block of manors lying in Huntingdonshire a little to the south of his Abbey-Sawtrey, Stukeley, Ripton, Upwood, Wistow, Warboys, Houghton, Wyton, Hemingford, Broughton, and yet more. Broughton, of which we take special notice, lay near the centre of this 'home estate,' some six miles from the Abbey. A little more distant but in the same shire lay Gidding, Weston, Brington, Bythorn and Ellington, from which we must distinguish another manor of Elton. Then in Cambridgeshire were Graveley, Elsworth, Knapwell, Over, Girton and Burwell; in Bedfordshire Cranfield, Barton, Shitlingdon, Pegsdon, Holywell; in Northamptonshire Hemington, Luddington, Barnwell, Duddington; there were manors at Therfield in Hertfordshire, at Lawshall in Suffolk, at Brancaster in Norfolk, at Cranwell in Lincolnshire. Now the Abbot seems to have kept a separate court for each of these manors; some selections from the rolls of these manorial courts will be given hereafter. But over and above these manorial courts he held a court at Broughton which we cannot call manorial. To this court all his freehold tenants were bound to come, or all of them who had tenements of any considerable size; some were bound to come to every session, and the court was usually adjourned from three weeks to three weeks; others came only to the two plenary sessions, the magnae curiae, held the one in the spring and the other in the autumn. The Cartulary (i. 41) contains a list of the suitors; this is not dated, but it belongs to the same age as the later of our two court rolls, as is proved by the occurrence of very many of the same names in both. It contains 114 names. Some of the suitors had to come long distances: for example, seven had to come from Lawshall, which lies in Suffolk a little south of Bury S. Edmunds, and nine had to come from Shitlingdon in Bedfordshire.

The reader perhaps will feel some disappointment after look-

Earle, Land Charters, p. 343; Cod. Dip. A.-S. vol. iv. p. 208; passed by Kemble as genuine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cart. Rams. ii. 70; Cod. Dip. A.-S. vol iv. p. 143, marked by Kemble as spurious. See also writ of the Confessor, Cart. Rams. ii. 80;

ing at the extracts here made from the rolls of this great court. The Abbot seems to have been at great and often fruitless pains to get suitors to attend, but when the court met it had next to no business to do. Meeting after meeting takes place at which nothing is done beyond receiving the excuses of those who do not attend, and issuing process against those who do not even take the trouble to make excuse. A few personal actions are heard, the homage and fealty of new tenants are received, some orders are issued as to feudal dues, reliefs and escheats, and the court seems to act as court for cases reserved in the manorial courts. Also, and this is a more important matter, provision has to be made for the military service that the King demands from time to time. The Abbot is bound to provide four knights, and (contrary to what is thought to have been the common practice) he has not split up his land into knights' fees so that on every occasion the same four tenants shall go to the war; no, he has many military tenants; they elect the four who are to serve on the particular occasion (the election being made in the court by the community, the communa of the tenants), while those who stay behind have to contribute towards the expenses of those who serve in person: the process by which the country was carved out into knights' fees seems in this case to have been arrested at an early stage.1 To get the election made, to force the elected to serve and the electors to contribute, this was important business; but our excerpts will show that it was very difficult business:-the tenants will not come to court, will not serve when elected, and in the end the Abbot has to hire knights and squires as best he may. Even in this purely feudal province we see that the feudal court is a weak engine. What is an Abbot to do when among his tenants he has got so big a man as the Earl of Oxford? To go on distraining him? The distresses probably fall on the Earl's villans and the Earl himself pays no heed. In the end, if both parties be in earnest, the case must be brought before the king's court; but a feudal lord is really not very much of a lord if he must be constantly moving his overlord to interfere between him and his tenants.

It may deserve notice that even where definite knights' fees had been created, some scheme of election, rotation or drawing of lots must often have been necessary to decide who should actually do the service; and this because of the subdivision of the fee. Thus on the S. Alban's estates, we find a curious

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chron. Ram. 212, 378, 379; Liber Niger, i. 257; Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. 262, 3.

phrase in use. Each of the six knights' fees is split up among several tenants; thus one is held by Richard Batchworth, the heirs of Brightwell, and Ralph of Mont Chensey; for the war of 1302 'corpus accidit super Bacheworthe,' the corporal service fell upon, fell to the lot of the tenement of Richard of Batchworth (Gesta Abbatum, ii. 45). We further discover that amongst these S. Alban's tenants the incidence of the 'corpus' was decided by election; each 'scutum' or knight's fee elected a representative, and the other military tenants contributed to his expenses at the rate of six marks per knight's fee (Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vi. 498).

A list of the Abbots of Ramsey taken from the Ramsey Chronicle may be of service to the reader:—1231 Randolf prior of Ramsey, 1253 William of Ocholt, 1254 Hugh of Sulgrave, 1267 William of Godmanchester, 1285 John of Sawtrey. It seems, however, that the abbatial years of Abbot John were reckoned from some day in the autumn of 1286. The restitution of the temporalities seems to have taken place in July 1286 (Rot. Pat. 14 Ed. I. m. 8. MS. Index), but John was still only Abbot elect.

# [PLACITA IN CURIA ABBATIS RAMESIENSIS APUD BROUCTONAM.]

'Curia de Broucton' die Martis proxima ante Purificationem Beate Marie anno eodem.

Petrus de Leyham {essoniat se iijo de communi per Galfridum Beneyt<sup>2</sup>}.

Walterus de Gydd' attornatus Roberti de Styuecl' je de eodem per Thomam filium Symonis.

Thomas filius Yuonis de Hyrst jo de eodem per Willelmum filium Ricardi.

Beatricia Gentil pro defalta distr' per unum equum et unum bovem, et per consideracionem curie preceptum est quod melius distringatur, ita scilicet quod tota hida Tes. d distringatur. Et postea ponitur in respectum ad peticionem Johannis de Baruwe quousque dominus B. le Moyne cum domino Abbate habuerit collòquium, et deliberata sunt averia interim.

Johannes de Olneye et Philippus filius Militis de Ripton' plegius ejus in misericordia ij. s. eo quod idem Johannes non venit ad legem recipiendam de Richero de la Burne prius sibi invadiatam, et misericordia Ricardi le Hampter alii plegii ejus ponitur in respectum pro paupertate.

Robertus de Parentin distringitur per unum equum pro defalta, et non venit. Ideo per consideracionem curie preceptum est quod melius distringatur.

Magister Stephanus de Holewell' distringitur per unum

appear below.

The entry is partially struck out, probably because Peter of Leyham comes after all.

<sup>2</sup> The person against whom law has been waged ought to appear to receive the oath of the other party and his compurgators.

¹ Pub. Rec. Off.:—Augmentation Office Court Rolls, P. 5, N. 44. One strip of three membranes with writing on one side only. After the few concluding entries relating to some court, the date of which is not given, the rell begins as follows, and all the other entries are here printed. That the roll belongs to 1258 will

by one horse and does not come. Therefore by judgment as aforesaid let him be distrained by a better pledge.

At the foregoing court order was given to distrain Robert of Houghton for his default and nothing was found whereby he might be distrained. Therefore it is [again] ordered that the said Robert be distrained for the same.

Court of Broughton on the Tuesday next before St. Peter's Chair in the fourth year of Abbot H[ugh].

Walter of Gidding attorney of Robert of Stukeley essoins himself a second time of the common suit by John John's son.

Robert of Parentin comes and makes fine with 12 d. for his default.

Robert of Houghton distrained for his default by seven sheep does not come. Therefore by judgment of the court let him be better distrained to answer for his default.

Roger Eyre of Cranfield complains of Agnes of Stratford and Elias her son touching 4s. 8d. arrears of rent and hidage detained for four years, and Roger has pledged faith that he will find pledges [for prosecution] before the rideman when he shall come to Cranfield, and order is given to attach Agnes and her son Elias. Pledge to prosecute, William Robert's son. Pledges to prosecute, Geoffrey Rodland and Richer of the Burn.

Sewal of Haningfield has not permitted his men and his brewsters to come to the view of frank-pledge in the court of the Chamberlain [of the Abbey] at Lawshall as they ought and used to come. Ordered therefore that they be distrained to come to the next court to hear their judgment touching the default, provided that they were summoned, and that the summons be duly proved.

John of Elton has not yet paid the hidage in full and has been distrained by two horses. Ordered that he be

' This feast is 22 Feb.

distringatur et eciam distringatur pro transgressione facta hominibus Abbatis r' de transgressione.

Datus est dies curie in tres septimanas.

## Curia de Broucton' die Martis in festo S. Gregorii anno eodem.'

Johannes de Aylinton' esson' se j^de placito transgressionis versus ball' domini Abbatis per Matheum filium Hugonis.

Rogerus Heres jo de placito debiti versus Agnetem de Stratford' et Elyam filium ejus per Symonem filium Lecie. Et Agnes summonita non venit. Ideo preceptum est attach' dictam Agnetem et Elyam.

Sewal' de Heningf' j° de placito transgressionis versus ballivum Camerarii per Radulfum filium Henrici.

Johannes de Baruwe attornatus domini B. le Moyne j° de communi per Galfridum filium Henrici.

Robertus de Houcton' distr' pro defalta non venit. Ideo per consideracionem curie melius distringatur r' de defalta.

Datus est dies curie magne in tres septimanas videlicet ad magnam curiam post Pascha.

## Curia de Brouton' die Martis proxima post clausum Pasche anno eodem.'

Simon de Lauheshull essoniat se per Robertum filium ejus jo de communi.

Willelmus filius Roberti de eodem per Benedictum Garden' j°, war'.

Radulfus le Mareschal de eodem per Henricum filium Thome j°.

Hugo de Mulesho de eodem per Robertum Cissorem j.

Ricardus de la Bere de eodem per Henricum filium Rogeri j°.

Radulfus de Tyvile de eodem per Willelmum filium Roberti j°.

This feast, the 12th of March, was a Tuesday in 1258.

In 1258 Easter fell on 21th last.

March, so this court was held on 2nd April, three weeks after the last.

Sir Robert of Comton of the same by Henry Ralph's son; first time.

Robert of Fougeres of the same by William of Fougeres; first time.

John attorney of Henry of Engaine by Simon son of William; first time; warranted.

Ralph of Winton of the same by Thomas William's son; first time; warranted.

Thomas Freeman of the same by Robert Hugh's son; first time.

Walter of Graveley of the same by Robert his son.

Robert of Graveley of the same by Ralph Henry's son.

Robert of Parentin of the same by Robert son of Reginald; first time; warranted.

William attorney of Robert of Stukeley of the same by John Walter's son; first time; warranted.

John of Harpsfield of the same by Robert son of Reginald; first time.

Michael of Brancaster of the same by Alan Hawise's son; first time.

William Grove of the same by Ralph Whiteside; first time; warranted.

Alice of Elsworth of the same by William Everard's son. But afterwards she came.

Godwin Bere of the same by William Robert's son; first time.

Richard Porter of the same by John William's son; first time; warranted.

Geoffrey Rodland of the same by John of Raveley; first time.

Agnes of Stratford against Roger of Dilwick in a plea of trespass by Geoffrey Mile's son: first time.

Master Stephen of Holywell of the common suit by Ralph Andrew's son; first time.

John of Barow attorney of Sir Berengar [le Moyne] of he common suit by Nicholas Robert's son; first time.

Be it remembered that Sewal of Haningfield comes and promises that at the will and summons of the Abbot he ուն

dominus Abbas voluerit ad satisfaciendum sibi et Camerario Rames' super detencione hidagii videlicet quod non respondet plene de hidagio duarum hidarum quas tenet de domino Abbate in Laushill'. Et memorandum quod hida ibi non continet nisi solummodo duas virgatas et unum quarterium terre. Promittit eciam dictus Sewal' ad satisfaciendum dicto Camerario super hoc quod non permisit braciatrices suas venire cum galon' suis ad visum franci plegii dicti Camerarii.

Rogerus le Eyr conqueritur de Elya de Stratford eo quod in pace domini Abbatis cepit et fugavit quatuor boves suos injuste et detinuit die Mercurii proxima ante festum S. Michaelis, unde nollet sustinuisse dampnum et dedecus pro dimidia marca, et producit sectam. Et Elyas defendit vim et injuriam etc. et dicit quod non tenetur ei respondere eo quod in narracione dicti Rog' non certificat de dicto die Mercurii, quo anno, nec de bobus, de quo precio, nec ubi ipsos fugavit. Et quia dictus Rogerus insufficienter acculpavit dictum Elyam ideo per consideracionem curie Elyas sine die recedit et Rogerus in misericordia. Richerus de la Burne plegius, et alios inveniet plegios apud Crantfeud.

Item Elyas de Stratford conqueritur de Rogero le Eyr de Crancfeud super transgressionibus matri sue et sibi factis. Et Rogerus defendit pudorem et dampnum domini Abbatis et petit liberas summoniciones suas, que ei conceduntur.¹ Radulfus Wyking et Willelmus filius ejus plegii de prosequendo. Et dictus Rogerus inveniat plegios apud Crancfeud et ad hoc faciendum invadiavit tenementum suum et quod non vexabit tenentes domini Abbatis in curia Comitis Glovernie decetero.

Warinus de Terefeud attornatus domini Abbatis conqueritur de Johanne de Aylinton' eo quod contra homagium quod domino Abbati fecerat et contra fidelitatem eidem factam tenuit quendam Johannem ad nocendum sibi et hominibus suis in villa de Aylinton'

made to translate the phrase liberas summoniciones.

Roger has come to the court as one who owes suit; he has not yet been summoned to answer the plaint of Elias. An attempt has been

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This word seems plain.

and elsewhere, about whom a certain dispute having arisen, peace was made on the Wednesday next after the feast of the Assumption in the year last past, and the said John in respect of that agreement has taken [from the Abbot's men 30 s., whereof 40 d. he took unjustly, since the agreement was that in consideration of 26 s. 8 d. John undertook to acquit the Abbot and his men and hold them harmless in the court of the Earl of Gloucester and elsewhere in the matter of the said dispute, and John has not fulfilled these terms or kept the said peace, and since it was made the Abbot's men have been many times distrained and unjustly vexed in the matter of the said dispute, to the damage and dishonour of the Abbot and his men 10 marks; and of this [Warin] produces suit. And John defends tort and force etc. and says that it seems to him that he is not bound to answer Warin, for that Warin was never appointed [the Abbot's] attorney in the presence of the parties. And the court says that this plea is null for Warin is the Abbot's general attorney in the hundred and county courts and in all courts in the shires of Cambridge and Huntingdon, and therefore the court adjudges that John do answer. Afterwards he craves a day of grace between now and the next court to make satisfaction to the Abbot, this action to remain in its present state in case peace be not made in the interval.

Defaults—the Earl of Oxford, Sir W[illiam] of Whiston, Sir W. Beauchamp.

A day is given the court three weeks hence.

### Court of Broughton on Tuesday next before the feast of S. Mark' in the same year [A.D. 1258].

Ralph Marshall essoins himself of the common suit by Robert William's son; second time.

Richard de la Bere of the same by Benet John's son; second time.

Eustace of Camville of the same by Henry Roger's son; first time.

' This feast is 25 April, a Thursday in 1258.

Radulfus de Tyville de eodem per Johannem filium Roberti ij°.

Johannes de Bray de eodem per Hugonem filium Henrici jo.

Robertus Peverel de eodem per Robertum filium Ricardi jo.

Sawale de Hemigfeud' de eodem per Radulfum filium Henrici jo.

Johannes Rodlond de eodem per Simonem filium Walteri jo.

Johannes filius Clerit' de codem per Willelmum filium Hugonis j°.

Johannes de Ayllenton' de eodem et versus ball' Abbatis per Matheum filium Hugonis j°.

Alyc' de Elisworth' de eodem per Willelmum filium Everardi j°.

Johannes de Gledeseye de eodem per Radulfum filium Radulfi j°.

l'etrus de Leyham de codem per Hugonem de Bayloylj\*. Godinus Bere de codem per Hervicum filium Eustagii ij\*, war'.

Robertus Parentin de communi per Radulfum filium Stephani ijo.

Simon Payne de eodem per Warinum filium Gileberti ij. Richer de la Burne de eodem per Johannem filium Reginaldi j.

Rogerus de Dilewik versus Augnetam de Straton per Johannem filium Henrici j°.

Hugo de Mulesho de communi per Simonem filium Ricardi ij°.

Elyas de Straton' versus Rogerum de Dilewik per Willelmum filium Ricardi j'.

Augneta de Stratford versus Rogerum de Dilewik per Willelmum filium Galfridi j°.

Robertus de Gravel de eodem per Thomam filium Warini ij".

Richard of Gidding of the same by William Thomas's son; first time.

John of Harpsfield of the same by Andrew Chambers; second time

Michael of Brancaster of the same by Richard Brian's son; second time

Geoffrey Rodland of the same by William Hugh's son; second time.

Robert of Fougeres of the same by Roger Trille; second time.

William de la Carnayle came and offered himself to do homage to the Abbot for the tenement of Thomas Pyel, and the Abbot would not receive his homage because the heir of the said Thomas is within age and because it seems to him that the wardship of the said heir belongs to him [the Abbot] since the said Thomas Pyel held of him and by his [Thomas's] hand did to him [the Abbot] the service due from his tenement, and of this [service] he [the Abbot] is in seisin etc. A day is given the said William at the next court to produce his charters etc.

(Henry by the grace of God King of England, Lord of Ireland, Duke of Normandy and Aquitaine and Count of Anjou to his beloved in Christ the Abbot of Ramsey greeting: Whereas Llewelyn son of Griffin having hostilely attacked the lands of us and of Edward our son and of other our faithful people, has wickedly presumed to occupy and devastate them contrary to the homage and fealty that he owes to us, and whereas when of late we made an expedition into the parts of Wales against the said Llewelyn and his accomplices our enemies, by the counsel of our magnates and faithful people who were there with us, it was provided, owing to the lateness of the season and the approach of winter that in the next summer we should return thither to our expedition with our full power; we command you by the faith in which you are bound to us firmly enjoining you that on Monday next before the feast of S. John Baptist namely a week before the said feast at latest you be with us at Chester with your service that is due to us prepared

exinde nobiscum proficissi in expedicionem nostram contra predictum Lewelinum et prefatos complices suos rebelles nostros, et quia auxilio vestro specialiter in instanti necessitate indigemus, vobis mandamus sub confidencia quam de vestra dilectione gerimus attente rogantes, quatinus taliter et tam decenter ad nos veniatis, ut dictorum rebellium nostrorum versucia adeo potenter vestro et aliorum fidelium nostrorum auxilio reprimi valeat quod tam nobis quam vobis cedat ad honorem, et nos vobis exinde perpetuo teneamur ad grates. Teste meipso apud Westmonasterium xxviij. die Decembris anno regni nostri xlijo.)

Preceptum domini Regis venit ad istam curiam et quia curia tenuis erat datus est dies in tres septimanas ad perficiendum dictum preceptum domini Regis.

Datus est dies curie in tres septimanas.

Curia de Brouton' die Martis in septimana Pentecostes eodem anno coram Domino Abbate, Magistro G. de Whepsted', S. de Hocton', G. Rodland, R. de Feugeres, Thoma de Beynvile, Sewal' de Henigf' et aliis.

Dominus Robertus de Comton' de communi per Willelmum filium ejus jo.

Ricardus de la Bere de eodem per Robertum filium Hugonis iijo.

Robertus Morel de eodem per Johannem filium Radulfi j°.

Mauricius de Weston' de eodem per Johannem filium Jocelini jo.

Willelmus Vicarius de S. Yvone de eodem per Willelmum Russel i°.

Simon Heres de eodem per Ricardum filium Alani je.

Johannes Barue attornatus domini Berengeri le Moyne de codem per Stephanum Burel j°.

Petrus de Leyham de eodem per Galfridum filium Benedicti ij°.

Eustachius de Camvill' de eodem per Robertum filium Ricardi ij°.

Willelmus de Grava de eodem per Willelmum filium Hugonis j°.

Robertus de Hotton' de eodem per Ricardum de Pappewurth jo.

Radulfus le Marescal iij. de eodem per Simonem Stripling.

{Thomas de Grancurt j° de eodem per Walterum filium Ricardi} quia venit.

Rogerus de Dilewyk ij° de placito versus Agnetem de Strafford et Elyam de Strafford' per Warinum filium Gileberti.

Augnes de Strafford iij° versus Rogerum de Dylewyk de placito per Willelmum filium Jocelini. Et Elyas se opponit versus dictum Rogerum.

Richerus de la Burne ponit loco suo Walterum filium es heredem suum ad sectam pro co faciendam usque ad annum completum.

Omnes milites et libere tenentes de curia de Broucton' elegerunt {dominum Berengerum le Moyne} (Sewale de Heningefeud!) dominum J. de Cancia, Selvestrem Lenveyse et Radulfum de Tyvill' ad servicium iiij. militum ad presens faciendum domino Regi pro domino Abbate Rames' et communa curie versus Walliam. Et Radulfus de Tyvill' presens fuit et dedicit quod ad presens non debet servicium et tota curia dicit quod id dedicere non potest, eo quod dominus Abbas est in saysina dicti servicii. Ideo per consideracionem curie distr' veniend' in xv. dies ad proximam curiam promptus et paratus ad predictum servicium faciendum. Dominus Berengerus le Moyne, dominus J. de Cancia et Selvester Lenveyse non venerunt. Ideo per consideracionem curie distringantur veniend' in xv. dies ad proximam curiam prompti et parati ad predictum servicium

<sup>1</sup> This name has been substituted for that of Berengar le Moyne.

faciendum. Et tota curia dicit quod quilibet dictorum quatuor militum postquam positus fuerit in constabulariam debet capere quolibet die quadraginta dierum ad presens iiij. sol. propter caritudinem temporis dum stant in servicio domini Regis per dictos xl. dies. Et assidet tota curia ad presens ad expensas dictorum iiij. militum electorum ad quamlibet hydam ij. sol. ita quod medietas ad presens persolvatur apud Broucton' in xv. dies ad proximam curiam.

Willelmus de la Kernayll' venit et plen' cur' et fecit homagium et feod' domino Abbati pro terra scil. j. hyda et dim. quam Thomas de Lindeseya et antecessores sui quondam tenuerunt in Ysham de Abbacia de Rames' per servicium unius equi de precio x. sol. selle sumer' unius sacci et kynill' in exercitum cum dominus Rex iter suum arripuerit et totum predictum servicium dictus Willelmus in plena curia concessit preter hoc quod (non) tenetur dare hidagium pro dicto tenemento, quod erit inquisitum per totam curiam.

<sup>4</sup> Johannes de Aylinton' comparuit et petiit diem amoris ad satisfaciendum domino Abbati infra proximam curiam sequentem ita quod dicta loquela remaneat in eodem statu quo prius, nisi pax fuerit interim reformata, ita quod non intret bladum domini Abbatis nec hominum suorum cum tauro suo nec cum verre nec cum aliis averiis suis ad pascendum.

Def Comes Oxonie dominus W. de Bello Campo, dominus W. de Wychenton', et per consideracionem curie isti distringantur veniendi ad proximam curiam.

Robertus de Fougeres ponit loco suo Robertum de Over' ad sectam pro eo faciendam usque ad annum completum.

Datus est dies curie in xv. dies.

Par. Chron. Maj. v. 677; the caritado temporis is attested, ibid. 673; it was little short of famine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Ramsay Cartulary, ii. 295, is a writ of 1268, in which the King acknowledges that the Abbot has discharged his service of providing four knights for forty days. For the call to arms in 1258, which caused many murmurings, see Mat.

<sup>2</sup> Corr. in.

As to this word, see Glossary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See above, p. 56.

Curia de Broucton' die Martis proxima post festum S. Augustini anno predicto mense Mayi coram S. de Houcton'.

Henricus de Styucel' esson' se jo de communi per Johannem filium Gocelini.

Thomas de Hyrst jo versus Rogerum filium Alani de placito terre per Robertum filium Radulfi.

Elyas de Stratford' jo versus Rogerum de Dylewyk de placito terre per Thomam filium Nicholai.

Agnes de Stratford' iiijo de servicio domini Regis versus eundem Rogerum per Radulfum filium Roberti.

Rogerus de Dylewyk iiij° versus Agnetem de Stratford' et Elyam filium suum de placito terre per Thomam filium Willelmi.

Johannes de Aylinton' versus Warinum attornatum domini Abbatis de placito terre per Matheum filium Hugopis. Et Warinus se optulit versus dictum Johannem et calumpniat esson' desicut ad proximam curiam precedentem idem Johannes manucepit veniend' ad istam curiam sine esson' vel pacem interim reformare cum dicto domino Abbate.

Gilebertus Fraser j° de communi per Vincencium filium Bartholomci.

Magister Stephanus de Holewell' j° de communi per Walterum de Holewell'.

Petrus de Ley{ham iij° de} eodem per Alanum filium Mayn. Venit.

Willelmus de la Kernayll' venit in plenam curiam et optulit servicium suum versus Walliam videlicet unum equum de precio x. sol. sellam sumeri et saccum cum kyiwll' ad hernes' milit' euncium versus Walliam portand' pro terra sua quam tenet de Abbate de Rames' in Isham videlicet j. hyda et dimidia.

Bartholomeus filius Michaelis de Wardeb' ponit loco suo

<sup>1</sup> For this word, see Glossary.

c. sol. Et Rogerus defendit vim et injuriam et dixit quod noluit respondere desicut S. de Houcton' i eidem dedit diem ad pacem reformandam quando ivit versus Oxoniam. Et super hoc dictus Elyas peciit judicium et idem Rogerus subtraxit se et in despectu curie abiit. Ideo per consideracionem curie distringatur veniend' ad proximam curiam ad audiendum judicium suum et similiter plegii sui distringantur quia non habuerunt quem plegiaverunt, scil. Galfridus Rodland' et Richerus de la Burn'.

Datus dies curie in tres septimanas.

Curia apud Broucton' die Martis proxima ante festum S. Benedicti anno eodem in presencia S. de Houcton'.

Elyas de Stratford' je versus Rogerum de Dylewyk de placito terre per Thomam filium Willelmi.

Rogerus de Dylewyk j° versus Elyam de Stratford' et alios de codem per Willelmum filium Johannis.

Walterus de Gidding' attornatus R. de Styecl' j° de communi per Johannem filium Walteri.

Johannes de Aylinton' iij\* versus Warinum attornatum domini Abbatis de placito terre per Ricardum filium Johannis.

Thomas filius Yvonis j° de communi per Willelmum filium Gileberti.

Walterus de Upwod' j' versus Radulfum de Wynton' de sanguine effuso per Elyam de Theref'.

Radulfus de Wynton' venit infra aulam in qua curia tenebatur et postea se subtraxit et pro se misit essoniatorem et ballivus esson' calumpniavit dicens quod esson' nulla fuit co [quod 2] visus fuit infra dictam aulam. Ideo per consideracionem curio dictus Radulfus distringatur quia non venit quando vocatus fuit ad audiendum judicium suum.

Apsalon de Broucton' falso presentavit esson' pro Radulfo de Wynton' et eciam fecit illum subtrahere quando

E sace

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Apparently the Abbot's steward who went about the country holding courts in the various manors.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; quest is not on the roll.

Curia apud Broucton' die Martis proxima ante festum S. Johannis Baptiste anno eodem.

Henricus de Styuecl' esson' se ijo de communi per Thomam filium Willelmi.

Radulfus de Wynton' esson' se j° versus Walterum filium Galien' de Upwod' de bateria per Johannem filium Apsalonis.

Johannes de Aylinton' esson' se ijo versus Warinum attornatum domini Abbatis de placito transgressionis per Robertum filium Henrici.

Elyas de Stratford queritur de Rogero de Dylewyk eo quod ad Gulam Augusti proximo preteritam vendere debuisset subboscum Agnetis matris sue qui ei contingit nomine dotis sue, quod dictus Rogerus de Dilewyk ei non permisit immo ei deforciavit omnino et cepit et capere fecit per prepositum domini Abbatis Rames' unum equum suum in dampnum ejusdem Agnetis matris sue xx. sol. [et 1] dictum equum in curia Abbatis detinuit et detinere fecit a die Martis proxima post. Gulam Augusti usque ad diem Lune proximo sequentem de quibus xx sol. satisfecit idem Elyas matri sue. Insuper idem Elyas queritur de eodem Rogero eo quod se querebatur de codem Elya in curia Comitis Glovernie et fecit Hugonem de Cheual ballivum dicti Comitis et Robertum hominem suum intrare feodum domini Abbatis et ij. equos et ij. boves ejusdem Elye de precio xl. s. de quibus satisfecit matri sue quos habuit in custodia de matre sua Agnete predicta capere et fugare in alium comitatum a feodo domini Abbatis usque ad feodum domini Comitis Glovernie in comitatu de Bukingham ad domum Willelmi de Radewell' in Crawel' 2 et ibidem detinere contra vadium et plegium a die Martis proxima ante l'ascha usque ad diem Lune proximo sequentem ita quod dictos equos et boves habere non potuit quousque invenit pl' ad respondendum in curia dicti Comitis ad dampnum et dedecus dicti Elye

<sup>1</sup> This word is not on the roll.

<sup>\*</sup> The scene of the distraint seems to be the Abbot's manor of Cranfield

in Bedfordshire, which lies close to Crawley in Buckinghamshire.

c. sol. Et Rogerus defendit vim et injuriam et dixit quod noluit respondere desicut S. de Houcton' i eidem dedit diem ad pacem reformandam quando ivit versus Oxoniam. Et super hoc dictus Elyas peciit judicium et idem Rogerus subtraxit se et in despectu curie abiit. Ideo per consideracionem curie distringatur veniend' ad proximam curiam ad audiendum judicium suum et similiter plegii sui distringantur quia non habuerunt quem plegiaverunt, scil. Galfridus Rodland' et Richerus de la Burn'.

Datus dies curie in tres septimanas.

Curia apud Broucton' die Martis proxima ante festum S. Benedicti anno eodem in presencia S. de Houcton'.

Esson

Elyas de Stratford' jo versus Rogerum de Dylewyk de placito terre per Thomam filium Willelmi.

Rogerus de Dylewyk j° versus Elyam de Stratford' et alios de codem per Willelmum filium Johannis.

Walterus de Gidding' attornatus R. de Styecl' jo de communi per Johannem filium Walteri.

Johannes de Aylinton' iij° versus Warinum attornatum domini Abbatis de placito terre per Ricardum filium Johannis.

Thomas filius Yvonis j° de communi per Willelmum filium Gileberti.

Walterus de Upwod' j° versus Radulfum de Wynton' de sanguine effuso per Elyam de Theref'.

Radulfus de Wynton' venit infra aulam in qua curia tenebatur et postea se subtraxit et pro se misit essoniatorem et ballivus esson' calumpniavit dicens quod esson' nulla fuit co [quod 2] visus fuit infra dictam aulam. Ideo per consideracionem curie dictus Radulfus distringatur quia non venit quando vocatus fuit ad audiendum judicium

Apsalon de Broucton' falso presentavit esson' pro Radulfo de Wynton' et eciam fecit illum subtrahere quando

Apparently the Abbot's steward who went about the country holding courts in the various manors.

<sup>·</sup> quod is not on the roll.

Dillin

debuit apparere. Ideo idem Apsalon in misericordia. Plegius Gocelinus de Broucton'.

Def Nicholaus Gernup, terra Apsalonis de Haliwell, Willelmus Heres, Robertus de Houcton. Et per consideracionem curie distringantur.

Datus est dies curie in tres septimanas.

### Curia apud Broucton' die Martis proxima ante Gulam Augusti anno eodem.

Nicholaus Gernun esson' se j° de defalta per Willelmum de Aula.

Berengerus le Moyne j° de communi per Bartholomeum Carectarium.

Rogerus de Dylewyk ij° versus Elyam de Stratford' de placito terre per Johannem filium Hugonis.

Elias de Stratford' ijo versus Rogerum de Dylewik de codem per plegium Willelmum filium Hugonis. Warentizavit.

Gocelinus de Broucton' queritur de Willelmo filio Henrici prepos' de Broucton' et Radulfo fratre suo de bateria. Plegius de prosequendo, Elyas Hundredar'. Et preceptum est attachiare dictos Willelmum et Radulfum veniend' ad proximam curiam inde r'.

Radulfus de Wynton' optulit se ad war' esson' suam et responsum fuit ei per ballivum quod esson' sua non fuit allocata ' ad proximam curiam precedentem eo quod visus fuit infra aulam curie. Et Radulfus dixit quod esson' ei de jure allocari debuit, desicut non fuit infra iiij. parietes aule. Et super hoc ballivus et Radulfus se ponunt in veredictum curie, et pro tenuitate curie ponitur in respectum usque ad proximam curiam.

Item idem Radulfus optulit se versus <sup>2</sup> Walterum de Upwod', et Walterus non venit, ideo per consideracionem curie idem Walterus et plegii sui in misericordia, et Radulfus recessit quietus et distringatur veniend' ad proximam curiam ad finem faciendum de misericordia.

Gocelinus de Broucton' queritur de Johanne Randulph'

mia

<sup>1</sup> The scribe uses essente as a nominative singular.

<sup>:</sup> tersus, repeated.

xij. d.

xij. d.

de terra, et de licencia ballivi concordati sunt ita quod dictus Johannes se ponit in misericordiam xij. d. plegius Elyas.

Robertus Morel tenens terram quondam Apsalonis de Haliwell' fecit defaltam ad proximam curiam precedentem. Ideo se ponit in misericordiam vj. d. et Willelmus Heres pro terra sua pro eodem in misericordiam vj. d. Plegius alter alterius, et ponitur in respectum usque ad proximam curiam.

Ricardus Ulf distr' pro defalta ad proximam curiam precedentem venit et posuit se in veredictum tocius curie et villate de Hirst tam villan' quam liber' quod nullam sectam ad curiam de Broucton' debet nisi bis per annum et ad afforciamentum curie.

Robertus de Houcton' fecit defaltam. Ideo preceptum est distringere illum veniend' inde r'.

Ricardus Benethetun de Parva Stiuecl' juravit tactis sacrosanctis in plena curia quod in tempore domini Rannulphi Abbatis xx. s. solvit fratri W. de Acholt' in gersum' pro xxx. acris terre de feodo Lenveyse et xx. s. apud Rames' pro eodem in presencia Henrici de Styuecl' et Vincencii Marescalli de Glatton', qui idem in plena curia tactis sacrosanctis juraverunt.

Def Comes Oxonie, Willelmus de Bello Campo.

Datus est dies curie in unum mensem.3

. . . . . . . . .

'Curia de Brocton' die Martis proxima ante festum S.
Trinitatis anno regni Regis Edwardi xxi et J.
Abbatis vii'.'

Alexander de Rypton' de communi per Robertum de Stiukele ijo.

- <sup>1</sup> This does not imply that the villans of Hurst were suitors to the court of Broughton.
  - ourt of Broughtor \* Sic.
- <sup>2</sup> Here ends the roll that we have been using. Many years clapse before we get another record.
  - Pub. Rec. Off .- Augmentation

Office Court Rolls, P. 5, N. 29; a roll of 6 rotulets, which apparently should be read in the following order, viz. 1, 2, 5, 4, 3, 6; this order we follow.

All the proceedings of this court are here printed.

Thomas the Bailiff attorney of Margery of Swinford of the same by Thomas the Beadle; warranted.

William Brekespere of the same by Alan Hugh's son; second time.

Simon of Gledsey defendant in a plea of trespass against Warin of Bradenach by Thomas Roger's son; first time.

William Morice of the common suit by William William's son: third time.

Richard of Hotot of the same by Roger William's son; third time; warranted.

Richard of Baliol of the same by Ralph Richard's son.

William of Broughton of the same by Thomas Richard's son; second time.

William of Holywell came and gaged an amercement for a single default that he had made; pledges, William of Barton and W. Rideman (12 d.).

Ivo of Hurst came and gaged an amercement for a single default that he had made; pledge, the bailiff (12 d.)

John of Gidding came and gaged an amercement for a single default that he had made; pledge, W. Rideman (6 d.)

John King of Broughton does not come; let him be distrained.

Master Stephen Prodome and Henry of Cheney do not come; let them be distrained.

Hugh le Berth' of Lawshall who holds part of the land of the fee of Moneywood was distrained and has a respite to Michaelmas.

John del Brevis and Bernard Fowler hold of the said tenement; therefore be they distrained for suit and other dues.

Henry Miller of Elton and John le Loverd of the same place do not come and have made several defaults; therefore be they distrained.

VOL. II.

Curia de Brocton' die Martis proxima ante festum S. Barnabe Apostoli anno supradicto.

Preceptum fuit ballivo ad distringendum Alexandrum Tartarin ad solvendum Is' de Hunt' iiij. s. et vj. d. prout recuperavit in plena curia et dampna que taxantur ad v. s. Et districtus fuit per unam vaccam et replegiata fuit per Willelmum de Lond' de Wardeboys et Rogerum le Carpenter de cadem, ita quod dictus Alexander solveret dictum debitum dicte Is' citra hunc diem, qui nichil inde fecit. Ideo consideratum est quod predicti plegii summoneantur quod sint etc. ad audiendum judicium suum etc., et nichilominus dictus Alexander et plegius ejus videlicet Ricardus Catoun distringantur ad dictum debitum solvendum.

Curia de Brouton' die Martis in crastino Apostolorum Petri et Pauli anno supradicto.

Quia testificatum est per ballivum quod Willelmus de Londres de Ward' et Rogerus Carpenter de eadem plegii Alexandri Tartarin ad solvendum Isolde de Hunt' iiij. s. et vj. d. debiti et v. s. pro dampnis districti sunt per j. equum et j. vaccam, ideo preceptum est quod reteneantur et plus capiatur donce dictum debitum cum dampnis solvatur.

• • • • •

'Magna Curia de Brocton' tenta die Martis in festo Translacionis S. Hugonis Episcopi anno regni Regis Edwardi xxi et J. Abbatis octavo incipiente.

\* Esson'. Radulfus de Giddingge attornatus Johannis de Engayn de Dilington' de communi per Ricardum Dally. (Warentizavit.)

<sup>2</sup> Seven essoins.

are omitted.

These essoins are bracketed and

<sup>1</sup> Four essoins and a few entries in common form are omitted.

<sup>\*</sup> The proceedings of three courts held in July, August and September

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> m. 2. All the proceedings of this the great half-yearly court are here printed.

Robertus de la Bourne de Craunfeud' de eodem per Willelmum filium Willelmi.

Nicholas Sauncheverel de Ellisworth' de eodem per Thomas Unwyne.

Willelmus de la Grave de eadem et de eodem per Henricum Broun.

Radulfus le Mareschal de Craunfeud' de eodem per Rogerum filium Willelmi.

Ricardus filius Clerici de Lauyshull' de eodem per Johannem filium Henrici. (Warentizavit.)

Willelmus de Bray de Barton' de eodem per Johannem filium Galfridi.

Radulfus le Norreys de Hurst de eodem per Rogerum filium ejus iiij°. (Warentizavit.)

Willelmus de Brocton' de communi per Bernardum le Gardiner.

Ricardus filius Radulfi de eodem per Thomam le Clerke. Galfridus Abouetoun attornatus Hascelyne Monys de eodem per Johannem Sylvestre. (Postca venit.)

Willelmus Brekespere de codem per Johannem Maheu. Henricus de la Sale de Overe de codem per Willelmum Fuger. (Postea ven'.)

Andreas de Craunseud' de eodem per Henricum filium Hugonis.

Willelmus Ketil de Ayllington' de eodem per Johannem le Ken.

Willelmus Morice de eodem per Willelmum filium Willelmi. (Warentizavit.)

Ricardus de Hotot de codem per Thomam filium Willelmi. (Postea venit.)

Willelmus de Holewelle de eodem per Rogerum filium Hugonis.

Willelmus le Portere de Weston' de eodem per Willelmum filium Emme. (Warentizavit.)

Johannes de Harpifeud' de eodem per Johannem Payn.

governed by the statement Af', habent diem in tres septimanas: faith is pledged, and a day is given three weeks hence.

Thomas de Brauncestr' de eodem per Johannem May. (Warentizavit.)

Johannes de Giddigge de eodem per Adam filium Stephani.

Warinus de Bradenach per Johannem Aspelon. (Warentizavit.)

Preceptum est Warinus de Bradenach' per W. Rideman attornatum querens optulit se versus Simonem de Gledeseye de placito transgressionis, qui non venit et alias essoniatus fuit. Ideo distringatur.

Johannes de Ayllington' non venit et plures fecit defaltas et districtus est per duos equos. Ideo ten' etc.¹ Et alias attachiatus fuit ven' et invenit plegios, videlicet, Willelmum Rideman (vj. d.) et Johannem filium Johannis de Ayllington' (vj. d.). Ideo ipsi in misericordia et nichilominus distringatur, etc.

mic zij. d.

Preceptum

dim. m. condonatur per Abbatem. De villa de Elington' quia non fecerunt parcum domini fractum unde Ridemanny conquer' quod ponunt ibi districtiones et statim dimittuntur per defaltam predictam, dim. m.

Willelmus de Hanyfeld' in Lauyshill', Umfridus de Monewode de eadem, tenentes feodum Schantardi in eadem (v. bovett'), Thomas de Beynville in Borouwell', Walterus de Huntercumbe de cadem (ij. equos), Albredus de Borouwell', Johannes de Schepewyk, Robertus de Gledeseye, Feodum de Bello Campo in Schicclingdon', Robertus le Fyt de Schepeho, Robertus filius Willelmi de Acholt, Johannes filius Radulfi pro feodo Parentyn in Barton', Ricardus filius Galfridi Ruilaund' in Craunfeld', uxor Radulfi le Botiler in eadem, Olyva de Leyham in Bercford', Baldewynus de Stouwe ibidem, Rogerus le Botiler in Gillinge, Feodum Silvestri le Enveyse in Knapwell', Comes Oxonie in Hemingford' (j. bovettum), Willelmus le Enveyse in Elington (j. equum), Willelmus filius Willelmi de Wychinton' in Weston' et Wychinton', Johannes de Ayllington' in Ayllington', Galfridus Blundel de cadem (j. bovettum), Johannes Fraunceys de cadem, Willelmus le Moyne in

<sup>1</sup> The full phrase is Ideo teneantur et plus capiatur.

Sautre, Baldewynus de Stoue in Hurst, Henricus de Cheny in Hocton', Johannes Gernoun in Brocton' et Margeria de Swyneford' in Stiuckele Magna non ven', ideo distringantur. Johannes filius Umfridi de Wardeboys non venit, ideo etc.

Isabella atte Mere in Berckford' habet respectum usque Pascha per dominum Abbatem.

Preceptum est distringere omnes tenentes terras que fuerunt Johannis Gernoun in Brocton' pro secta et aliis exaccionibus.

Adhuc ut pluries preceptum est distringere Adam Prepositum de Elington' (j. equum), Johannem filium Walteri de eadem (j. equum), Ricardum le Hayward de eadem (j. equum) et Walterum Bythebrock' (j. equum) ad respondendum quare non venerunt ad respondendum de catallis Willelmi filii Hugonis sicut manuceperunt ut patet in precedentibus rotulis.

Adhuc ut pluries preceptum est distringere Adam Prepositum de Elington' (j. equum) quod sit ad proximam curiam ad respondendum quare abire permisit unum equum captum de Hugone Prentot et unum bovem captum de Simone Martin per W. Rideman et posit' in parco domini.

<sup>2</sup> Curia de Brocton' die Martis proxima ante festum Cinerum anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii....<sup>2</sup>

. . . . . . . .

Preceptum cst Benedictus le Gardener querens optulit se versus Hescelinam Mouwyn de placito debiti, que non venit et summonita est, ideo ponatur per plegios et datus est dies.

Preceptum est Johannes Hubert querens optulit se versus Hescelinam Mouwyn de placito debiti, que non venit et summonita est,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The proceedings of five courts holden in October, November, December 1293, and January and February 1294, are omitted as of little interest.

m. 5.
 A few words may have perished probably the date in years of Abbot

Seven essoins.

ideo ponatur per plegios, et dictus Johannes ponit loco suo Thomam le War'.

. . . . . . .

Uxor Radulfi le Botiler venit coram domino apud Rames' post festum S. Michaelis et ostendit quamdam cartam in qua continebatur quod feoffata erat de toto tenemento quod fuit Radulfi le Botiler in Craunfeud quondam viri sui et illa fecit feodelitatem domino Abbati predicto tenemento et sanavit plures defaltas, et condonantur per Abbatem.

. . . . . . .

Memorandum quod Rogerus de Cranemere venit in plena curia ista et ostendit quandam cartam per quam Robertus filius Willelmi de Ocholt in Schutlingdon' feoffavit ipsum de toto tenemento quod habuit in eadem villa quod ten' de Abbate de Rames' sibi et heredibus suis inperpetuum faciendo servicium capitali domino secundum statutum, et dictus Rogerus fecit feodelitatem set non hamagium.'

Respectum

M4.

Ricardus Roulaund habet respectum usque Pascha de relevio suo set nondum taxatur et fecit homagium et feodelitatem.

. . . . . . .

Memorandum quod Willelmus le Eyr de Delewyk' fecit feodelitatem coram W. de Wassinggele 2 ad visum franciplegii de Craunfeud pro tenemento quod tenet de domino Abbate in cadem, set non fecit homagium et habuit diem ad querendum dominum.

• • • • • • •

Curia de Brocton' die Martis proxima ante festum Annunciacionis B. Marie anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii et J. Abbatis viii.

Sic.
 The Abbot's steward. Cranfield is in Bedfordshire.

The business consists of six essoins and the compromises of two actions.

'Curia de Brocton' die Martis proxima post clausum Pasche anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii et J. Abbatis viii.

Memorandum quod Willelmus filius Willelmi le Eyr de Dilewyk' fecit homagium suum domino Abbati die supradicto in aula de Brocton' coram domino W. de Bereford, W. de Wassiggele seneschall' et aliis.

Memorandum quod Willelmus Wyne fecit finem pro ij. s. pro pace habenda de districtione pro secta curie usque etatem Hugonis Prentot de quo habuit custodiam in curia de Brocton' tenta die Martis proxima ante festum S. Georgii Martiris post Pascha anno J. Abbatis quarto. Ideo inquirendum est quando obiit pro solucione dictorum ij. s. unde W. Rideman oneratus est in compotuo suo redd' post Pascha anno octavo J. Abbatis pro uno anno tantum de dictis ij. s.

# Curia de Brocton die Martis proxima post mens' Pasch' anno supradicto.

. . . . . . . .

4 Cecilia Moyllard' districta fuit et habet respectum usque festum S. Michaelis ad ostend' cartam per quam dicit se feoffatam esse de tenemento in Bercford' unde Isabella filia ejus est heres et infra etatem post mortem patris sui.

Memorandum quod Rogerus filius Gileberti de Craunfeud tenet quartam partem unius virgate terre in eadem que fuit quondam de tenemento Galfridi Roulaund' et nichil facit Galfrido nec domino Abbati nec clamat tenere de Galfrido. Ideo distringatur ad ostendendum qualiter tenet et pro secta curie de Brocton'.

<sup>1</sup> m. 5 d. This is the great half yearly court. There are thirty essoins and eighteen defaults; the other business is here printed.

2 Sic.

3 Twenty five essoins.

4 m. 4.

Curia de Brocton' die Martis proxima ante festum S. Trinitatis anno supradicto.

Curia de Brocton' die Martis in Octabis Apostolorum Petri et Pauli anno supradicto.

. . . . . . . .

Inquisicio facta in plena curia ista per sacramentum Thome le Freman de Gritton', Ricardi de Hotot, Radulfi le Noreys, Yvonis de Hurst, Alexandri Walkelyn, Johannis Fraunceys, Johannis de Vernoun, Johannis de Cotenham, Willelmi de Bernewell', Radulfi le Mareschal, Ranulfi de Clervaus, Willelmi Rideman et Rogeri Rideman juratorum, qui dicunt super sacramentum suum quod Willelmus de Haningfeud in Lauyshull', Albricus de Borouwell', Johannes de Harpisfeud', Tenentes feodum de Bello Campo in Schitlingdon', Willelmus de Holewell', Johannes de Leyham in Bercford', Baldewynus de Stouwe, Rogerus le Botiler in Gilligge, Feodum Silvestri le Enveyse in Knapwelle, Feodum de Tyville in Grauinhurst, Comes Oxonie in Hemingford', Johannes de Engayn in Dylington', Willelmus le Enveyse in Elington', Willelmus filius Willelmi de Wyston', Berengerius le Moyne in Bernewell', Willelmus le Moygne in Sautre, Johannes Mouwyn de Hurst, Barnabe de Stiuekele et Philippus de Ripton' omnes isti debent corporale servicium quando dominus Rex exigit servicium suum de comitibus et baronibus Anglie, et dicunt super sacramentum suum quod Comes Oxonie in Hemingford', Willelmus de Wycheton', Berengerius Monachus, Barnabe de Stiuckele debent modo facere corporale servicium.2

from the King bidding the Abbot provide his service for the French war. In consequence of this the above inquest was made.

No business beyond essoins and defaults.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To the side of the roll is sewn a small fragment of parchment, which apparently is the remains of a writ

'Curia de Brocton' die Martis in festo B. Margarete Virginis anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii et J. Abbatis viii.

Memorandum quod Comes Oxonie pro ten' in Hemingford', Willelmus de Wycheton' pro eadem villa et Bythirne, Berengerius le Moyne pro Bernewelle et Margeria de Swyneford' que tenet terras que fuerunt quondam Barnabe de Stiuckele in eadem electi fuerunt per sacramentum xij. proborum et legalium hominum in plena curia ut patet infra <sup>2</sup> ad faciendum modo corporale servicium in exercitu domini Regis. Et preceptum est Ridemann' quod ipsos distr' ven' ad faciendum dictum servicium etc.<sup>2</sup>

Preocptum

Curia de Brocton' die Martis in festo S. Laurencii anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii et J. Abbatis octavo.

Curia de Brocton' die Martis proxima post festum decollacionis S. Johannis Baptiste anno supradicto.

Comes Oxonie (tres equos) et tenentes terre Barnabe de Stiuekele (respectum per Abbatem), Willelmus de Wychinton et tenentes terre Berengarii le Moyne electi fuerunt ad faciendum servicium domini Regis secundum consuetudinem Abbacie ut supra patet. Et dictus Comes non venit et districtus est per tres equos per tres vices ut Ridemannus testatur. Ideo ten' et plures capiantur donce etc. Tenentes terre Barnabe de Stiuekele distr' pro codem. Tenentes terras Willelmi de Wycheton' distr' pro codem. Abbas tenet terras que fuerunt Berengarii etc.

membrane.

m. 4 d.

The reference is to what is here printed as the last preceding entry; a reference from the top of the back to the bottom of the front of the

No other business at this court.
A few entries as to essoins and defaults.

The fee of Silvester L'Enveyse to be distrained (one horse); William L'Enveyse who now is Silvester's heir has nothing of the said fee, because Silvester and William Silvester's son father of the present William, who has now survived his father, have sold the whole of the said fee by parcels. Therefore let all the tenants of the said fee be distrained for the service due to the King and for suit of court etc.

William le Moyne in Sawtrey owes corporal service and is summoned to attend to the King's writ and does not come; besides he has made many defaults in his suit of court; and he is distrained by one horse; so let that be detained and more be taken.

William of Haningfield in Lawshall owes corporal service, for it is found in the rolls of Abbot Randolph that one William of Haningfield knight his grandfather did corporal service for the Abbey of Ramsey according to the custom of the Abbey in the service of King Henry father of the now King in Poictou and Gascony in the 26th year of King Henry and he did the said service by [the bodies of] his sons Sewal and Thomas, who as two esquires were received in the said army in place of one knight. And for that William who now is, has denied the said service in full court, order is given to the rideman to distrain him day by day until he does the said service. And be it remembered that the said Sewal was the father of this William who now denies the said service.

John of Harpsfield (distrained by one horse) owes corporal service as is testified by the Abbot's memoranda rolls. He comes and denies the service; therefore be he distrained.

<sup>1</sup> This is not an exact translation of the text, but seems to give what is really meant; see below, p. 80.

<sup>1</sup>Curia de Brocton die Martis in crastino S. Mathei Apostoli anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii. et J. Abbatis nono incipiente.

Alexander de Rypton' de communi per Ricardum Brown j°. Robertus Gernoun de eadem per Willelmum Hervy j°. Johannes de Cotenham de eadem per Willelmum Gore ij°.

Adam filius Hugonis defendens versus Rogerum le Barth' de placito transgressionis per Thomam le Mercer. Affidavit.

Radulfus le Noreys de communi per Willelmum le Nune j°. Affidavit.

Edmundus de Oxindon' per Thomam de Warewyk'. Affidavit.

Yvo de Hurst non venit, ideo distringatur. Johannes Ballard' non venit, ideo etc.

Willelmus de Holewell' non venit et districtus est per unum equum, ideo etc., et alias invenit plegios veniendi videlicet Henricum Druri (vj. d.) et Willelmum de Barton' (vj. d.) et non ven' et plegii summoniti fuerunt ven' aud' judicium suum quare non habuerunt quem plegiaverunt et quia non ven' ipsi plegii in misericordia.

(<sup>3</sup> Edwardus dei gracia Rex Anglie, Dominus Hibernie et Dux Aquitanie ballivis Abbatis de Rameseye de Broghton's salutem. Quia . . . . . est quod quilibet liber homo qui sectam debet ad curiam domini sui libere possit facere attornatum suum ad sectam illam pro eo . . . . attornatum quem Normannus Darcy loco suo attornare voluerit ad sectam pro eo faciendam ad curiam predicti domini vestri de Broghton' loco ipsius [Nor]manni sine difficultate ad hoc recipiatis. Teste meipso apud Westmonasterium viij die . . . anno regni nostri vicesimo secundo . . . .)

Comes Oxonie, tenentes terras Barnabe de Stiuekele, Willelmus de Wycheton' et tenentes terras que fuerunt

War

War

mie zij. d.

<sup>1</sup> m. 3. All the entries relating to this court are here printed.

The first three essoins are bracketed and governed by the state-

ment Aff', habent diem in tres sept."

The following from a writ imperfectly legible sewn to the margin of the roll. See Stat. Merton, c. 10.

Berangarii le Moygne electi fuerunt ad faciendum servicium domini in Vasconia secundum consuetudinem Abbacie, et non venerunt. Et Comes districtus est per tres equos ut W. Rideman testatur, ideo etc. Et Normannus Darcy qui duxit in uxorem Margeriam de Swyneford que tenuit terram que fuit Barnabe habet respectum de districcione facienda super ipsum pro predicto servicio usque proximam curiam. Tenentes terras que fuerunt Willelmi de Wyston' distr' pro predicto servicio faciendo. Dominus Abbas tenet terras Berengarii et misit duos servientes loco cujusdam militis in exercitu predicto, videlicet, Willelmum le Moygne de Bernewell' et Thomam de Warewyk'. Et idem Abbas misit pro W. de Wychinton' duos servientes loco unius militis in exercitu predicto videlicet Johannem de Terfeud' et Radulfum de Castre. Et idem Abbas misit in exercitu predicto pro defectu Comitis Oxonie et Barnabe de Stiucke, Johannem le Deyn militem, Edmundum de Elisworth' servientem dicti domini Johannis cum quodam alio serviente ejusdem loco unius militis, et hoc totum sumptibus dicti Abbatis. Memorandum quod istud servicium missum fuit apud Portesmuth' ad profru'i faciend' in crastino S. Michaelis Arcangeli anno supradicto secundum tenorem brevis domini Regis. Et postea remissi fuerunt per preceptum domini Regis quousque alias premunirentur.

Feodum Silvestre <sup>2</sup> le Enveyse et Willelmus le Enveyse qui modo est heres dicti Silvestri nichil habet de dicto feodo in manu sua, quia dictus Silvester et Willelmus filius ejus vendiderunt per particulas totum dictum tenementum. Ideo omnes tenentes de dicto feodo distr' pro servicio domini Regis et pro secta curie.

Willelmus le Moyngne in Sautre debet corporale servicium in exercitu domini Regis secundum consuetudinem Abbacie. Et non venit quando alii summoniti fuerunt, ideo etc., et plures fecit defaltas quoud sectam curie, ideo etc., et distr' per duos equos, ideo ten' etc.

Willelmus de Haningfeud debet corporale servicium prout plenius patet in proxima precedente curia, et illud servicium dedixit in plena curia, ideo distr'. Et Ridemannus testatur quod distr' est per unam vaccam, ideo etc.

Johannes de Harpisseud' debet corporale servicium ut patet in rotulis memorandorum domini Abbatis, et dedixit servicium in plena curia, ideo etc. Et distr' est per unum equum ideo etc.

Tenentes feodum de Bello Campo in Schicclingdon', Willelmus de Holewell', Johannes de Leyham pro Bercford', Rogerus le Botiler in Gillingge, Willelmus le Enveyse de Elington', W. de Wyston' et W. le Moyne in Sautre debent corporale servicium et non ven' sicut summoniti fuerunt, ideo etc. Et tenentes de Bello campo distr' sunt per unum equum etc.

respectum

omitted.

Baldewynus de Stouwe non venit set habet respectum per dominum Abbatem.

Willelmus Herbert de Lauyshull' non venit quosd sectam curie et districtus est per tria averia, ideo etc. Similiter tenentes feodum Schancardi districti sunt per quinque averia pro eadem, ideo etc. Tenentes feodum Albrici de Borouwell' excepto J. de Clervaus qui venit distr'. Robertus le Fit' de Schepeho non venit et districtus est per unum equum, ideo etc. Adam le Fraunkehome, Cristiana filia Simonis Payn non ven' et dicta Cristiana districta est per unam vaccam, ideo etc. Henricus Molendinarius de Aylington' non venit, ideo etc.

Henricus de Cheny non venit et districtus est per unum equum, ideo etc. Johannes Gernoun de Brocton' non venit, ideo distr'.

Isabella de Stangryth' in Holewell' non venit, ideo etc.
Adhuc preceptum est distr' Warinum de Bradenach' et
Ricardum Roulaund' pro releviis suis.

Rogerus filius Gileberti de Craunfeud' distr' pro relevio suo.

<sup>1</sup> The proceedings of three courts held in October and November are

Curia de Brocton die Martis in crastino S. Lucie Virginis anno supradicto.

(2 Willelmus ballivus manerii de Parva Styuekl' queritur de Johanne de Radclyve serviente persone de Parva Styuekl' et dicit quod idem Johannes turpiter et injuste ipsum defamavit per totam patriam verbis contumeliosis dicendo ipsum Willelmum nequiter et furtive cepisse et asportasse de blado dicti rectoris de Parva Styuekl' usque ad manerium domini ejusdem Willelmi in autumpno ultimo preterito unam thravam frumenti et duas thravas et dimidiam fabarum et pisarum ad grave dampuum et vituperium ejusdem Willelmi xl. s. etc. Predictus Johannes presens defendebat verba curie etc.3 et dicit se nunquam predictum Willelmum defamasse immo veritatem dixisse et veritas non est defamacio. Non cnim potest dedici nec vult dedicere quin aperte . . . . bladum predicti rectoris ut supradictum est asportaverat quod quidem promptus est verificare quocumque modo curia consideraverit. Et predictus Willelmus dicit quod nunquam dictum bladum in curiam domini sui asportavit sicut dictus . . . . quod quidam . . . . de Radeclive attornatus suus qui locum suum tenuit dictum bladum ei liberarit . . . . dicti persone . . . . facientibus in bladis manerii et quod hoc verum sit petit quod . . . . etc. Et dictus Johannes replicando dicit quod dictum bladum nunquam fuit eidem Willelmo per ipsum Johannem vel per aliquem alium locum suum tenentem deliberatum pro cornbote sicut idem Willelmus dicit set quod ipse Willelmus auctoritate propria absque liberacione alicujus dictum bladum de manerio domini sui maliciose asportavit ut predictum est in incupamento promptus est facere quod curia consideraverit ct cst . . . . Willelmus le Freman. Et datus fuit partibus dies ad proximam curiam de Brocton' videlicet die Martis

for the case is curious.

<sup>·</sup> Five essoins.

<sup>\*</sup> The following entry which reports proceedings in the court of Stukeley is on a piece of parchment sewn to the side of the roll, of which as much as possible is here printed,

The 'words of court' which the defendant denies are the 'common form allegations' about tort and force and so forth.

in crastino S. [Lucie 1] Virginis anno regni Regis Edwardi xxiijo.2)

Willelmus ballivus manerii de Parva Stiuekele querens optulit se versus Johannem servientem persone ejusdem ville de placito transgressionis, qui non venit. Et loquela querelata fuit coram W. de Wassingele ad ultimum visum franciplegii apud Stiuekele Parvam hoc anno prout patet in quadam cedula huic rotulo consuta, et data fuit dies partibus ad istam curiam, et dictus Johannes fuit ad legem in querela predicta. Et dictus Willelmus petit judicium etc. Et ponitur in respectum usque proximam curiam pro defectu sectatorum. Et ballivus testatur quod premunire fecit dictum Johannem de die istius curie per Radulfum de . . . . et Ricardum de . . . . . et

Judicium

Curia de Brocton' die Martis proxima post Epiphaniam Domini anno regni Regis Edwardi xxiii. et J. Ab-

. . . . . . .

Yvo de Hurst queritur de Auicia de Hygeneye de co quod ipsa die Veneris proxima ante festum Exaltacionis S. Crucis hoc anno cepit quoddam jumentum suum de caruca sua in le Sworland in campis de Wardeboys injuste ad dampnum suum etc. Et predicta Auicia dicit quod juste cepit dictum jumentum pro redditu viij. d. per annum per quinque annos clapsos, de quo redditu dotata fuit post mortem Roberti filii Willelmi mariti sui, et de quo redditu seysita fuit per manum dicti Yvonis quousque etc. Et dictus Yvo dicit quod illa nunquam fuit seysita de dicto redditu ut de dote sua nec ipse umquam attornatus fuit ad solvendum dictum redditum dicte Auicie, set quod reddidit annuatim dictum redditum heredi dicti Roberti viri sui et petit

batis nono.

Illegible.

The case is reserved from the manor court for the superior court at Broughton.

For more of this case see below,

p. 84.

The other entries record proceedings against defaulters.

m. 6.

Pre' est

mlā

quod inquiratur. (Jur' dicunt quod injuste dicta Auicia cepit dictum jumentum, ideo etc. Dampna ij. d.') Et predicta Auicia dicit quod seysita fuit ut supra patet et petit quod inquiratur, ideo preceptum est etc.

<sup>2</sup> Johannes de Radecliue vad' quamdam legem versus Willelmum servientem Dompni W. de Grafham de placito cujusdam defamacionis prout plenius patet in querela dicti Willelmi, qui non venit, ideo predictus Johannes et plegii sui de lege in misericordia, et dictus Willelmus recuperet dampna sua prout patet in querela. Misericordia pro se et plegiis xij. d.

zij. d. plegiis xij. d.

Comes Oxonie in Hemingford', Normannus de Arcy qui tenet terras que fuerunt Barnabe de Stiuekele per Margeriam de Swyneford' quam duxit in uxorem, Willelmus de Wycheton' in com' North' et Abbas de Rames' qui tenet terras que fuerunt Berengarii le Moyngne electi fuerunt secundum consuetudinem Abbacie ad faciendum pro dicta Abbacia servicium militare quod dominus Rex exigebat in Vasconia ut patet per breve suum, et dicti Comes, Normannus et W. de Wycheton' non venerunt nec miserunt, ideo distr'.

Willelmus de Haningfeud in Lauyshall' debet corporale servicium in exercitu domini Regis quando exigitur per breve Regis et quando turnus suus venit secundum consuctudinem Abbacie, et dictus W. dedixit illud servicium in plena curia, ideo distr'.

Memorandum quod Johannes de Welle qui duxit in

uxorem Idoneam sororem et heredem Ricardi de Beynvilla militis defuncti fecit homagium et feodelitatem domino Abbati in aula apud Rames' die Jovis in crastino S. Thome

The roll has Milite'.

<sup>1</sup> This interpolated verdict should come after Avice's replication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For earlier proceedings, see above, p. 82.

the 23rd year of King Edward before William of Washingley and J. of Wistow, Roger of Barow, William le Moyne, Thomas of Warwick, Ralph of Caster, John of Therfield and many others there assembled, and be he distrained for his relief.

• . • • • • •

# III. THE ABBOT OF RAMSEY'S MANORIAL COURTS.

### INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

Besides his court of the honour of Broughton the Abbot seems to have held a court half-yearly in each of his many manors. Several rolls relating to these courts are preserved at the Record Office. As in the case of the manors of Bec, so here, the steward when he made his tour took a roll with him and entered on it the business done first at one manor then at another, so that there was but one set of rolls for all the manors. The roll that has here been used (Augmentation Office, Portf. 84, No. 46) is one of the oldest. What is here printed consists of the business done at Hemingford, Elton, and Little Stukeley in the twelfth year of Abbot William, i.e. as it seems 1278-9, and the business done at Gidding in 1290.

All these manors lie in Huntingdonshire. Hemingford Abbots and Little Stukeley formed part of what we have called the Abbot's 'home estate'; Gidding was hard by; Elton lies in the north-west corner of the county near Fotheringhay. All belonged to Ramsey when Domesday Book was made (D. B. i. 204-204 b; Adelintune is Elton, to be distinguished from Elintune which is Ellington). Extents of three of these manors are given in the Ramsey Cartulary: - Hemingford i. 880, Stukeley i. 892, Elton i. 487. In the Hundred Rolls are extents of Stukeley ii. 599, Gidding ii. 631, Elton ii. 656, Hemingford ii. 680. The abbot besides many other franchises had, what is somewhat unusual, an express grant of the view of frank-pledge over all his land, but the king's bailiff was to be summoned to attend to see that the view was duly made. This privilege the abbot obtained from King John; see the charter in Chron. Ram. 62 and P. Q. W. 10.

It will be seen that each session of the court begins with the swearing in of a jury of presentment. In some cases ... all events the jurors seem to be persons elected pro hac vie; but at

Gidding the presenters are the chief pledges, capitales plegii jurati; similar entries have been found on other rolls relating to the Ramsey estates. At Elton the duty of serving on the jury seems to fall only on those who are personally unfree; this is very noticeable. The procedure by way of presentment is used indiscriminately for the maintenance of the peace and the enforcement of the lord's rights over his villans and his villanage. On the other hand any 'conveyancing entries,' surrenders, admittances or the like are, to say the very least, of rare occurrence; possibly this part of the manorial business was not yet thought worthy of enrolment. No evidence has appeared that these manorial courts were held more frequently than twice a year.

vj. d.

vj. d.

vj. d.

Pre' est

## [PLACITA MANERIORUM RAMESIENSIUM.]

' Hemingford'. Die S. Hugonis Episcopi anno W. Abbatis xii\*.

Nomina juratorum Reginaldus ad Maram, Nicholaus le Fermer, Nigellus Palmerius, Nicholaus de Elesworth', Johannes filius Gunnilde, Jordanus Trappe, Thomas Amable, Henricus filius Rogeri, Simon de Benelond', Adam filius Petri, Willelmus le Warde, Henricus ad Puteum.

zuj. s. iiij. d. De capitagio dant xiij. s. iiij. d.

Convictum est per vicinos jur' quod Simon filius Galfridi injuste detinuit Galfrido le Noweman unam garbam frumenti quam asportavit de terra sua apud Cattesheg. Ideo satisfaciat ei et pro transgressione in misericordia vj. d. plegius Reginaldus de Benelond'.

Henricus Trappe electus fuit ut esset unus de jur' et non venit ideo in misericordia vj. d. De Thoma Marescallo pro eodem condonatur quia postea venit.

De Henrico filio Galfridi pro custod' cur' habenda de ij. rodis terre quam Henricus filius Rogeri et Agatha vidua tenent vj. d. plegius Simon filius Galfridi. (Et juratores veniunt et dicunt quod nunquam viderunt aliquem antecessorem dicti H. illas ij. rodas terre tenere l. annis preteritis. Ideo dictus H. nichil recuperet per suum clam' et predicti R.3 et A. teneant etc.)

Simon filius Rogeri et Reginaldus filius Petri tastatores

Public Record Office, Augmentation Office Court Rolls, P. 34, N. 46; a roll of five rotulets of various dates.

Probably instead of custod' we should read consideracions.
 Probably instead of R. we should read Henricus filius Rogeri.

tasters say that Katharine Ingol has broken the assize of beer, therefore be she in mercy, 12 d.; pledge, Thomas Smith. From the wife of Thomas Amabel for the same, 18 d.; pledge, William at Stile. From Cristina Osmund for the same, 12d.; pledge, Thomas Almar. From the wife of Nicholas Trappe, 6 d.: pledge, William at Stile. From the wife of John Gunild for the same, 6 d.; pledge, John Aunzered. From Emma Cat for the same, 6 d.; pledge, John Aunzered. From the wife of John Coe for the same—she is poor; pledge, Reginald Almar. From the wife of Auger at Bank for the same and for bad beer, 12 d.; pledge, Henry Roger's son. From the wife of John Noble for breach of the assize, 18 d.; pledge, her husband. From Alice Cot, nothing for she kept [the assize] and only brewed once. From Beatrice Mutun for constantly breaking the assize, nothing for she is the man of Sir Reginald de Grey.

The jurors say that Sir W. the Vicar of S. Ives has made default, therefore for this and for two other trespasses [noted below] he is amerced 12 d.

And they say that William Simon's son is the born bondman of the lord and dwells in the other Hemingford [i.e. Hemingford Grey] and is not in a tithing. Therefore let him be taken if he comes into the Abbot's fee. Afterwards he comes and he is in a tithing.

And they say that the Vicar of S. Ives has lopped two willows between his holding and Thomas Smith's wrongfully, for the said willows grow upon the Abbot's land and the said boundary and the stream on which the willows grow belong to the Abbot. Therefore let amends be made and let the vicar be in mercy for his trespass: for his amercement see above.

And they say that John Noble is a butcher and not in a borough, but he pays 6 d. a year, for which Henry Reeve is pledge.

They say as they have said before that Simon Cadman dwells at Godmanchester, but pays two capons a year. And Henry Henry's son dwells at Stanton, but pays one i. can. j. g.

₹j. d.

Pro' est

Ricardus filius Petri manet apud Hereford set dat per annum j. cap'. Et Absalon filius Henrici manet apud Stanton' set dat per annum j. g'. (set modo est mortuus).

Et dicunt quod Willelmus filius Thome plantavit sallices juxta ripam injuste et aravit unam viam ideo etc. et pro transgressione in misericordia vj. d., plegius Thomas Almar.

Testificatum est per totam villatam quod Simon Borel qui est nativus domini et manet apud Hunt' habet in villa de Hemingf' catalla ad valenciam x. marcarum, que quidem bona tradita sunt per senescallum istis subscriptis videlicet Johanni Aunzered qui hoc bene cognovit j. r. frumenti et iij. r. ordei, Salemanno j. r. frumenti et j. r. pisarum, Reginaldo ate Mare j. bid' pretii xvj. d.

Villata de Hemingford' recognovit anno preterito quod bestie tocius villate destruxerunt omnes pisas crescentes super j. acram domini W. Vicarii de S. Yvone et nondum satisfecerunt eidem sicut preceptum fuit ad ultimum visum, ideo villata satisfaciat eidem per taxacionem jur' ij. bus' pisarum citra Natale domini sub pena dim. marce, et dicta villata pro injusta detencione in misericordia, inferius. De xij. jur' qui respondent pro tota villata qui concelaverunt ij. sallices quas Vicarius de S. Yvone amputavit et asportavit, et pro concelamento carnific' et nativorum qui sunt siij. s. iiij. d. subtracti xiij. s. iiij. d.2

Summa xxxvij. s. ij. d.

### Aylingtone. Die S. Clementis Pape anno supradicto.

Nomina juratorum, Robertus ad Crucem, Reginaldus Blakeman, Henricus filius Prepositi, Rogerus Gamel,

Pre' est

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps this amercement of the township is included in the amercement of the jurors who are the representatives of the township.

<sup>2</sup> Probably the jurors said nothing about these matters until they were reminded of them by the bailiff.

Pro cot

vj d. Pre est

21). d.

TI d.

zu d

Galfridus de Brinitone, Johannes Duning, Henricus Messor, Philippus Child, Hugo Achard, Galfridus in Angulo, Henricus Godswein, Goscelinus.

De capitagio dant xiij. s. iiij, d. De homagio Johannia \*\*.\*.\*\*\* de Aylinton' i pro codem ij. s.

Johannes Page et Johannes Franceys fuerunt plegii Henrici Fabri ad solvend' ij. s. Johanni filio Alexandri in venell' ad Nativitatem B. Marie ultimo preteritam, et nichil est solutum, ideo ambo in misericordia. Fines amborum (condonantur<sup>2</sup>). Meliores plegii Will' de Bernewell' et Regin' fil' Benedicti et solvat xij. d. dominica proxima post festum S. Katerine et residuum ad Natale Domini.

De Ricardo filio Bele qui locavit domino Roberto Capellano domum Mabilie sororis sue lic's vj. d., plegius Johannes Godsweyn, et per eundem pleg' etc.<sup>4</sup>

De Henrico Godswein quia tarde venit ad metendum bladum domini in autumpno inferius, plegius Rogerus Gamel. De Henrico Achard pro codem (condonatur). De Radulfo Sutore pro codem vj. d. De Alexandro filio Gileberti pro codem vj. d. Plegius alter alterius.

De Henrico Godswein quia noluit operari ad secundam precariam autumpni et quia impedivit dictam precariam precipiendo quod omnes irent ad domum ante horam et sine licencia ballivorum ad dampnum domini dim. marce, et quia alias male messuit suos beenes super culturam domini, vj. d., plegii Johannes Godswein, Rogerus Gainel et Galfridus de Brinton.

De Ricardo in Angulo quia tarde cariavit suos beenes vj. d. De Henrico filio prepositi pro codem vj. d. De Philippo Noppe pro codem, pauper. Plegius alter alterius.

Convinctum est per jur' quod Andreas Prepositus falso incusavit Gilebertum Gamel ita quod incusacio pervenit ad

<sup>&#</sup>x27;A military tenant of the abbey who has a sub-manor. His chief pledges come to the Abbot's view of frank-pledge and pay chesage to the Abbot, on behalf of all who are in tithing 'ne omnes veniant',

Cart. Rams : 491

\* This word is written over an erasure

<sup>1</sup> Corr. severus sue sine l'ernesa.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; The meaning of these last word is not obvious.

aures ballivorum dicendo dictum Gilebertum finxisse se infirmum et operabatur in grangia sua propria et fecit in curia sua alias operaciones, ideo in misericordia xij.d., plegius Michael Prepositus.

De Roberto ad Crucem pro averiis suis dampnum facientibus in cultura domini seminata de ordeo vj. d., plegius Rogerus Gamel.

Willelmus de Bernewell' fuit plegius Willelmi le Freman ad solvend' vj. d. Radulfo Huberto, et nichil solvit, ideo satisfaciet eidem Radulfo ad Natale, et pro injusta detencione in misercordia vj. d., plegius Michael Prepositus.

Jurati dicunt quod Alexander ad Crucem, Gilebertus filius Ricardi Prepositi, et Henricus filius Henrici Bovebroc male verberaverunt Gilebertum filium Reginaldi le Wyse. Ideo satisfacient ei de suis dampnis, et pro transgressione in misericordia. Finis Alexandri vj. d., plegius Ricardus Prepositus, finis Gileberti vj. d., plegius Ricardus pater ejus, finis Henrici vj. d., [plegius 1] Ricardus Prepositus et taxata sunt dampna ad xij. d.

Et dicunt quod Elias Carpenter plantavit arbores injuste super unam bundam.

Et dicunt quod Magge le Carter peperit extra matrimonium per Ricardum filium Thome Mal'. Finis amborum vj. d.

Et Agnes filia Philippi Saladin levavit uthesium super Thomam Morburn' qui voluit habere copulam cum eadem.

Et dicunt quod Gilebertus Child retraxit se de molendino fullericio, ideo in misericordia, pauper.

Et dicunt quod Johannes Bovebroc retinuit tolnet' lini, ideo in misericordia vj. d., plegius Henricus Newebonde.

De Johanne Bovebroc qui non habuit Thomam de Mor-

1 This word is not on the roll.

**v**j. d.

xij. d.

vj. d.

Pre' est

xij. d.

vj. d.

Prc' est

vj. d.

**v**j d.

burne quem replegiavit ad standum recto pro filia Philippi Saldin quam voluit rapuisse vj. d., plegius Henricus Newebonde, et Thomas capiatur si venerit.

Et Agnes Cuttyle peperit extra matrimonium, pauper.

Et dicunt quod Galfridus de Brinton' ret' arruram domino de dim. acra terre, ideo vj. d., plegius Henricus filius Prepositi.

Et dicunt quod Reginaldus Boneyt sub Westereston' appropriavit sibi iij. sulcos ad unam rodam suam de omnibus sell' abuttantibus super illam rodam, et alibi apud Arnewassebroc iij. sulcos ad unam foreram suam de omnibus sell' abuttantibus super illam foreram, ideo emendetur, et pro transgressione in misericordia xij. d., plegii Willelmus Bernewell' et Johannes Page.

<sup>1</sup> Et dicunt quod una vacca venit wayf et est in curia Abbatis.

Adhuc dicunt quod homines de Waterneweiton' reverserunt cursum aque apud Follewellemor et apud . . . mor unde loquendum est.

Adhue dicunt quod homines de castro de Fodring fecerunt purpresturam apud Jarewelleton super Johannem Page et super Abbatem apud Wynewobesholm, unde loquendum est.

Et dicunt quod Fabianus et Radulfus f' Imberti sunt carnifices set quilibet eorum dat ij. gall'.

Adhuc dicunt quod homines de Moreburne et de Haddon' reverserunt <sup>2</sup> extra curs' apud Byllingbroc', ideo emendetur et assignatus est dies ad emendend' <sup>2</sup> coram hundredo die Concepcionis B. Marie.

xij. d. Pre' est

vj. d.

vj. d.

Pre' est

log'

leg'

. .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> m. 1 d. <sup>2</sup> Supply aquam or some similar word. <sup>3</sup> Sic.

Adhuc dicunt quod Jordanus Mustard nativus domini manet apud Alewalton' ubi duxit uxorem de homagio . . .¹ de Burgo et habet ibidem dim. virg. terre de villenagio dicti Abbatis. Ideo preceptum Reginaldo Page et ejus decenne quod habeat ipsum etc.

Adhuc dicunt quod Johannes filius Ricardi Dunning est tannator et manet apud Heyham, set dat per annum pro recognicione ij. cap', et quia potens est et habet multa bona, preceptum fuit Hugoni Achard et ejus decenne ad ultimum visum ad habendum ipsum ad istam curiam, et non habuit, ideo ipse et decenna sua in misericordia. Condonatur.

Presentatum est per predictos jur' quod Reginaldus filius Benedicti injuste dedicit esse unus de xij. jur' allegando libertatem, desicut Alicia soror ejus fecit finem cum Stephano de Aylinton' tunc firmario pro se maritanda, et Cristiana et Athelina sorores ejus similiter fecerunt finem cum Willelmo de Wald' tunc firmario. Ideo dictus Reginaldus pro contemptu in misericordia, vj. d.

Dicunt eciam quod Willelmus de Bernewell injuste allegat libertatem propter quam contradicit esse unus de jur' desicut debeat dare merchett' si habeat filiam quam maritare velit ad voluntatem domini, et ita fecit Johannes le Freman, antecessor Elye le Freman's dedit mergett' pro quadam filia sua quam maritavit appud Nassinton' cuidam Rogero Crudde, ideo vj. d.

Dicunt eciam quod Johannes Page detinet arruram domini inter Pascha et Pentecosten per vij. dies Veneris scilicet quolibet die dim. acram. Condonatur misericordia quia postea solvit arruram.

Persons named Freeman and paying merchet are worthy of observation; but we find similar cases on other manors of the abbey; Cart. Rams. i. 298, 331.

pre' est

pre' est

CAD'

vj. d. log'

vj. d. loqʻ

The word is washed out; but Alwalton was a manor of the Abbot of Peterborough situate in the north of Huntingdonshire, close to Elton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That these persons were ancestors of William does not appear.

ij. s.

xii. d.

pre' est

Michael Prepositus queritur de Richero filio Goscelini, Ricardo Preposito et uxore ejus eo quod ubi fuit in cimiterio de Aylenton' dominica proxima ante festum Omnium Sanctorum anno isto venerunt predicti Richerus, Ricardus et uxor ejusdem Ricardi et insultaverunt dictum Michaelem verbis turpissimis coram tota parochia inponentes quod idem Michael fenum suum collegit per operaciones domini Abbatis, et quod per precarias factas de custumariis Abbatis messuit bladum suum in autumpno et arravit terram suam in Eueresholmfeld' de carucis ville precatis, et quod relax' custumariis operaciones et averagia eorum tali condicione ut ipsi custumarii traderent et locarent eidem preposito levi precio terras eorum et quod cepisse debuit munera de divitibus ne essent censuarii et pauperes ad censum posuisse debuit.1 Et predicti Ricardus et Richerus presentes defendunt etc. et petunt quod inquiratur per xij. jur.' Qui veniunt et dicunt quod dictus Michael in nullo articulo est culpabilis ideo dictus Ricardus et Richerus satisfaciant eidem Michaeli, et pro transgressione in misericordia, finis Ricardi ij. s. plegius Willelmus filius Jacobi, finis Richeri xij. d. Goscelinus. Et taxata sunt dampna ad recip' de Ricardo Preposito x. s. quos idem Michael relax' usque ad ij. s.

Summa xxxviij. s. x. d.

'Probably on this as on many manors the custom allowed the lord to commute the labour services of the villan tenants into money payments, 'potuit ponere cos ad censum.' The reeve was charged with having commuted the services of the poorer tenants into money rents, while he

took bribes from the richer tenants for allowing them to pay not in money, but in labour. The commutation rent seems to have been eight shillings for the virgate.

The ale-tasters present their report and numerous amercements are inflicted. Little Stukeley. On Thursday next after the feast of the Circumcision in the said year [A.D. 1279].

Names of the jurors: William Aristotle, Simon Benereht, Alexander Smith, Thomas Wale, Roger Whiting, Bateman Ordwy, Thomas Hulot, Ralph of Stowe, Robert Roise's son, Alexander Seberin.

For chevage they give 4 s. From the L'Enveyse homage for the same, 12 d.

From Stephen Humphrey, 6 d. for squabbling with Richard Miller and raising the hue against him in the mill at Stukeley; pledge, Richard Miller.

The jurors say that Simon Chacede has made default, 12 d., likewise Isabella of Weston, 12 d.

They say that the ploughman of Sir Ralph Rastel beat and ill-treated John Scot the man of Brother W. Margaret whereupon the hue was raised. And one Thomas, the servant of the said Sir Ralph Rastel, by way of objection said that the said ploughman dwells at Alconbury and that the said John Scot beat and ill-treated the said ploughman and wrongfully rescued from him sheep which had pastured on the land of his [the ploughman's] lord [i.e. Sir Ralph]; and [the said Thomas, the servant,] put himself upon the inquest of the said jurors. The jurors say that J. Scot did not beat [the ploughman] but did make rescue as aforesaid and rightfully for this happened on the fee not of Sir Ralph but of another person. Therefore the said Thomas is in mercy, 12 d.; pledges, Lewin . . . and Hugh Poer, and let him make satisfaction to the said John Scot and to Brother W. for the trespass.

They say that William Salathiel received a stranger, Robert of Coldmorton by name, who is an iron-smith; therefore he is in mercy, 6 d.; pledge, Hugh Thomas's son. And the said Robert found pledges, namely William

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Aristotle, son of William, appears in Cart. Rams. i. 392.

Sir Ralph is a freehold tenant of the Abbey, R. H. i. 599.
 i.e. the distress was made.

Ilij. s.

vj. viij. d.

¥1. d.

Tij. d.

eundo et redeundo in villa de Stiuecl' sine ullo detrimento alicujus videlicet Willelmum Salatael et Radulfum de Stouwe.

De xij. jurat' quia dixerunt quod omnes braciatrices fregerunt assisam cervisie et una tenuit, et pro aliis concelamentis iiij s.

Summa xv s. vj d.1

<sup>2</sup> Visus apud Gyddingg' die Martis proxima ante feetum S. Andree Apostoli anno regni Regis Edwardi xix et anno domini J. Abbatis quinto coram W. de Wassingl'.

Nomina juratorum Willelmus filius Willelmi a Bouetun, Johannes le Neweman, Martinus filius Walteri, Johannes . . . <sup>3</sup> Henricus Carpentarius, Robertus Fraunkeleyn lib'. <sup>4</sup> De capitagio dant vj s. viij d.

Capitales plegii jur' dicunt quod Ricardus Tixtor uxoratus convictus fuit super adulterium ad capitulum cum quadam muliere . . . ubi perdidit catalla domini, ideo in misericordia. Condonatur. Plegius Martinus filius Walteri.<sup>6</sup>

Et dicunt quod Johannes filius Nicholai de Gydding' receptavit quemdam extraneum Willelmum Fykeys extra decenna existentem, ideo in misericordia vj. d., plegius Simon Pekker'.

Et dicunt quod Alanus Scot superoneravit pasturam cum bestiis suis, et non habet tenementum per quod communam debet habere, ideo in misericordia vj. d., plegius Willelmus Bycke. De Simone Pecker' pro eodem vj. d., plegius Alanus Scot. De Willelmo Messore pro eodem, pauper, plegius Willelmus Bycke.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; End of m. 1 d. The other membranes are of later date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> m. 4.

John's surname is illegible.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Seemingly, Robert Franklain is the only freeman upon the jury; he

appears as Robert the Freeman in R. H., i. 632.

<sup>\*</sup> Ale-tasters' report and consequent amercements.

<sup>\*</sup> Compare the entry about John Monk on p. 98.

And they say that Sarah Monk holds a cottage of the Abbot and took to it a husband from the homage of Reginald Grey, wherefore the said cottage was seized into the hand of the lord by the reeve of Gidding who hung a lock on the door of the said cottage; and the said Sarah came and brake the lock with a stone and committed hamsoken. Therefore she is in mercy; pledge, John Monk. And for that she married without the lord's leave, let her be distrained to make fine for her gersum. And none the less let the said messuage be seized into the lord's hands and the issues thereof be answered for, and the said Sarah be in mercy, 6 d.

And they say that Alice wife of John Bert in evil manner took a sheet that was hanging on the hedge of William Roger's son and thereof made herself a shirt. Therefore she is in mercy, 6 d.; pledges, William above Town and John Hawise's son.

Due from the whole township for not keeping watch, 5s. [And they say that John Monk still continues his luxury with Sarah Hewen wife of Simon Hewen and is constantly attending divers chapter courts where frequently he loses the lord's goods by reason of his adultery with Sarah, as has often been presented before now, nor will he be chastened. Therefore be he in the stocks. And afterwards he made fine with one mark on the security of John Lach, John Beneathton, Walter King, Simon Bayllon, Walter Franklain, and John of Cottenham; and all the said pledges undertake that if the said John at any time hereafter be again convicted of adultery with the said Sarah, they will bring him back and restore him to the stocks, there to remain until they have some other command from the lord or his steward.]

<sup>1</sup> He holds of the Abbot the manor of Hemingford Grey.

# IV. THE ABBOT OF RAMSEY'S COURT AT KING'S RIPTON.

#### INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

HERE follow extracts from a set of rolls of the manor of Ripton Regis in Huntingdonshire (Augmentation Office, P. 28, No. 94), which rolls begin in 1288 and run on, though with many gaps, into the reign of Edward II. My attention to their peculiar importance was drawn by Dr. Vinogradoff, who will make use of them in his forthcoming work on English Land Tenures.

The manor of King's Ripton lay in the middle of what we have called the Abbot's 'home estate,' surrounded by his manors of Abbot's Ripton, Broughton, Hurst, Houghton and Stukeley. Anyone perusing the extents in the Ramsey Cartulary will see at once that King's Ripton is a manor of an unusual kind (i. 897). It is ancient demesne of our lord the King. True that this does not appear on the face of Domesday Book. The King is not there credited with any land at Ripton. He has however a manor of 15 hides at Hartford (D. B. i. 208 b) and in the Hundred Rolls we find (ii. 591) that 'Herford cum Riptons' was formerly royal demesne. I think that there could be little doubt that in 1086 the royal estate at what came to be called King's Ripton was reckoned part of the royal estate at Hartford. At any rate King's Ripton was always treated as ancient demesne. Henry I. granted 'manerium meum de Riptona' to the Abbot at an annual rent of 81. (Chron. Rams. 288); the charter was confirmed by succeeding kings; thus the Abbot rounded off his home estate. But the men of King's Ripton were troublesome tenants, very unlike the villans on the other manors. I do not think that there was a true freeholder among them (Cart. Rams. i. 398, unless it was Henry the Freeman who used to hold on the same terms as the others but only paid rent when the extent was made), but they were royal sokemen proteeted by the Monstraverunt and Parvum Breve de Recto, and the Abbot could not increase their services.

The best picture of the situation as it was at the beginning of Edward I.'s reign is given by two records of *Monstraverunt* in the Court of King's Bench, of which, though rather long, a translation shall be given.

#### Coram Rege, Trin. 3 Edw. I. Roll No. 17. m. 14 d.

' Huntingdon.—The Abbot of Ramsey was attached to answer Reginald le Stalkere, Hugh John's son, John le Stalkere and Simon le Eyr, men of the manor of Kyngesrypitton' which was of the ancient demesne of the crown of our lord the King, of a plea wherefore he distraineth them to do other customs and other services than they were accustomed to do in the times when the said manor was in the hands of the predecessors of our lord the King, kings of England, and whereof the said men complain that whereas in the time of King Cnout when the said manor was in the hands of the said King their ancestors held their tenements by the services hereunder written, to wit, by rendering for every virgate of land 5 s. by the year and by giving after the death of every ancestor for a relief 2 s. 6 d., and for a greater tenement more and for a lesser tenement less, and by giving tallage whensoever the King tallaged his other manors, and all their ancestors held their tenements by the said services until the conquest of England and from the conquest until the time of King Henry grandfather [sic] of King John grandfather of our lord the now King until the time of a certain Abbot of Ramsey, Robert Dogge 1 by name, who in the time of the said King Henry distrained their ancestors to give a relief at his will and likewise tallage year by year and likewise merchet for marrying their daughters and to do'ploughings and reapings in the autumn and other undue customs, and from the time of the said King all the Abbots succeeding the said Abbot until now distrain them and all their ancestors to do the said undue services and undue customs, whereby they say that they are deteriorated and have damage to the value of £100, and thereof they produce suit.

'And the Abbot by his attorney cometh and defendeth tort and force when etc., and saith that he ought not to answer them to this writ because in their count they make no mention of having been in the estate in which they were in the time of King

Robert Trianel was abbot from 1180 to 1200; he had been Prior of Northampton. Robert of Reading was abbot from 1202 to 1214. But a certain Dogga or Doggus, a ser-

jeant of Henry II., held land at Ripton early in Henry's reign, and was in litigation against the Abbot; Chron. Rams. 291-2. The memory of the homines may be faulty. Knout (which estate they claim to have) in the time of any king of whom memory may be had (alicujus regis de quo memoria haberi possit) nor of whose time a writ of right runneth or a verification by the country may be made, and because the said Reginald and the others confess that the said Abbot and his predecessors have been in seisin of perceiving from them and their ancestors the services which they now refuse to perform from time whereof the writ of right runneth not.

'And Reginald and the others do well confess that the said Abbot and his predecessors have been in seisin of perceiving from them and their ancestors the said undue services from the time of the said King Henry of whom they make mention in their count, but forasmuch as this writ which is granted in favour of the demesnes of our lord the King hath no prescription of time they crave judgment whether by reason of any lapse of time they can be excluded from their action.

'Afterwards the said Abbot cometh and answereth further and saith that they ought and were wont at the time aforesaid to hold their tenements not by the said services only but also by many other services, to wit, by such services as appear in a plea on the morrow of All Souls between the said Abbot and other men of Ripton in a similar action and of this he puts himself upon the country.

' And the men likewise.

'Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he do cause to come in the Octaves of S. Hilary wheresoever etc. twelve etc. by whom etc. to recognise in such form as is contained as aforesaid in the roll of Michaelmas term on the morrow of All Souls.'

#### Coram Rege, Mich. 3-4 Edw. I. Roll No 18. m. 31 d.

'Huntingdon.—The Abbot of Ramsey was attached to answer Ralph of Rypton, Hugh Bertelot, Nicholas of Boclande, John in the Hurn', Hugh Russell, Ivo Walter's son, William of Ramsey, Brythwold Henry's son, Nicholas Hugh's son, Stephen Robert's son, Thomas Humphrey's son, Nicholas John's son and Thomas Simon's son men of the Abbot of Ramsey of the manor of Kingesriptone, which was in the ancient demesne of the King's crown, of a plea wherefore, whereas the said men ought to hold their lands and tenements in the said manor by services certain' and they and all their ancestors in the times in which the said manor was in the hands of the King's predecessors, kings of England, were wont to hold the said tenements peaceably by the said services, which

1 certain in the sense of fixed.

services they themselves are now ready to do to the said Abbot as they and their ancestors have been wont to do for the said tenements, the said Abbot doth so heavily and beyond measure (graviter et enormiter) distrain the said tenants to do to him other customs and other services for the said tenements that by reason thereof they are compelled to relinquish their lands and tenements, and moreover doth cause the said men and their beasts to be taken and arrested in markets and other places outside the franchise of the said manor, and other enormous things to them doth to the damage of the said men £100 and against the peace etc., and whereof the said men by their attorney complain that whereas their ancestors in the time of King Henry grandfather of our lord the now King were wont to hold their land by services certain, to wit, for every virgate of land 5 s. by the year, and for a relief after the death of their ancestors for every virgate of land 2 s. 6 d. and for a greater tenement more and for a less less, and by giving tallage whenever our lord the King doth tallage his other manors by way of certain tallage by the taxation of their peers, for all services, the said Abbot doth distrain them to do other customs and divers other services in other manner than they ought or have been used to do, whereby they say that they are deteriorated and have damage to the value of £100.

'And the said Abbot cometh and defendeth tort and force when etc. and saith that in the time of the said King Henry great-grandfather of our lord the now King his predecessors Abbots of Ramsey were in seisin of the manor of Rippetone, and and that the ancestors of the said men at that time did to his said predecessors not only the said services but those and many others, to wit, for every virgate of land 25 d. by the year, and for a relief after the death of their ancestors 5 s. for every virgate of land, and for the merchet of their daughters for every daughter married 5 s. and for less land less service as the case might be. and for the leirwite of their daughters for every daughter convicted thereof 2 s. or less if poverty demanded and one work (operacio) to be done by every of them in every week from 29 Septr to 1 Augt at any kind of work that might be commanded them by summons; and for a ploughing to be done every Friday from 29 Septr to 1 Augt with so many heads as they have in their plough and every plough shall plough one selion as it lies (arrabit unum celionem sicut jacet), and this unless a feastday interfere and in that case taking the whole year one feast shall be reckoned to the lord and the next to the said

men, saving fifteen days at the feast of Christmas and eight days at Easter and eight at Pentecost in which they shall not plough nor do any other manner of work, but whatever be the kind of work that they ought to do, saving in the wood, they ought to work each day from sunrise to sunset; and for binding bundles (et pro facina facienda) in the meadow of Haycroft so that when they shall mow that meadow the whole township shall have 8 d. from the Abbot's purse for a drinking bout which is called scotale (ad potacionem ut dicitur scothall'), and in case any discord or trespass shall there arise among them amends shall be made among themselves and the Abbot shall receive the amercement; and on one day when they reap the aforesaid meadow every of them shall have one bundle of grass (fastaculum herbe) bound with a tie of grass as large as he can raise on the handle of his soythe (super hastam falcis), so that should the handle be broken by the weight of the grass he shall lose the grass but shall not be punished (occasionabitur) for this, and also the said men ought to quash (cassare) collect and carry the hay into the Abbot's court; and for three works (operaciones) from each of them in every week from 1 Augt until the corn be placed in the barn, but they shall do no ploughing while harvest lasts; and to the autumn boon-works (precaria) shall come every of them who can carry a scythe as well others as land-tenants, save their wives, and each of them shall have a loaf, meat and beer, but on one day called the loveboon (lovebone) each of them at his own cost shall find one man to reap; also from the time when the corn shall be fully collected until Michaelmas they shall do works in the same way as after Michaelmas; and whenever any of them shall work within the vill he shall go to his dinner at his own house and afterward shall return to his work; also they ought to do suit to the court of the said Abbot in the said manor from three weeks to three weeks on being summoned three days in advance, at which courts if any of them be amerced he shall give an amercement according to the quantity of the misdeed; and also they ought to be tallaged when our lord the King tallageth his boroughs and manors and this by the oath of their peers; and also they shall give in common for meadow 4 s. 84 d. whereof 2 d. are to be levied from John Stalkere and the residue is to be levied from all in the vill who have meadow; also they shall do one ploughing boon-work at the meat of the said Abbot; also every acre of the land which is called Herilonde shall give one farthing by the year when it is mown; also for every pig of theirs which is a year old or more they shall give one penny and

for a pig half a year old a halfpenny albeit they be fed with grain; also from every cotman of the four cotmen 4 d. by the year and every week one work; also no sons of the said men ought to be ordained without the licence of the said Abbot nor may any of the said men leave the said manor without the licence of the said Abbot: and that the ancestors of the said men in the time of the said King and before and after that time have used to do these services to the predecessors of the said Abbot, and not merely the customs and services which the said men set forth, he puts himself upon the country.

- 'And the said men likewise.
- 'Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he do cause to come in the Octaves of S. Hilary wheresoever etc. twelve etc. by whom etc. and who neither etc. to recognise in the form aforesaid, because both etc.
- 'Afterwards in three weeks from Easter-day come the jurors who say upon their oath that the said men of Kiggesryptone and all their ancestors as men of the said manor in the time of King Henry great-grandfather of our lord the now King and after and before that time have always used to do all the said services which the said Abbot hath set forth, and that all his predecessors Abbots of Ramsey from the time aforesaid were in seisin of the said services and customs of the said men and their ancestors, saving however one particular, namely that if the said men quarrel at the scotale (in potatione discordaverint) or any trespass be there done among them they may well make concord of the matter between themselves without the Abbot receiving thence any amercement.

'And therefore it is considered that the said men and those who shall issue from the said men do the said services and customs to the said Abbot and his successors Abbots of Ramsey henceforth for ever, and that the said men be in mercy.'

The result of this remarkable lawsuit was therefore in the Abbot's favour; and indeed it may seem strange to us that these men of King's Ripton should have dreamt of freeing themselves from services to which on their own showing they had been subject for more than a century and from time beyond legal memory. But they get the advantage of the doctrine that time does not run against the King. Another curious point is that the services which the Abbot successfully claims are in veral respects distinctly more onerous than those recorded in

¹ This peculiarity is noted in Cart. Rams. i. 399.

an extent which seems to be a little older than this plea (Cart. Rams. i. 397). In the extent there is no mention of merchet (though this is carefully noted in the extents of other manors) and no mention of any restraint on the liberty of leaving the manor. Near the end of Edward I.'s reign these men got into another long litigation with the Abbot about tallage (Madox. Exchequer, i. 757).

Protected collectively by the writ of Monstraverunt against any increase of services the sokemen were protected individually in the enjoyment of their tenements by the Little Writ of Right. I am able to give among the following extracts several actions begun by such writs, among others an action against the Abbot himself which we can trace through all its stages; the lord, like any other litigant, can be summoned and distrained to come into his court and be impleaded there. Our extracts bring out the point that the suitors of this court were the judges, or rather 'judgment-makers' in it, though the lord's steward presided. Still even on this privileged soil we can see how the example of the King's court was constantly tending to depress the suitors, to turn them from judges into jurors, to convert a tribunal of the old German type with its Richter and Urtheilfinder into a tribunal of the new English type with its judge and jury.

The court sat as a rule every three weeks. Apparently on the other manors the Abbot held a court but twice a year when the view of frank-pledge was made. The view of frank-pledge was made at King's Ripton also; but the records relating to this were entered along with the similar records relating to the other manors, while the three-weekly court of ancient demesne had rolls of its own. It is hoped that enough has been copied to show their nature. They are full of 'surrenders,' differing in this respect from most of the contemporary rolls. A brisk traffic was done in small parcels of land. How this traffic in roods was compatible with the system of virgate holding that we see in the extent of the manor is not very clear. The fine for the admission of a new tenant was fixed at a penny per rood. But this applied only when the surrenderee was 'of the blood of King's Ripton'; a stranger it would seem could gain no right to admittance without licence, and it is very noticeable that the requisite licence is described, not as the licence of the lord, but the licence of the court. The privileged nature of the tenure had engendered a privileged race, very tenacious of its land and of its customs. A person who is of the blood, of the 'natic of King's Ripton is in an utterly different position from t'

the 'extraneus,' the outsider. This is shown by many entries. I will here add the English of one (m. 23) which comes from Edward II.'s time and so lies a little outside our period. It concerns the law of inheritance.

'The whole township says that Thomas Arnold who purchased a messuage and 14 acres of land in the vill of King's Ripton has died seised of the whole of the said tenement; and they say that one Ralph Arnold his brother is his nearest heir by blood; but they say that by the custom of the manor Nicholas son of John in the Nook is the nearest heir of the said Thomas to hold that tenement according to the custom of the manor, for that the said John in the Nook father of the said Nicholas who was of the blood of the vill (de consanguinitate ville) married Margaret sister of the said Thomas which said Margaret was born at "Byry" near Ramsey, upon whom he begot [the said Nicholas] who now demands the land."

This case is reserved for the Abbot's own hearing. It illustrates the desire of the sokemen themselves to maintain an exclusion of outsiders. Only persons of the privileged blood may hold the privileged tenements, even though the common rules of inheritance are thus set aside.

The numerous surrenders on these rolls bring out the point that the idea of one man being seised of land to the use (ad opus) of another was a familiar idea enough in the manorial sphere long centuries before the Court of Chancery began to coerce the 'feoffee to uses' with a writ of subpæna. Land is habitually surrendered into the lord's hand 'to the use' (ad opus) of a new tenant.<sup>2</sup> There can, it is believed, be no doubt that our word use when used in such a context is legitimately descended, not from the Latin usus, but from the Latin opus, which becomes in French oes, ues; but the confusion of the two words began at an early time. (See Law Quarterly Review, iii. 115.)

- 1 That is at Bury, which adjoins Ramsey but is some five miles from Ripton. Nicholas's mother, through whom he was connected with the dead man, was not of the Ripton blood; but his father was, and so he is to be preferred to his maternal uncle.
- <sup>2</sup> I do not mean to imply that when a customary tenant surrendered

land into the hands of the lord to the use of a purchaser there was any legal process for enforcing this 'use,' though if the manor were on the ancient demesne it might be rash to say that the little writ of right could not be employed for the purpose. This explanation is called for by a kind criticism coming from America.

## [CURIA ABBATIS RAMESIENSIS. APUD RIPTONAM REGIS.]

Curia de Ripton' Regis die Veneris ante festum S. Gregorii Pape anno domini J. Abbatis secundo coram W. de Bereford et W. de Wassingle.

Beatrix que fuit uxor Willelmi de Alkemunebyr' tulit breve rotulo attachiatum 2 de racionabili dote sua habenda secundum usum et consuetudinem manerii de Ripton' Regis versus Thomam le Clerk de Wystowe et alios in eodem brevi contentos. Et ipse Thomas et omnes alii veniunt et petunt sibi concedi leges et consuctudines hactenus usitatas in eadem curia, scilicet quod habeant sicut semper preteritis temporibus habuerunt ut dicunt tres summoniciones tres districiones et tria essonia antequam appareant et post apparantiam si fecerint defaltam quod capietur terra in manum domini, et nisi terra illa sic capta repleviata fuerit infra xv. dies, quod terra eadem omnino fuisset amissa, quod quidem per senescallum concessum fuit eisdem et prefixa est eis prima curia die Veneris proxima ante Annunciacionem B. Marie.

Hugo Graeling reddit in manum domini ad opus Johannis Pikard iij. acras jacentes super Schorthepeye et unam rodam super Haldeshelle, xiij. d.

Johannes Otes solvit sursum unam rodam terre jacentem apud Brokes ad opus Roberti Blurt de Brocton', j. d.

Hugo Graeleng reddit sursum unam rodam et unum bot

\* The writ has perished, but the

xllj. d.

j d.

holes by means of which it was attached to the roll are visible. Augmentation Office Court Rolls, Portf. 23, No. 94, m. 3.

half an acre at Saxpes to the use of Stephen Robat; [fine,] 2 d.

The said Hugh surrenders a half acre of land lying upon Threherdole to the use of Bartholomew Brit's son; [fine,] 2 d.

The same Hugh surrenders 5 roods of land lying upon Saxpes to the use of Hugh in the Nook; [fine,] 5 d.

Court of King's Ripton on the Friday next before Palm Sunday in the second year of Abbot John [A.D. 1288].

The said Beatrix [widow of William of Alconbury] demands against Andrew Cuty a moiety of two roods of meadow and against John Dene a moiety of one acre of land and of three roods of land and against Richard Bailof a moiety of one rood of land. The defendants come and crave leave to make concord and they make concord by leave [of the court] on the terms that Beatrix do recover her seisin of the said lands and that Andrew, John and Richard be in mercy for the unjust detention. Each of them is pledge for the other, and the bailiff is commanded to give her full seisin. Andrew's fine, 12 d.; John's, 12 d.; Richard's, 6 d.

Nicholas Arnold surrenders one rood of land lying upon Asselinel between the land of Roger William's son and the land of Hugh Chapman to the use of John Pickard.

Nicholas Hall surrenders three roods of land at Hapselhall to the use of John Pickard.

The whole township says that Walter Dene makes default, and therefore it is ordered that he be distrained.

<sup>1</sup> But John's name is struck out.

Curia de Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima ante festum Tyburty et Waleraini anno domini J. Abbatis ii.

Hugo Greleng queritur de Johanne Dike de eo quod

idem Johannes ipsum defamavit ipsum vocando furem et alia enormia ei intulit die Veneris proxima post festum S. Scolastice Virginis ultimo preterito contra pacem et ad dampnum ipsius Hugonis dim. m.

Et Johannes venit et defendit etc. et dicit quod ipsum non defamavit nec alia enormia ei intulit ad dampnum etc. et hoc vult quod inquiratur secundum consuetudinem manerii. Et Hugo similiter.

Jur' dicunt quod Johannes defamavit dictum Hugonem sicut ei imposuit ad dampnum etc. Ideo consideratum est quod dictus Johannes pro transgressione in misericordia et dictus Hugo recuperet dampna etc. Relaxantur ad vj. d., vj. d. plegii Nicholaus Neweman et Yvo filius Walteri.

• • • • • •

Beatrix que fuit uxor Willelmi de Alcmunbiri optulit se versus Thomam le Clerc de Wistowe, Johannem Pikard, Willelmum de Iuyngeho, Henricum de Brocton', Willelmum filium Willelmi, Rogerum filium Willelmi et Henricum filium Simonis Provost de placito terre, et summoniti sunt, et summonicio testificata est, et non veniunt, ideo consideratum est quod tercio summoneantur secundum consuetudinem manerii.

. . . . . . .

Curia de Ripton' Regis die Invencionis S. Crucis anno domini J. Abbatis secundo.

Ivo Clericus venit in curiam et recognovit se esse unus de plegiis Beatricis relicte Willelmi de Alcmundbyr' ad

¹ Three essoins. ² Sic.

Preceptum est

vj. d.

solvend' Willelmo Med' filio Johannis de Rauele octodecim denarios pro quodam brevi quod idem Willelmus impetravit ad opus prefate Beatricis de placito terre versus xin. homines si prefata Beatrix eidem Willelmo non satisfecerit. Ideo satisfaciat ei citra festum S. Trinitatis, et pro injusta detencione est in misericordia. Plegius utriusque, Johannes filius Simonis.

Willelmus filius Johannis de Rauel' querens optulit se versus Henricum filium Simonis plegium Beatricis que fuit uxor Willelmi de Alemundebyr' qui quidem Henricus nondum attachiatur, ideo preceptum quod attachietur.

Curia de Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima post festum S. Dunstani anno domini J. Abbatis secundo.

· · · · · · · ·

Beatrix que fuit uxor Willelmi de Alkmundbyr' optulit se versus Johannem le Stalkere de placito quod reddat ei medietatem unius acre terre cum pertinenciis in Kinge Ripton' qui quidem Johannes vocavit inde ad warantum Johannem Pycard in curia tenta die Veneris ante dominicam proximam Palmarum anno J. Abbatis secundo. Et idem Johannes Pycard fuit presens in curia et posuit se super rotulos utrum warantizare debeat necne, et datus fuit dies partibus ad vidend' rot' ad proximam curiam, ad quam curiam scil. die Lune proxima ante festum SS. Tiburcii et Valeriani idem Johannes Pycard fecit se essoniari versus Johannem le Stalkere de placito etc. et Johannes le Stalkere optulit se et datus fuit eis dies ad proximam curiam scil. die Lune in festo Invencionis S. Crucis, ad quam curiam Johannes Pycard fecit defaltam et Johannes

A fragmentary entry shows that Beatrix is proceeding with her action for dower. The time has now come for a first distress against some of the tenants

<sup>\*</sup> m 1. \* An essoin.

le Stalkere optulit se et peciit judicium etc. post apparenciam. Et datus fuit dies etc. scil. die Lune proxima post festum S. Dunstani. Ad quam curiam idem Johannes iterum facit defaltam, et Johannes le Stalkere optulit se et petit judicium etc. Et super hoc tota curia petit respectum de judicio reddendo usque ad proximam curiam.

'[Curia] apud Ripton' Regis die Martis proxima post festum S. Jacobi Apostoli anno J. Abbatis vii\*.

De Johanne le Stalkere quia non venit ad arruram domini vj. d. plegius Hugo Palmerus.

De Hugone in Angulo pro eodem vj. d. plegius Nicholaus le Neweman.

De Radulfo le Sweyn pro eodem vj. d. plegius Johannes le Stalkere.

Johannes filius Willelmi attachiatur per plegium Johannis Dyke et Nicholai in Angulo quia non venit ad arruram domini. Qui quidem Johannes venit in curiam et dicit se nullam bestiam propriam habere unde possit arrare nisi ex mutuo unde dicit et allegat quod quamdiu bestias mutuaverit ad arrandum non debet domino respondere de aliqua arrura et inde ponit se in registro Ramesiensi. Et ideo querendum est registrum citra proximam curiam.

Convictum est per subscriptos jur' videlicet per Hugonem in Angulo, Warinum Gilebert, Simonem le Eyr, Willelmum le Neweman, Johannem filium Simonis, Hugonem Blosme, Johannem le Stalkere, Thomam filium Simonis, Nicholaum Carpun, Johannem Palmerum, Rogerum de Rammes' et Nicholaum in Angulo quod Johannes de Grauel' non insultavit Cristianam Arnold nec eam

' m. 4. ' A few formal entries relating to actions of trespass.

[vj. d.]

[▼j. d.]

(vj. d.)

memoran-

verberavit nec cistam suam fregit nec ipsam extra domum suam ejecit sicut ei imposuit. Ideo eadem Cristiana pro falso clamore in misericordia vj. d. plegius prepositus.

. . . . . . .

Presentatum est quod Hugo Graeleng solvit sursum extra curiam ad opus Thome Aspelon de Broucton' liberi unam portionem cujusdam mesuagii continentem in longitudine sex perticatas et decem pedes et in latitudine unam acram et iiij. pedes. Ideo preceptum est quod capiatur in manum domini.

Preceptum ert

ij. d.

j. d.

[vj.] d.

Nicholaus de Aula in plena curia reddit sursum in manum domini ad opus Henrici filii Simonis dim. acram terre jacentem in Westcroft inter terram Johannis filii Simonis ex una parte et terram Ricardi de Bernewell' ex altera parte. Et idem Henricus quia traxit originem in Ripton' Regis dat pro eadem ij. d.

. . . . . . . .

Custodes autumpni Johannes le Stalkere, Simon le Eyr, Thomas filius Simonis, Johannes Palmerus, Nicholaus Arnold, et Nicholaus Carpen'.

Nicholaus de Aula reddit sursum ad opus Thome filii Simonis Prepositi unam rodam terre super Madfurlong inter terram Thome Prepositi et terram Thome Aspelon, qui quidem Thomas natebatur in Ripton' Regis dat pro eadem j. d.

. . . . . . . .

Curia de Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima ante festum S. Johannis Baptiste anno regni [Regis Edwardi] xxii\* et J. Abbatis viii\*.

Nicholaus Arnold' reddit in manum domini j. rodam terre jacentem apud le . . . [ad opus] Thome filii Simonis juxta terram ejusdem Thome.

> Preceptum est bedello quod summoneri faciat Abbatem Ramesiensem quod sit ad proximam curiam ad respon-

> <sup>1</sup> Sic.
> <sup>2</sup> The next court of which there is a record was held in March 1294.
>
> <sup>2</sup> m. 4 d.
> <sup>4</sup> Two surrenders similar to the last, each of a rood.

dendum Johanne filie Willelmi de Alcmundebyri de placito terre, et dicta Johanna habet diem in tres septimanas.

1 . . . . . . . .

<sup>2</sup> Johannes le Stalkere queritur de Henrico de Swyndon' de eo quod ipse in pace . . . die Martis proxima post Pascha hoc anno ubi dictus Johannes cepit unum bovem . . . in prato suo quod vocatur le Pyttil et eum fugavit in parcum domini in eadem . . . dictus Henricus et abduxit bovem sine licencia et preterea verberavit ipaum eodem die . . . eadem villa ad dampnum suum xx. s. et inde producit sectam.

Et predictus Henricus venit et non defendit verba curie prout deceret et . . . inde judicium. Ideo consideratum quod dictus Johannes recuperet dampna . . . ad vj. d. et quod habeat returnum bovis et dictus Henricus pro transgressione in misericordia per plegium . . . et Stephani Robat.

Bartholomeus Sweyn queritur de Thoma Aspelon et Cristina uxore ejus de eo quod ipsi [in pace] domini Abbatis die Veneris proxima post festum Purificacionis B. Virginis hoc anno ipsum implacitaverunt coram Offic' domini Archidiaconi Huntedonie de placito pertinente ad curiam domini Abbatis ad dampnum suum xx.s., et inde producit sectam. Et predicti Thomas et Cristina veniunt et non defendunt verba curie nec respondent ad querelam predictam. Ideo consideratum est quod predictus Bartholomeus recuperet dampna sua que taxantur ad vj. d. et pro transgressione in misericordia, per plegium Nicholai Arnold et Willelmi le Neueman.

Willelmus Umfrey, Johannes de Hale fuerunt plegii Beatricis de Hale regraterisse cervisie quod veniret ad istam curiam ad respondendum de transgressione vend' servic' contra assisam, que non venit, ideo dicti plegii in

mla vj. d.

mia

vi. d.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; An action of debt.

<sup>\*</sup> The margin of the roll is damaged.

<sup>·</sup> Supply venit.

enit. Sic : corr. cervisie.

misericordia, et nichilominus predicta Beatrix distringatur ut prius (postea condonatur quia pauper est).

'Curia apud Ripton' Regis die Lune in crastino Translacionis S. Benedicti anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii. et anno domini J. Abbatis viii.

domini ad opus Rogeri de Rames' quartam partem unius virgate terre et quartam partem unius mesuagii cum pertinenciis in Ripton' et dabit in gersuma xv. d. Et postes venit idem Rogerus et solvit sursum ad manum domini ad opus Magistri Willelmi Carpentar' fratris sui medietatem dicte terre cum pertinenciis et non de mesuagio et dat in gersuma vij. d. ob.

Convictum est per vicinos jurat' quod Bartholomeus Sweyn non intravit in curiam Johannis filii Willelmi sicut ei imposuit nec asportavit stramen ad valenciam trium solidorum, ideo dictus Johannes pro falso clamore in misericordia vj. d. et dictus Bartholomeus eat quietus. Plegius dicti Johannis, Hugo l'almerus.

Convictum est per vicinos jurat' quod una sus et quinque purce!li Johannis filii Willelmi intraverunt in curiam Bartholomei Sweyn et dampnum fecerunt in porett' et in olleribus dicti Bartholomei ad dampnum suum ij.d. Ideo satisfaciat ei de dictis ij.d. et pro transgressione in misericordia (condonatur quia supra,) plegii Thomas Cupere et Nicholaus Arnold.

[Johanna filia] Willelmi de Alkemundebirii optulit se versus Dominum Johannem Abbatem de Rames' de placito terre . . . . semel . . . . per Willelmum le Neweman et Nicholaum le Neweman. Et preceptum est quod iterum

mīā ▼j. d.

{min}

summoneatur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> m. 5.

<sup>2</sup> A few other entries similar to the above.

<sup>3</sup> The other entries are for the more part surrenders; the rate of tine is a penny per rood.

[Court at] King's [Ripton on] . . . . . [the feast of S. Peter at] Chains in the said year [A.D. 1294].

[Joan daughter of William of Alconbury] appeared against the lord John Abbot of Ramsey in a plea of land; [and he has been summoned the second time by]... Newman and William Humphrey. And it is ordered that he be summoned a third time.

Court of King's Ripton on the Vigil of S. [Bartholomew in the] eighth [year of Abbot John, A.D. 1294].

Joan daughter of William of Alconbury appeared against John Abbot of Ramsey in a plea of land; and he has been thrice summoned, [the third time by] . . . son of Robert of Stukeley and John Dyke. And it is ordered that the lord abbot be distrained.

[Court of King's Ripton on the vigil] of the Exaltation of Holy Cross in the said year.

[Joan daughter of William of Alconbury appeared against] John Abbot of Ramsey in a plea of land; and he has been distrained once [and does not come. Therefore it is ordered that he be distrained] a second time.

Court of King's Ripton on Monday next after the feast of S. Michael in the ninth year of the lord John Abbot and the twenty-second of King Edward [A.D. 1294].

[Joan] daughter of William of Alconbury appeared against John Abbot of Ramsey in a plea of land; and he

<sup>1</sup> This feast is 1 August. This court was probably holden on Monday day 2 August; the next on Monday 4 October.

23 August; the next on Monday 4 October.

districtus est. [Et preceptum est] quod dictus dominus Abbas distringatur tercio.

[Henricus] de Swyndone queritur de Johanne le Salkere super eo quod dictus Johannes venit die Sabbati proxima ante . . . Marie ultimo preterito ad Margariam uxorem Nicholai de Aula ubi ipsum Henricum defamavit . . . latronem, seductorem et interfectorem hominum et alia enormia et dixit [quod ipse] Henricus [interfecit] Nicholaum filium suum qui adhuc vivit, et inde non fuit contenptus set die dominica sequenti . . . litteram ad Dominum Rogerum de Ascherigge clericum Domini Regis et rectorem ecclesie de . . . Regis per quam ipsum Henricum violenter defamavit per verba predicta et alia enormia in predicta [littera scri]pta et eciam quod non fuit dignus manere in villa de Ripton' Regis nec in aliis villis, quia homicida est [et interfecit] Nicholaum filium suum qui adhuc vivit, qua de causa dictus Dominus Rogerus subtraxit tres [ann]os de termino suo de ecclesia de Ripton' Regis quam habet ad firmam de dicto Domino Rogero ad detrimentum suum triginta solidorum et ad grave dampnum suum viginti solidorum, et quod hoc sit verum duxit sectam.

Et Johannes le Stalkere presens fuit et noluit defendere verba curie set dixit quod non tenetur respondere racione quod non summonitus nec attachiatus fuit ubi habuit unam vaccam in parco domini nomine districtionis et ballivus testificavit quod monitus fuit die dominica precedenti qualiter dictus Henricus questus fuit super ipsum et pro quo voluit distringere ipsum. Et dictus Henricus petit judicium de dicto Johanne tamquam indefensum. Et dictus Johannes ponit se in tota curia utrum debet respondere nec ne, que peciit respectum usque ad proximam

what follows is an objective statement of fact. The first step in an action is extra-judicial: it consists in summoning or attaching the defendant; of course there is no writ

Sic.

Possibly voluit; but noluit gives the better sense; see Glossary, s.v. verba curie.

<sup>\*</sup> There is no stop; but I think that John's plea ends here, and that

curiam, cui concessum est ita quod dictus Johannes veniat sine essonio, et esse in eodem statu sicut hodie sub pena dim. mar., sicut tota curia ipsum manucepit, et si veredictum sit quod dictus Johannes debuit respondere dictus Johannes remaneat indefensus et super judicium.

Curia de Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima ante festum SS. Apostolorum Simonis et Jude anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii\* et anno domini J. Abbatis ix\*.

Johannes Abbas Rames' essoniat se de placito terre per Nicholaum filium Johannis versus Johannam filiam Willelmi de Alkemundebirii jo. affidavit. Et dicta Johanna querens optulit se versus dictum Johannem Abbatem et habent diem ad proximam curiam in tres septimanas.

[In amore] prece parcium Henricus de Swyndone querens et Johannes le Stalkere defendens et econverso habent diem concordandi usque ad proximam curiam.

' [Curia apud] Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima ante festum S. Edwardi Archiepiscopi anno regni Regis Edwardi xxii' et anno domini J. Abbatis ix'.

Abbas Rames' essoniat se versus Johannam filiam Willelmi de Alkemunde de placito terre per Alanum filium Willelmi ij°. Et dicta Johanna optulit se et habent diem usque ad proximam curiam in tres septimanas.

. .

m. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Henry of Swindon and John Stalker essoin themselves.

. . Nich' apud Ripton' Regis anno domini J. Abbatis ix' et anno regni Regis Edwardi xxiii'.

[Johannes] Abbas Rames' essoniat se versus Johannam filiam Willelmi de Alkemundebirii de placito [terre] per Willelmum Pykerel iij". Et dicta Johanna querens optulit se versus dictum Abbatem. Et [dies datus est] usque proximam curiam in mensem.

De Johanne le Stalkere defendente pro licencia concordandi cum Henrico de Swyndon' querente vj. d., plegius Thomas filius Simonis.

Bartholomeus Sweyn queritur de Nicholao filio Hugonis in Angulo super eo quod dictus! tenetur ei in v. d. [solven]d' in quindecim dies ante Purificacionem B. Marie de j. bovetto sibi vendito. Et dictus Nicholaus . . . def verba curie dicens quod sibi videtur quod respondere non tenetur racione quod non duxit sectam nec certum diem solucionis nominavit. Et pars adversa quod sic. Et partes pecierunt quod inquiratur [per] villat' que dixit quod sufficient' duxit sectam. Postea testificatum fuit per totam [villatam 3] quod dictus Nicholaus tenebatur dicto Bartholomeo in predictis v. d. Ideo dictus Nicholaus pro injusta detencione in misericordia iij. d. plegius Thomas le Cupere.

fal«a inquisicio

iij. d.

vj. d.

'Curia de Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima post Circumcisionem Domini anno regni Regis Edwardi xxiii' et domini J. Abbatis ix'.

Henricus de Swyndon' queritur de Willelmo filio Rogeri le Neweman super eo quod dictus Willelmus die Veneris

<sup>1</sup> Supply Nicholaus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Illegible: probably we should supply venit et; Nicholas made the formal defence before he took exception.

<sup>3</sup> Hardly a trace of the word remains.

<sup>4</sup> m. 6 d.

proxima ante festum Decollacionis S. Johannis Baptiste anno regni Regis Edwardi xxijo in campis de Ript' in quadam quarentena que vocata est Longelond' ubi lasportavit iiij. garbas frumenti dicti Henrici precii iiij. d., et nichilominus predictus Willelmus in dictum Henricum insultum fecit et ipsum percussit cum quadam virga super capud et similiter cum quadam furca ferea et cultello et alia enormia ei intulit ad grave dampnum ipsius Henrici xx. sol. et contra pacem etc.

Et predictus Willelmus venit et defendit vim et injuriam quando etc. et bene defendit quod predicto die et anno nullum ei fecit insultum cum cultello nec cum furca sicut ei imponit et petit quod inquiratur per patriam.

Et predictus Henricus petit judicium de predicto Willelmo tamquam indefenso in hoc quod inculpavit predictum Willelmum quod percussit eum cum quadam virga super capud nec illud nominatim defendit petit judicium etc.

Et predictus Willelmus dicit quod satis defendit prout ei visum est in hoc quod defendit quicquit fuit contra pacem et dampna sua xx.s. et insultum cum furca et cultello et petit judicium etc. Et datus est eis dies de audiendo judicio suo ad proximam curiam.

Johanna filia Willelmi de Alkemundebirii petit versus Abbatem de Rames' octo acras terre cum pertinenciis in Riptone ut jus suum per breve secundum consuetudinem manerii, etc.

Et predictus Abbas per Thomam le Clerck atturnatum suum venit et defendit jus predicte Johanne et petit inde visum etc. Habeat. Et habent diem usque proximam curiam in tres septimanas.<sup>3</sup>

Corr. vs ?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sic.
<sup>3</sup> Sewn to the margin is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Sewn to the margin is a fragment of a royal writ. Apparently

it was a writ directing the reception of Thomas Clerk as the Abbot's attorney.

' Curia apud Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima ante Conversionem S. Pauli anno regni Regis [Edwardi] xxiii.

Thomas Clericus aturnatus Abbatis de Rames' versus Johannam filiam Willelmi de Alkemundebirii de placito terre per Thomam le Cupere (post visum). Et dicta Johanna habet diem usque proximam curiam in tres septimanas.

De Willelmo le Neweman convicto quia percussit Henricum de Swyndone cum quodam . . . . pe <sup>3</sup> super capud vj. d. Plegius Nicholaus le Neweman. Et dampna iij. d.

\*[Curia apud Ripton' Regis] . . . . . anno regni Regis Edwardi xxiii\* et anno domini [J. Abbatis ix\*].

4 [Johanna filia Willelmi de Alkemun]debirii optulit se versus Abbatem de Rames' de placito . . . . . presens per Thomam Clericum aturnatum suum. Et dicta [Johanna petit octo acras] terre versus dominum Abbatem ut jus suum eo quod dicta Johanna . . . . . manus Domini W. Abbatis in cimiterio de Rypton' Regis coram . . . . . octo acras terre cum pertinenciis ad opus Willelmi patris sui . . . ipsamet fuit infra etatem, ita quod illud reddere nichil valuit [et petit] quod inquiratur.

[Et Dominus] Abbas per aturnatum suum dicit quod predicta Johanna fuit plene etatis [quando] dictam terram reddidit sursum secundum consuetudinem manerii dicto Willelmo, et dictus Willelmus fuit in bona et pacifica seysina per septem annos [et amplius] et postea dictam terram reddidit sursum ad opus Willelmi Capellani . . . . . . . . . . qui obiit sine herede, et ita dictus Abbas tenens est ut esca . . . . . petit quod inquiratur.

<sup>1</sup> m. 7.
2 Only the last two letters of the word can be read. The entry is copied because it seems to show that William's 'defence' was insufficient.
2 m. 7 d.

<sup>4</sup> This part of the roll is in bad condition; but enough of its contents can be recovered to show the nature of the action against the Abbot, the progress of which we have been observing.

Unde fuit inquisicio qui [dicunt super sacramentum] suum quod dicta Johanna fuit plene etatis secundum usum [manerii] quando terram predictam reddidit sursum, et est etas mulieris xiij. anni et dim' et etas virilis xiiij. anni et dim' et quod dicta Johanna nullum jus habet in dicta terra set est propria exscaeta domini Abbatis. Ideo consideratum est quod nichil capiat per breve suum set sit in misericordia pro falsa querela. Condonatur quia pauper.

mia condonatur quia pauper

Memorandum quod concessum est Rogero de Kenlowe habend' introitum ad Caterinam filiam Thome Prepositi cum uno quarterio terre in villa de Ryptone Regis pro duobus solidis in gersuma, ita tamen quod mortua dicta Katerina ille qui propinquior est heres de sanguine predicte Katerine gesumabit dictum quarterium terre secundum

consuetudinem manerii et ville.

1 •

Curia die Veneris proxima ante festum S. Thome Apostoli anno regni Regis Edwardi xxiiii\* scilicet die visus.

Capiatur in manum domini unam domu' 'cum curtillagio jac' inter Bartholomeum Mayn et Nicholaum Arnold quam Magister Ricardus Carpun et uxor ejus vendiderunt sine licencia curie Henrico filio Johannis de Broucton' et respond' de exitibus.

preceptum

Thomas Brigtwold reddidit sursum unam rodam terre jacentem in Garbodeland inter terras Henrici filii Simonis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A court held on Saturday next before 1 August, 1295, is here omitted.

\* Sic.

Prepositi et Ivonis filii Hugonis in Angulo ad opus Bartholomei Mayn, et preceptum est quod ponatur in seysina eo quod est de sanguine.

<sup>1</sup> Nicholaus de Aula reddit sursum unam dimidiam acram terre ad opus Willelmi ad portam de Broucton' jacentem in Schortland' inter terras Hugonis Graylond' et Rogeri de Rammes'. Et preceptum est preposito respondere de exitibus ejusdem terre quia est extraneus.

[Idem] Nicholaus reddit sursum unam rodam terre ad opus Thom' Aspelon de Brocton' liberi jacentem in Pyt... inter terras Roberti Juel et Bartholomei Mayn, et preceptum est quod respond' ut supra.

Nicholaus Arnold reddit sursum duas rodas terre ad opus Hugonis Palmeri quarum una roda jacet in Middelforlong inter terras Simonis le Eyr et Willelmi de Blaysworth' et una roda in Schortlond inter terras Thome filii Simonis et Rogeri de Rammes', et preceptum est quod ponatur in seysina quia est de sanguine de Ripton' Regis.

Capiatur in manum domini quarta pars unius rode

prati jacens in Smalemade quam Rogerus Greyling vendidit Nicholao le Neuman sine licencia curie et respond' etc. ut supra.

2 · · · · · · ·

Curia apud Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima ante Annunciacionem B. Marie anno regni Regis Edwardi xxiiii\* et anno domini J. Abbatis decimo.

Matildis relicta Hugonis Grayling venit et tulit breve de recto per quod peciit dotem suam de sexaginta et quatuor acris terre . . . acris prati versus diversos contentos in

<sup>2</sup> Here follow several entries similar to the three last; the surrenderec is put in seisin if he is 'do sanguine de Ripton' Regis,' but if he is 'extraneus et non de sanguine' the land is seized into the lord's hands, 'm. 9 a.

pre' est

pre' est

[brevi] et de . . . mesuagiis. Et preceptum est quod omnes summoneantur contra proximam curiam que erit in tres septimanas.

<sup>2</sup> Curia de Ripton' Regis die Lune proxima ante featum Apostolorum Philippi et Jacobi anno regni Regis Edwardi xxv<sup>2</sup>.

Bartholomeus filius Radulfi de Ripton' Regis petit versus Willelmum filium Willelmi de Ripton' Regis et Rogerum fratrem ejus duas acras terre cum pertinenciis in Ripton' Regis et versus Henricum filium Simonis le Provost unam acram terre cum pertinenciis in eadem villa ut jus suum per breve de recto clausum secundum consuetudinem manerii etc., et unde dicit quod quidam Swaynus filius Hervei antecessor predicti Bartholomei cujus heres ipse est fuit seisitus de predictis tenementis in dominico suo ut de feodo et jure tempore pacis tempore domini Henrici Regis patris domini Regis nunc capiendo inde explet' ad valenciam etc., et de ipso Swayno descendit jus etc. cuidam Roberto ut filio et heredi, et de ipso Roberto descendit jus etc. cuidam Agneti ut filic et heredi, et de ipsa Agnete isti Bartholomco qui nunc petit ut filio et heredi, et quod tale sit jus suum offert etc. secundum consuetudinem manerii etc.

Et Willelmus filius Willelmi et Rogerus frater ejus et Henricus filius Simonis veniunt et defendunt vim et injuriam et jus predicti Bartholomei quando etc. Et predicti Willelmus et Rogerus precise defendunt jus predicti Bartholomei et bene cognoscunt seisinam predicti Swayni de cujus seisina etc., et ponunt se in juratam patrie loco magne assise domini Regis secundum consuetudinem manerii utrum ipsi jus habeant in predictis tene-

begun by Maud Grayling, who recovers by default against many of the tenants; but there are also numerous surrenders of separate roods of land, generally at a fine of a penny per rood.

<sup>2</sup> m. 12.

The writ, to which there are a large number of tenants, is bound up in the roll (m. 9). For want of space no extracts are given from the proceedings of the next twelve courts; the omitted entries relate chiefly to the action for dower here

mentis per feofamentum quod Willelmus de Alkemondbyr' secundum consuetudinem manerii inde fecit predictis Willelmo et Rogero quem quidem Willelmum de Alkemondbyr' Johanna i filia ejusdem Willelmi secundum consuctudinem etc. feofavit et quam quidem Johannam Simon Russel inde feofavit, quem quidem Simonem Willelmus de Sumerford' et Juliana uxor ejus feosaverunt secundum consuctudinem, quos quidem Simonem et Julianam quidam Willelmus de Ebor' inde feofavit etc., quem quidem Willelmum Johannes Froyl inde feofavit etc., et quem quidem Johannem Robertus filius predicti Swayni de cujus seisina etc. et per med' cujus etc. predictus Bartholomeus narravit sicut tenent, an predictus Bartholomeus sicut petit etc.

Jur' (Simon le Eyr et socii sui) dicunt super sacramentum suum quod predicti Willelmus et Rogerus majus jus habent in predictis duabus acris terre sicut tenent quam predictus Bartholomeus sicut petit. Ideo consideratum est quod predicti Willelmus et Rogerus inde sine die, et predictas duas acras terre cum pertinenciis teneant secundum consuctudinem manerii sibi et heredibus suis quiete de predicto Bartholomeo et heredibus suis imperpetuum et Bartholomeus et plegii sui de prosequendo in miscricordia.

<sup>4</sup>Et predictus Henricus similiter ponit se in predictam juratam de tenementis versus eum petitis utrum majus jus habeat in predictis tenementis per formam predictam et feofamentum predictarum personarum sicut tenet an predictus Bartholomeus sicut petit. Ideo consideratum est quod predictus Henricus inde sine die et predictam acram terre teneat in pace quiete sibi et heredibus suis

4 m. 12 d.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Joan's conveyance to her father has already come before us; see above, p. 120. I take it that all these so-called 'feofiments' were really surrenders to the use of a purchaser; but among the sokemen the land was so freely alienable that there was no harm in using such a

word as fcoffare.

Probably the famous judge and bishop of Salisbury; he at one time farmed the neighbouring church of Broughton.

per medium; the demandant counted through Robert.

mla

vi. d.

secundum consuctudinem [manerii] de predicto Bartholomeo et heredibus suis imperpetuum, et Bartholomeus in misericordia etc.

. . . . . . .

Johannes Pege dat domino vj d. pro habenda consideracione curie de una grangia cum quadam placia ad . . . in quibus Cristina Uumfrey soror ejus nuper obiit etc. Johannes Stalker' et socii sui xij. jur' dicunt quod Willelmus Umfrey quondam adquisivit dictas placiam et grangiam et eas legavit in morte sua dicte Cristine ad terminum vite sue et post decessum dicte Cristine quod reverterentur dicto Johanni filio suo. Ideo consideratum est quod habeat inde seisinam etc.

<sup>2</sup> [Mortuo] Willelmo filio Bartholomei le Carpenter de Kinges Ripton' Capellano qui tenuit de domino in eadem villa per descensum hereditatis secundum consuctudinem manerii iij. acras terre existentes in manibus Abbatis in quibus terris dictus Willelmus obiit sevsitus in dominico suo ut de jure et feodo, venit Willelmus filius Thome Unfrey et petit dictam terram sibi concedi desicut ipse pre omnibus aliis propinquior est de sanguine ad terram illam habendam per decessum ejusdem Capellani et de nacione ejusdem ville de Kinges Ripton' ut dicit et hoc petit quod inquiratur. Et xij. jurati scilicet Johannes le Stalkere, Simon le Eyr, Thomas filius Simonis, Henricus Graeleng, Johannes filius Simonis, Johannes Palmerus, Stephanus Robert, Willelmus Neweman, Bartholomeus filius Radulfi, Johannes filius Willelmi, Nicholaus Arnold, et Johannes Brihtwold veniunt et dicunt [per] sacramentum suum quod nesciunt aliquem qui tantum jus habet ad dictam terram habendam secundum consustudinem manerii

a membrane (m. 15) which has no heading, but it seems to belong to A. R. 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The proceedings of several courts held in A. R. 25, 26 and 27 are here omitted.

This entry occurs at the top of

anyone in the world who is so near of blood to the said chaplain as the said William Humphrey the now demandant, and therefore it is considered, etc.

[Court of] King's Ripton holden on Thursday next after the Translation of S. Benedict in the twenty-ninth year of King Edward and the [fifteenth] of Abbot John [A.D. 1301].

. . . . . . .

Roger of Kellow an outsider who married 2 Catherine daughter of Thomas Reeve of King's Ripton, who is of the estate and 'nation' of the said vill, comes and demands in court in the name of Robert and Nicholas his sons issuing from the body of the said Catherine by a lawful marriage. the 6 acres of land lying in the fields of King's Ripton which William the chaplain son of Bartholomew Carpenter lately dead purchased and held of the lord according to the custom of the manor and afterwards gave and granted to the said Robert and Nicholas the legitimately procreated sons of the said Roger and Catherine by a covenant made between the parties on the Monday in Mid-Lent in the twenty-eighth year of King Edward [A.D. 1300], and in affirmance of the said gift and grant the said chaplain, because he was impeded by grave infirmity so that in no wise could he come to the lord's court, surrendered the whole of the said land to the use of the said boys into the hands of Thomas Cooper the then custodian of the manor of King's Ripton, as the custom is in the said vill, until some one should come and hold the next court for the lord, to the intent that Roger and Catherine should at their own cost cultivate the said land during the lifetime of the said chaplain and sow it with his seed and deliver to him the crop which should issue therefrom, and should during every year so long as the said chaplain should live provide him with one good coat of coloured cloth or of good russet, and that in case he should live for three or four years or more from the date of this covenant the said Roger and Cathe-

<sup>1</sup> This feast is 11 July.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See above, p. 121.

roba	m su	am in	prin	no an	no xl.	s., in	1 Becu	ndo i	anno	ij. m	٠,	
in tercio j. m. et in quarto anno nichil de aliquo												
anno sequente, de qua quidem convencione satisfactum fuit												
plenarie dicto Capellano a die Lune in media [quadragesima]												
usque ad festum Nativitatis B. Marie proximo												
sequens ad quod festum idem Capellanus diem suum clausit												
extremum ante obitum suum assignavit et in												
testamento suo legavit totam terram suam prequisitam												
	prefatis Roberto [et Nicholao filiis predicti Rogeri et] Kate-											
rine legitime procreatis, quod quidem licitum fuit eidem ut												
dicit et omnibus alis de na[cione] manerii unde												
Rogerus de Kellawe dicit quod dicti Robertus et Nicholaus												
filii sui procreati propinquiores sunt ad dictam												
terram habendam quam aliquis alius, et quod sit petit! .												
		•	•	•						•		
•		•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	
•				•			•		•	•		
•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	
nullus eorum fuit presens quando dictus Capellanus condidit												
testamentum suum, et idem [juratores?] nichil inde sciunt												
	aliqui											
	ic info											
ballivorum suorum extra curiam recesserunt. Et ideo pre-												

ceptum est ballivis quod de die in [diem] levari faciant de

eisdem jur' xl. s. ad opus domini.

About eight lines of the record are illegible; but a jury is sworn in.

'Curia apud Rypton' Regis die Veneris proxima 'post festum Epiphanie Domini anno regni Regis Edwards tricesimo et domini J. Abbatis sextodecimo coram W. de Wassingl'.

Johanna filia Willelmi de Alkemundebyr' venit et solvit sursum in manum domini totum jus et clamium quod habuit vel habere potuit in illis octo acris terre et dimidia cum pertinenciis quas cadem Johanna exigebat versus Dominum Johannem de Sautr' Abbatem de Rames' per breve domini Regis, ita quod predicta Johanna nec heredes sui nichil juris vel clamii in predicta terra exigere vel vendicare poterunt inperpetuum.

• • • • • •

\*Curia ibidem die Jovis in festo S. Petri Advincula anno ut infra (anno regni Regis Edwardi xxxi\*.)

Willelmus filius Reginaldi le Stalkere venit et petit versus Johannem le Stalkere . . . terre et unum mesusgium cum pertinenciis ut jus patris sui.

Et predictus Johannes venit et defendit vim et injuriam et jus predicti Willelmi quando etc., et dicit quod non tenetur narracioni sue respondere eo quod narrando non dicit quod idem Johannes ei deforciat predictum tenementum cum pertinenciis nec dicit narrando in qua villa predicta tenementa sunt nec quis antecessor suus fuit scisitus nec quo modo jus ei descendere debet nec tempore cujus Regis antecessor suus fuit scisitus nec que explecia cepit nec ullam producit scetam, que omnia narracioni sunt necessaria, et ideo petit judicium si ad hujusmodi narracionem debeat ei respondere, et de hoc ponit se super

' m 16 d. ' m. 16 A d.

the judgment of the court. And William does likewise. And therefore by judgment of the whole court it is considered that the said William do take nothing by his writ but be in mercy for his false claim, and that the said John go thence without day. See the writ for the names of his pledges [to prosecute].

. . . . . . .



## V. THE FAIR OF S. IVES.

## INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

It must be confessed that the court that we are now to visit is in no sense manorial, but on the plea that it is seignorial, that it has a lord, some extracts from its proceedings may be admitted into our collection. At any rate the roll whence they are taken is of so rare a kind and there seemed to be so much danger of its remaining unknown that for using it no apology seems necessary. It is in the Public Record Office (Augmentation Office Court Rolls, Portf. 16, No. 16), and it chronicles the doings of the Abbot of Ramsey's court of the fair of S. Ives. It deals with the fairs of 1275 and 1291. From the part of it that deals with the former fair I have extracted a number of entries relating to litigation; the part which deals with 1291 I have not used. It would be an eminently good deed to print the whole roll. There are few documents which give so much detailed information about the commercial law and commercial morals of the thirteenth century. Besides the litigation, which will here be illustrated, the court had other work to attend to, the collection of dues, the maintenance of order and decency in a town crowded for a while with strangers. The Abbot, we find, brought in his men from the neighbouring manors to form a constabulary force. and a jury of presentment was busy with many nuisances of all kinds, including a large influx of disreputable women. These matters have on the present occasion been neglected in favour of the law merchant, for the court professed to administer the 'lex mercatoria' and in cases of difficulty the merchants of the fair were called upon to declare the law.

The spot then as now known as S. Ives in Huntingdonshire seems to owe its town to its fair, and to owe its fair and its name to a miracle. In Domesday Book it is represented by the Abbot of Ramsey's manor of Slepe, a mere rural manor part of what we have called the Abbot's 'home estate' (D. B. i. 204). But in 1002 or thereabouts there had been a lucky find of bones in

Slepe and a dream proved that they were the bones of S. Ivo. (Chron. Rams. 114; Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. i. 480.) Thus the place became of some note. In 1110 the Abbot procured from Henry I. the grant of a fair at S. Ives to begin shortly after Easter and last for a week.1 Evidently it became very profitable, and probably the limit of time set by the royal charter was not observed. According to Matthew Paris 2 Henry III. in or about 1252 at the instigation of his evil counsellor Robert Passlew deprived the Abbot of the fair most iniquitously and in disregard of the horrible anathemas which Edward the Confessor and 8. Wulstan had denounced against all who should interfere with this precious possession. It seems probable that the King's claim was founded on an alleged abuse of the franchise in that the fair was kept up far beyond the chartered space of time. Paris's account of the matter is however somewhat loose, for he will have it that the Confessor and Wulstan had expressly mentioned the fair, while even if all the charters which the Ramsey monks preserved, and perhaps concocted, were authentic, this would not be true.3 Most likely the Abbot found that in the then state of the law he could not prescribe against the King and so lost his franchise for abuse. Paris says that the noble house of Ramsey would have preferred to lose several of its manors. This we may well believe from what followed:-by a charter of 1258 Henry in consideration of a fine of 500 marks and an annual rent of £50 granted to the Abbot the right to hold a fair beginning on Tuesday in Easter week and enduring, not merely for a week, but for an unlimited season.4 Not long afterwards the heavy rent was in arrear, for owing to the Barons' War no merchants came to the fair. Then in consideration of 120 marks the King exempted the abbey for the future from paying rent for any time of war.5 In 1340 the Abbot was disputing with the Countess of Kent, who had been endowed with the rent of £50 issuing from the fair, as to whether there was a 'time of war' within the meaning of the charter when English armies were fighting in France.6 Meanwhile in Edward I.'s day the Abbot had successfully attacked a charter giving the bishop of Ely a fair at Ely to begin on Ascension day; the charter was revoked by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chron. Rama. 221, 226, 286; Cart. Rams. i. 240, ii. 101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Chron. Maj. v. 296.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See the charters of the Confessor and the charter of the Conqueror, sanctioned by Wulstan, Cart. Rams. ii. 70, 79, 91; Chron. Rams. 162-9,

<sup>200</sup> 

<sup>Cart. Rams. ii. 67, 391 note 8.
Cart. Rams. ii. 391; Year Book,
14 Ed. III. ed. Pike, p. 127.</sup> 

Year Book as above, and editor's Introduction, p. xv.

the King in parliament, but during the progress of the suit, says an admiring chronicler, the Abbot defended his fair 'vi armata.' Clearly a fair that might endure six weeks and more was worth defending even though one had to pay £50 a year for it. Yet it would seem that the Abbot did not get the whole of the profits.

In the rolls before us certain Collectores Huntendonie are mentioned and in 1286 the bailiffs of this neighbouring royal borough of Huntingdon declared that they were in seisin in the King's name of taking toll in the said fair, which toll belonged to the town of Huntingdon held by them in fee farm, and that they ought to collect the toll throughout the fair carrying black rods in their hands. The Abbot admitted that they ought to carry black rods and collect toll at the gates of the town, but not elsewhere: which party proved its case we do not learn.2 Even however without all the tolls, the rents of booths and the profits of the court must have made up a large sum. An autumnal fair seems to have been granted by King John, but of this we hear less.3 Even at the end of the century the town of S. Ives, for clearly a town was growing up, had no organisation but that of an ordinary manor.4 It seems to have consisted chiefly of one long street of sixty or seventy houses, the tenants of which paid rent and did some labour services to the Abbot; he claimed the frontage of the houses in fair time to a distance of twelve feet.5 Its situation on the River Ouse made it a good place for a fair; hides and wool were the chief articles of merchandise.

In Edward I.'s day the 'lex mercatoria' was already conceived as a body of law differing in some respects from the common law.6 Within certain limits it was for the merchants themselves to declare this law. In Edward II.'s day two merchants fell out about a point of pure law raised in the court of the fair of S. Ives and the case was brought before the King's Bench; twelve merchants were summoned from each of four towns, London, Lincoln, Winchester and Northampton, to testify to the law.7 How large a body of definite doctrine there was bearing the name 'law merchant' it is hard for us to say. Probably in some respects it took a more liberal and modern view of contractual obligations than that which was taken by the common law. For instance we shall in our extracts read of an obligatory writing payable to bearer (see below, p. 152) and

Chron. Rams. 351.

<sup>\*</sup> P. Q. W. 306.

<sup>\*</sup> Cart. Rams. ii. 297; Mat. Par. Chron Maj. v. 297.

Cart. Rams. i. 281; R. H. ii. 605.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> R. H. l. c.

<sup>5</sup> See the Carta Mercatoria of 13001. Riley, Munimenta Gildhallae, vol. ii. pt. 1, p. 205-8, Plac. Abbrev. 321.

certain it seems that such writings were becoming common among merchants. Is there any mention of them in the Year Books? The tally and the God's penny seem to have been regarded as specially mercantile institutions. Against a tally one could not wage one's law in cases between merchants: royal favour has conceded to them that the plaintiff who has a tally may prove his case: this, says Fleta (f. 187-8), is an exception to the general rule in paritate juris prius admittitur defensor quam pars actrix in probatione'; for we must still think of 'proof' as a benefit, not as a burden (cf. Leg. Hen. Prim. 64, § 6. 'semper erit possidens proprior quam repetens'). The payment of a God's penny was effectual to bind a mercantile bargain. Edward I. in 1808 granted this as a favour to the foreign merchants. 'Every contract between the said merchants and any persons whencesoever they may come touching any kind of merchandise shall be firm and stable, so that neither of the said merchants shall be able to retract or resile from the said contract when once the God's penny shall have been given and received between the parties to the contract.' (Carta Mercaton...) Very similar words are found in the Custom of Avignon 2; we are indeed dealing with the 'private international law' of the middle ages. The God's penny, it is said, ought in theory to have been applied to religious purposes, the purchase of Saints' tapers and the like; at Arles the God's penny went towards keeping up a candle for Saint Trophimus 3; a religious sanction was thus given to the contract. Its history is still obscure, being implicated with that of the festuca, of which ancient instrument the tally again may be a rationalised form.4 The point of Edward's concession may be that (perhaps under an impression that they were following Roman law) our King's courts had come to regard the God's penny as an arra, and to hold that the payment of this arra or 'earnest' did not have the effect of making the agreement enforceable, though the arra itself was forfeited by the buyer in case he would not fulfil the agreement, and restored twofold by the seller in case the refusal came from him. ('Item cum arrarum nomine aliquid datum fuerit ante traditionem, si emptorem paenituerit et a contractu resilire voluerit, perdat quod dedit; si autem venditorem, quod arrarum nomine receperit emptori restituat duplicatum '; Bracton, f. 61 b, 62.) This however was not the merchants' view of the law; the God's penny in

Heusler, Institutionen des Deutschen Privatrechts, i. 211.
 Jobbé-Duval, Revendication des

Jobbé-Duval, 134.

<sup>4</sup> Heusler, Institutionen, i. 76-86, ii. 253.

Meubles, 134.

their eyes was a form which validated the contract. For them sale had become a 'formal' contract; for Bracton it was still 'real' unless it were made by deed. At least such seems to have been Bracton's opinion:—there is no contract of sale unless there be arra, or scriptura (deed) or traditio, and when there is arra but no scriptura or traditio each contractor may withdraw from the agreement though by doing so he forfeits the arra. Fleta (f. 127), with Bracton's text before him, points out that the law is different among merchants; the seller is bound by receipt of the arra to deliver the sold goods, unless indeed he prefers to forfeit five shillings for every farthing of the arra—an improbable contingency.

On the other hand we may be a little astonished at the way in which this mercantile court slurs over what seem to us the most elementary distinctions of jurisprudence. The action for money due on contract is conceived as an action to obtain money 'detained and deforced by violence against the lord's peace.' It looks like an action of tort; it also looks like an action to obtain coins which already are the plaintiff's. The common law with its actions of debt and covenant had already passed beyond this stage, though, as is well known, it was still to develop the contractual action of assumpsit out of the action of trespass.

But the most curious cases are those which concern the various communities of merchants which attended the fair; the 'communitates' of Stamford, Nottingham, Leicester, Huntingdon, Godmanchester, Bury S. Edmunds, Wiggenhall, among others. are mentioned, and the 'communitas' of Ypres. The 'communitas' in this context seems to be the merchant guild, though not perhaps in all cases a duly chartered guild. At first sight we may be inclined to say that these guilds are treated as corporations; but they are not treated as our modern law would treat corporations, and seemingly we ought not to think of the guild as trading with a joint stock by the instrumentality of members who are for trading purposes its agents. A common purse it may well have had, but in the main the trade was carried on by members who traded as individuals. Still every member of the guild severally guarantees the debts contracted by every member in the way of his trade—is subsidiarily liable for those debta. You are a member of the commonalty of X:—it is a cause of action for me against you that A, who is your 'peer and parcener,' your 'fellow commoner,' 'at scot and lot' with you, has contracted a trading debt with me and has not paid it. We do not find that the communitas is regarded as 'a juristic person,' or

-putting the notion of 'a juristic person' aside as too modernwe do not even find that the members of the community collectively sue and are sued by a common name-such at least is not the usual case. In this respect the trading communitas differs from the municipal communitas of this same age, for the 'Cives de X' or 'Burgenses de Y' can sue and be sued collectively. This is the more to be noticed because from some points of view the mercantile and municipal organisms of many of the towns seem almost identical—the merchant guild is the governing body of the borough. But on these mercantile rolls the prevailing idea is rather that of guarantee, of subsidiary liability, than that of corporate unity; the debt is the debt of an individual, but his peers and partners guarantee it.2 Would this doctrine have stood examination in the King's courts? We may doubt it. The notion that the traders of a borough form a society every member of which is more or less answerable for the acts and defaults in the way of trade of every other member is however brought out by a clause found in some of the earliest charters, which seems to mean this:—the King frees the burgesses of X from toll throughout the realm and provides that if toll be taken from them in any town, the men of X may in their town make reprisals against the men of the offending town.3 Such reprisals may not touch the actual offender; but they touch his peers and parceners. As has been well said, there is something analogous to international relations in the intermunicipal relations of the middle ages. But this is becoming antiquated. In 1275 it is ordained (Stat. West. I. c. 28) that in any city, borough, town, fair or market, a foreign person who is of this realm (i.e. seemingly, an Englishman who is not of the town) shall not be distrained for any debt for which he is not debtor, nor pledge. This seems destined to destroy the idea of a tacit guarantee for the trading debts of one's fellow townsmen:—such was the contemporary exposition of the statute (Fleta, f. 136) and it became the classical exposition (Coke, 2nd Inst. 204). To merchants who came from beyond seas this statutory protection did not extend.

As will be seen from the curious and not too creditable proceedings of William of Bolton reported below, we are now in a court where professional pleaders were employed. From the manorial courts efforts were made to exclude them; the lord

\* Charters of London, Winchester,

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. 417; iii.

<sup>563.
&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Gierke, Genossenschaftsrecht, ii. 388.

Lincoln etc., in Stubbs, Select Charters

<sup>4</sup> Gierke, op. cit. 389.

did not want them there. In 1240 the Abbot of Ramsey forbad his tenants at Brancaster on pain of twenty shillings to introduce pleaders into his court to impede or delay his justice, and the Abbot of S. Albans made a similar regulation for his halimoots; he would not have any verbal quibbles. But the court of a fair could not be so domestic a tribunal; the lawyers invaded it. We must add that the rather sudden demand for professional pleaders seems to have engendered a great deal of corruption and chicane; there is much evidence that the lawyers of Edward I. aday, great and small, judges, pleaders and attornies, had no very high standard of professional honour.

At the risk of making this note too long, a translation of two cases which occurred in the fair of 1291 shall be given. The first illustrates the convocation of the merchants for the solution of a knotty point of law, the mercantile view of the nature of a sale, the doctrine that no one can be compelled to put himself upon a jury, and the survival of one of the forms of compurgation noticed in the Anglo-Saxon dooms, the oath with oath-helpers chosen for, not by, the swearer. The second illustrates not only the verbal accuracy that was required of a defendant, but also the curious, though otherwise well attested, rule that when two merchants are concluding a sale a third merchant may intervene, cry 'Halves,' and insist on sharing the purchaser's bargain.

In an action of detinue William of Temesford recovers against Austin Chaplain of Temesford, and the latter is amerced; a horse is attached by way of pledge. 'And upon this came one Walter Dancys and offered to prove that the said horse was his own and craved to be admitted with his proof. To whom it was answered by the other side that fraudulently did he offer to prove another's cl. .: el his own. And for that Walter in making this challenge lies under suspicion since he is a person of ill fame and has not chattels to this value and it is suspected that he does this of fraud and collusion, it is considered that the truth be inquired by a good inquest. Which comes and says on its oath that the said Walter "carnested" [arravit] by God's penny the horse from the said Austin in the vill of Temesford on the Sunday before the attachment, which attachment was made on the Tuesday following, but this was done in deceit of the said William and by collusion that the said William might be eloigned

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cart. Rams. i. 428. 

<sup>2</sup> Gesta Abbatum, i. 453-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See e.g. Munimenta Gildhallae, vol. ii. pt. i. p. 280.

<sup>4</sup> I hope that on some future occasion the Society will print the whole record of this fair.

[i.e. deprived] of his chattels. And the case is respited until it shall be more thoroughly discussed by the merchants. And the merchants of the various commonalties and others being convoked in full court, it is considered that since the said Walter never put himself on the said inquest, which inquest was taken by the steward merely ex officio [i.e. was a mere 'inquest of office'] and since according to the law merchant the said Walter had sufficiently concluded the sale (empcionem firmavit) of the horse by the delivery of the God's penny, the said Walter do come third-handed with good and elected and credible men (cion tercia manu sua de bonis hominibus electis et fidedignis) to prove that the said horse is his, so that at the time of the attachment the said Austin had neither art nor part in the said horse. And the said Walter came and made his law sufficiently, therefore let him go quiet with the said horse and let the said William be in mercy for his false claim; he is pardoned.

'Nicholas Legge complains of Nicholas of Mildenhall, for that unjustly he impedes him from having (according to the usage of merchants) part in a certain ox which Nicholas of Mildenhall bought in his presence in the vill of S. Ives on Monday last past, to his damage 2 s. whereas he was ready to pay half the price, which price was 2 s. 6 d. And Nicholas [of Mildenhall] defends the words of court and says that the law merchant does well allow that every merchant may participate in a bargain in the butchers' trade (participet de mercandisa carnificum) if he claims a part thereof at the time of the sale; but [to prove] that the said Nicholas Legge was not present at the time of the purchase nor claimed a part thereof, he is ready to make law. And Legge says that Mildenhall ought not to come to the law (ad legem venire non debet) for that he is charged with having denied Legge a part of the said ox and this word he has not defended [i.e. he has not precisely traversed the denial], wherefore the said Legge craves judgment of him as of one undefended. Therefore it is considered that the said Legge do recover against him 2 s. for his damages and that Mildenhall be in mercy 2 s.'

Postscript.—As regards obligatory writings payable to bearer reference should have been made to the very interesting papers in which their early history has been traced by Dr. Brunner. See Zeitschrift für das Gesammte Handelsrecht, 1877-8.

## ['CURIA ABBATIS RAMESIENSIS IN FERIA SANCTI YVONIS.]

Curia in feria S. Yvonis die Mercurii proxima ante festum S. Marci Ewangeliste anno regni Regis Edwardi tercio et anno domini Willelmi Abbatis Ramesiensis viii coram S. de Schetlingd' tune Senescallo ferie.

<sup>2</sup>Thomas de Welles queritur de Willelmo de Horningsete eo quod ubi fuit et credidit extitisse in pace domini Abbatis et ballivorum ferie die Cene ultimo preterito in nave Walteri de Ely et fecit mercandisam cum quodam mercatore de iij. ulnis de viridi, venit predictus Willelmus et insultavit predictum Thomam verbis turpissimis vocando ipsum latronem et alia enormia ad dampnum et vituperium suum dim. m. et ducit sectam.

Dictus Willelmus presens fuit, non defendit verba curie, quare dictus Thomas petit judicium de eo tanquam de indefenso. Unde per consideracionem curie dictus Willelmus satisfaciat predicto Thome de dampnis suis et pro transgressione in misericordia, plegius Petrus Redhod'.

Curia Ferie die Veneris proxima post festum S. Marci Ewangeliste anno domini W. Abbatis viii\*.

Thomas de Welles queritur de Adam Garsoppe eo quod injuste ei detinet et deforciat j. cofre quem dictus Adam eidem vendidit die Mercurii proxima post mediam quadragesimam

Public Record Office, Augmentation Office Rolls, Portf. 16, No. 16. m. 2.

last past for 6 d. whereof he paid to the said Adam 2 d. and a drink in advance, and on the Octave of Easter came and would have paid the rest, but the said Adam would not receive it nor answer for the said coffer but detained it unconditionally to his damage and dishonour 2 s.; and he produces suit.

The said Adam is present and does not defend the customary words of court. Therefore let him make satisfaction to the said Thomas and be in mercy for the unjust detainer; fine, 6 d.; pledge, his over-coat.

Court of the Fair on the next Tuesday in the eighth year of Abbot William.

Reginald Pickard of Stamford came and confessed by his own mouth 3 that he sold to Peter Redhood of London [?] a ring of brass for 5½ d. saying that the said ring was of the purest gold and that he and a one-eyed man found it on the last Sunday in the church of S. Ives near the cross. Therefore it is considered that the said Reginald do make satisfaction to the said Peter for the 5½ d. and be in mercy for the trespass; he is poor; pledge, his body.

Thomas Weston of Northampton confessed in court that he is bound to John Franks of Hulme in 5 s. of silver which the said John lent him and further in 20 s. for which the said John became his pledge to Richard of Barton and by reason of this suretyship suffered damage. Therefore by judgment of the court the said Thomas shall make satisfaction to the said John for the said money and be in mercy for the unjust detainer; fine, 12 d.; pledge, two horses and a cart.

force.
2 80 April.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to a common custom the bargain is bound by a drink. In French, if not in English law, this solemnity seems to have had a legal

Literally 'through the middle of his mouth,' i.e. open-mouthed.

# Curia Ferie die Mercurii proxima sequenti scil. die Apostolorum Philippi et Jacobi anno supradicto.

Adam Waderoue queritur de Galfrido de Oxon' eo quod ei injuste detinet et deforciat tres sol. et unum den. et ideo injuste quod ubi idem G. venit die Lune ultimo preterito in feria S. Yvonis ex opposito domus Rogeri filii Alexandri et emit de eodem Adam v. vellera lane pro tribus sol. et duobus den. dictus G. non solvit eidem nisi unum den. tantum, et sic cum predictis tribus sol. et uno den. et cum predictis v. velleribus lane recessit et adhuc detinet et adhuc est in seysina ad dampnum et vituperium suum dim. mar., et ducit sectam.

Dictus Galfridus presens fuit defendit verba curie et dampnum et vituperium dicti Ade dim. mar., et recognovit quandam veritatem, dicens se non posse dedicere quin dictam lanam emit pro tribus sol. et duobus den. (et de eadem fuit in seysina) sicut dictus Adam ipsum incopavit set dixit quod dictus Adam illam lanam ei vendidit pro pondere viij. librarum et dimidie lane, de quo pondere invenit defectum de j. libra, et quod idem Galfridus promptus fuit semper et paratus ad solvendum predicto Ade pecuniam supradictam ita quod idem Adam ei allocaret de eadem pecunia valorem unius libre lane que de pondere viij. librarum et dimidie deficiebat, optulit se sufficienter probare si curia consideraverit. Et datus est ei dies ad probandum in crastinum cum tercia manu sua.

### Curia Ferie die Jovis proxima sequenti anno supradicto.

Galfridus de Oxo. 'a venit et sufficienter probavit cum tercia manu sua quod alto modo non detinet Ade Waderoue tres sol. et unum den. pro v. velleribus lane que Adam eidem vendidit nisi sub hac forma quod lana eadem non ponderavit viij. lib. et dim. sicut dictus Adam ei promisit in vendicione,

Proba.

the sale was made, but weighed 1 lb. less. Therefore by judgment of the court the said Geoffrey may deduct from the said 3 s. 1 d. the value of 1 lb. of wool, and shall pay to the said Adam the whole of the residue, to wit 2 s. 8½ d.; pledges, John of Depe and Ralph of Dunton. And let the said Adam be in mercy for his false claim; fine, 6 d.; pledges, William Bishop and Elias the Hundredor.

Court of the Fair on Friday the day of the Invention of Holy Cross' in the said year.

. . . . . . .

Simon Chapman of Swavesey by Robert of Torcenai his attorney complains of Richard of Boston, for that whereas he [Simon] was in the king's highway opposite the house of Roger Lomb in the vill of S. Ives on Wednesday last and had in his hand a fleece of wool for sale, came the said Richard and bargained [for] the said fleece, and Simon granted it him for 20 d., but the said Richard was not content to have it at this price but offered 14 d. and insisted that any way he would have it at that price, and because the said Simon would not consent to this, he, Richard assaulted him and took him by the throat and carried off the said fleece and unjustly detains it and still is in seisin of it against the peace of the lord abbot and his bailiffs and to the damage and dishonour of the said Simon 6 s. 8 d.; and he produces suit.

The said Richard is present and defends the words of court and the damage and dishonour of the said Simon [to the amount of] 6 s. 8 d., and [to prove] that he did not assault the said Simon or take him by the throat as he is charged with having done he is ready to do what the court shall consider. And he is at his law. Pledges for his law, Hugh brother of . . . and Thomas Springs of Boston. And as to the said fleece of which the said Simon says that he, the said Richard, is still in possession and seisin, and

idem Ricardus pellem predictam itaque non asportavit petit quod per bonam inquisicionem mercatorum et proximorum vicinorum inquiratur.<sup>1</sup>

. . . . . . .

Henricus le Chapman de Ward' et Emma uxor ejus queruntur de Ricardo le Bocher de S. Botulpho eo quod ubi Henricus et Emma habuerunt j. pernam porci (die Jovis ultimo preterito) in villa S. Yvonis in regia via ex opposito domus Rogeri Lomb ad vendendum precii . . . d. venit predictus Ricardus et dictam pernam barganavit et contra pacem domini et ballivorum ferie illam asportavit absque solucione alicujus denarii et adhuc est in seysina ad dampnum et vituperium suum trium sol., et duc's sectam.

Predictus Ricardus presens defendit verba curie et recognovit unam veritatem dicens se non posse dedicere quin pernam predictam asportavit et de eadem est in seysina, et hac racione quod predicta Emma occupavit locum quem idem Ricardus conduxit in feria pro suis denariis nec voluit se de loco codem amovere, et quod idem Ricardus pernam illam aliter non asportavit, petit quod inquiratur per bonam inquisicionem mercatorum et vicinorum.

Inquisicio

avig. d.

<sup>2</sup>Curia Ferie die Sabbati proxima sequenti anno supradicto.

Ricardus de S. Botulpho venit et fecit sufficientem legem Roberto le Thorcheneys attornato Simonis le Chapman de Swaues' quod ipsum non insultavit in regia via ex opposito domus Rogeri Lomb. Ideo idem Ricardus recedat quietus, et dictus Simon pro falso clamore in misericordia xviij. d., plegii Henricus de Lolleworth' et Robertus Torcheneys.

Ricardus Koket, Robertus Eureman de la Neyeland',

wage it for the asportation and detinue.

Why does not Richard wage his law as to both parts of the charge against him? Surely if he might wage it for the assault, he might

<sup>2</sup> ducit or ducunt.

m. 2 d.

Sudbury, Peter Merchant, William Francis, Hugh of Broughton, Henry of Cressinghall, William of Dunstable and Richard Paterner being sworn say upon their oath that Richard of Boston by force and violence took from the hands of Simon Chapman of Swavesey a fleece of wool price 20 d. and delivered it to a certain Roman and thus was unlawfully in seisin of it. Therefore let him make satisfaction to the said Simon for the said fleece and for his damage, to wit, 18 d. taxed by the jurors and be in mercy for his trespass; fine, 12 d.; pledges, Thomas Springs and John of Boston.

Thomas of London complains of Maud wife of John Woodfull, for that whereas the said Thomas was on Thursday last in the house of . . . . donel of St. Ives in a certain bakehouse which he had hired for the purpose of making bread for the use of the merchants and others frequenting the fair, the said Maud against the peace of the lord Abbot and of the bailiffs of the fair came into the bakehouse of the said Thomas and attacked the wife of the said Thomas with contumelious words calling her whore and sorceress and violently assaulted her with a certain 'gate' of yeast, and poured it over the white meal of the said Thomas to his damage 3 d. and to his dishonour 6 s. 8 d. since she was guilty of hamsoken against him; and he produces suit.

The said Maud is present and defends the words of court and the damage and dishonour of the said Thomas, and is ready to do what the court shall award [to prove] that she did not assault [Thomas's wife] with vile words or pour yeast over the meal of the said Thomas so that he was damaged to the amount of 3 d. And she is at her law. Pledges for her law, Robert Durant and William of Eltisley. As to the hamsoken which the said Thomas alleges against the said Maud let an inquest be taken of merchants and next neighbours.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The gata is a measure used for grain and the like; see Glossary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> More of this below.

vi. d.

pre' est

Les

Convictum est per vicinos et mercatores jur' quod Ricardus de S. Botulpho alio modo non cepit unam pernam porci de manibus Emme uxoris Henrici le Chapman de Wardeboys nisi pro transgressione sua eo quod occupavit frontem domus idem . . . conduxit de Priore de S. Yvone. Iccirco consideratum est quod solvat dicte Emme pernam predictam precii xiiij. d., et prefata Emma . . . clamore et transgressione in misericordia vj. d., plegius Thomas Bac.

. . . . . . .

Johannes de Beston' de Notingham queritur de Gileberto de Castreton' de Stanford' de decem lib. injuste detentis et de decem lib. de dampnis. Ideo preceptum est quod dictus G. distringatur ad respondendum. Et predictus Johannes dat domino pro auxilio habendo terciam partem tocius pecunie supradicte, plegius de prosequendo Johannes Colle.

Curia Ferie die Lune in festo S. Johannis ante Portam Latinam anno W. Abbatis viii\*.

Radulfus Raven queritur de Alano Sutore de S. Yvone eo quod injuste ei detinet et deforciat octo sol. argenti, et ideo injuste quod ubi idem Radulfus fuit domo sua in S. Ivone die S. Laurencii ultimo preterito venit predictus Alanus et barganavit coria equorum et boum tannata de codem Radulfo pro quibus coriis solvisse debuit dicto Radulfo predictos octo sol. ad festum S. Michaelis proximo sequens quos nondum solvit set contra pacem domini Abbatis et ballivorum suorum hucusque detinuit ad dampnum et vituperium suum dim. m., et ducit sectam.

Predictus Alanus presens defendit totum de verbo ad verbum. Et est ad legem. Plegii Ricardus fil' is Reginaldi de S. Ivone et Willelmus de Eltesle.

. . . . . . .

zii. d.

# Curia Ferie die Martis proximo sequenti anno supradicto.

Alanus Sutor de S. Ivone venit et sufficier r legem fecit Radulfo Rauen. Ideo idem Alanus quietur et dictus Radulfus pro falso clamore in misericordia vj. d. Plegius Elyas Hundredarius.

. . . . . . .

Matildis Wodeful venit et retraxit se de lege sua versus Thomam de London'. Iccirco idem Thomas recuperet dampna sua per taxacionem curie, et dicta Matildis in misericordia xij. d., plegii Ricardus de Grafton' et Reginaldus filius Alexandri.

. . . . . . .

Jurati dicunt quod Matildis Wadeful fecit hampsok's uper Thomam de Lond' die Jovis ultimo preterito. Ideo satisfaciat ei et pro transgressione in misericordia, supra. Plegii Ricardus de Grafton' et Reginaldus filius Alexandri.

. . . . . . .

Preceptum est Elye distringere communitatem Leycestr' ad respondendum Willelmo de Fletebrigge et Amicie uxori sue. Et de eadem communitate attachiati sunt Alanus Parser, Adam cum Naso et Robertus Houel per iij. diker' de coriis bovinis ccc. de pell' multon' et per vj. saccos lane, et Willelmus de Monte Sorelli de Leycestr' attachiatus est per cc. pell' multon' que sunt in custodia Stephani Mercatoris.

• • • • • •

#### 'Curia Ferie die Mercurii proxima post festum S. Johannis ante Portam Latinam anno W. Abbatis viii\*.

. . . . . . .

Willelmus de Fletebrigge et Amicia uxor ejus queruntur de Thoma de Couentre de Leycestr' cui Alanus Pistor, Adam cum Naso, Robertus Houel et Willelmus de Monte Sorelli burgenses et mercatores Leycestr' attachiati pares sunt et participes et de eadem communitate Leycestr' injuste eis detinet et deforciat lv. sol. ij. den. ob. de summa decem marcarum pro uno sacco lane quem quidem Henricus Cok' pater predicte Amicie cujus heres ipsa est i predicto Thome de Couentre vendidit in villa de Leycestr' in domo ejusdem Henrici vigilia Ascenscionis Domini proximo futura erunt tres anni elapsi, quos quidem lv. sol. ij. d. ob. predictus Thomas debuit solvisse ad festum S. Michaelis proximo sequens et nondum solvit, unde dicti Willelmus et Amicia sequebantur ad pacand' pecuniam supradictam in curia de Leycestr' portantes secum talliam de predictis lv. s. ij.d. ob. quam quidem talliam predictus Henricus Cok' eis tradiderat in extremis suis ad exigendam pecuniam predictam, et predicti Alanus, Adam et alii socii sui superius attachiati insimul cum ceteris de communitate Leycestr' eisdem Willelmo et Amicie de justicia defecerunt, unde idem Willelmus et Amicia vocant ipsos pariter et alios de eadem communitate detentores deforciatores et debiti predicti principales debitores ad dampnum et vituperiuum suum xl. s. et duc' sectam.

Dicti vero Alanus, Adam, Robertus et Willelmus presentes defendunt pacem domini Abbatis et ballivorum ferie infractam et dampnum dictorum Willelmi et Amicie xl.s., et prompti sunt ad verificandum quocumque modo curis consideraverit quod dictus Thomas de Couentre nunquam par nec particeps nec ad scot et lot cum eisdem nec de communitate Leycestr' fuit.

Et predicti Willelmus et Amicia petunt judicium de dictis Alano, Ada, Roberto et Willelmo tanquam de indefensis eo quod non defenderunt ubi incopati fuerunt tanquam detentores deforciatores et principales debitores debiti supradicti.

Et curia dicit quod dicti Alanus, Adam, Robertus et Willelmus et alii de communitate Leycestr' attachiati sufficienter non responderunt ad incopamentum, nec defenderunt

Observe this allegation that Amice is the creditor's heir. Could the heir still sue for debts due to the ancestor quite unconnected with land?

I. P.

verba que fuerint defendenda.¹ Iccirco per consideracionem curie et mercatorum predicti Willelmus de Fletebrigge et Amicia uxor ejus recuperent predictos lv. s. ij. d. et ob. una cum dampnis suis et pro injusta detencione sunt in misericordia x. s. et unusquisque eorum est plegius alterius.

## Curia Ferie die Jovis proximo sequenti anno supradicto.

Leticia que fuit uxor Gatte Ape de S. Yvone queritur de Fr. Ricardo monacho et celerario de Kyrkested eo quod vi et injuste ei detinet et deforciat (contra pacem domini Abbatis et ballivorum suorum) dim. m. argenti de anno proximo preterito et aliam dim. m. de anno presenti, et ideo injuste quod ubi quidam Willelmus monachus et celerarius de Kyrkestede predecessor istius Ricardi celerarii die Lune proxima ante Hokeday anno ab Incarnacione me. cc°. lx°. iij°. accessit ad Godefridum virum ejusdem Leticie cujus heres et executor ipsa est in domo ejusdem Godefridi quam habuit versus aquam ex parte orientali parochialis ecclesie in villa S. Ivonis et conduxit ab eodem Godefrido domos suas predictas, ita quod ipse (W.) celerarius et alii celerarii successores sui et alii de Kirkested' et de Valle Dei 2 monachi quos sibi voluerint associare domos predictas cum una coquina et stabulo ad quatuor equos in eadem coquina quam dictus Godefridus ad opus eorundem fecit edificare tenerent et haberent in perpetuum tempore nundinarum pro dim. m. annuatim inde solvenda sive venerint sive non, idem Ricardus celerarius anno preterito et presenti a predictis domibus se subtraxit, per quam subtractionem domus predicte hactenus sunt vacue et ruinose et dim. m. de anno preterito et aliam dim. m. de anno pre-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The charge was that by denying justice in their court at Leicester they became principal debtors, so the denial that Thomas was a member of their community, which

might have discharged them of any subsidiary liability for his trade debts, was insufficient.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kirkstead and Vaudey were Cistercian houses in Lincolnshire.

senti injuste detinet et deforciat contra pacem domini et ballivorum suorum ad dampnum et vituperium ejusdem Leticie xl. s., et ducit sectam.

Dictus vero Ricardus celerarius presens defendit per placitatorem suum vim et injuriam et pacem domini Abbatis et ballivorum suorum infractam et dampnum et vituperium ejusdem Leticie xl. s. et petit judicium de incopamento predicte Leticie desicut ipsa Leticia incopavit ipsum Ricardum de facto et contracto cujusdam Willelmi celerarii de Kirkestede predecessoris sui quod cum Godefrido Ape viro ejusdem Leticie debuit fecisse racione quod ipse Willelmus ejus predecessor nunquam fuit, nec potuit esse, nec in aliquo Abbatem de Kirkestede vel aliquem de domo eadem ligare vel obligare, nec aliquod factum suum stabile facere signo suo proprio, racione quod ipse W. (nou fuit perpetuus set) ad voluntatem Abbatis potuit amoveri, dicens se nullum habere predecessorem nisi suum Abbatem vel Priorem qui ipsum et domum de Kirkested' potuit ligare.

Et Leticia venit et petit judicium de dicto Ricardo celerario tanquam indefenso desicut ipsa narrando incopavit dictum Ricardum de personali facto de injusta detencione et deforciacione unius dim. m. de anno preterito et de dim. m. de anno presenti racione quod ipse Ricardus allegavit et defendit statum Abbatis sui et sui Prioris et non statum suum proprium.

Unde predictus Ricardus celerarius pro insufficienti responso satisfaciat predicte Leticie de predicta marca et de dampnis suis, et pro injusta detencione in misericordia vj. sol. viij. d. Solvit Elie.

dim. m.

still the case shows a curious disregard of any line between breach of contract and delict. The cellarer is charged with breaking the peace by not paying a debt. Again, to raise another point, why was not this monk dead to the world? Did the exigencies of the Cistersian wool trade cause the courts merchant

At a subsequent court Letice released the cellarer and his abbot in consideration of the surrender of the lease, which, as now appears, was made by indenture (per cyrographum).

The judgment seems to be due solely to a pleader's error in not sufficiently traversing the count;

### 'Curia Ferie die Sabbati sequenti anno W. Abbatis viii.

. . . . . . .

Hugo de Swyneford queritur de Thoma de Torallo le Kanevacer, plegius de respondendo Hugo le Coynte. Et dictus Thomas venit et incopatus est et convictus quod per quendam Simonem le Blake de S. Edmundo vendidit canobum per falsam ulnam in selda sua cui Radulfus le Balauncer, Robertus de le Pole, et Johannes filius Thome de Porta associati sunt in eadem selda. Quare preceptum est quod omnia bona dicti Thome teneantur in manu donec per mercatores fiat inde judicium. Et bona aliorum sociorum suorum tradita sunt dominis Roberto de Meldeburn' et Philippo de Barton' ita quod de dictis bonis respondeant vel de xx. lib. Manucaptores Simonis le Blake, Johannes de S. Albano, Gilebertus de Douton', Willelmus de Bolton' et Elyas Hundredarius.

#### Curia Ferie die Lune sequenti anno supradicto.

. . . . . .

De Bruno de S. Michaele pro auxilio habendo versus Robertum de Donewyz burgensem de Norwyc' ad debitum suum recuperandum j. m. et si debitum non recuperet in instantibus nundinis dabit iiij. s. Plegius de prosequendo Nicholaus Caperun. Et preceptum est quod dictus Robertus atachietur si inveniatur, sin autem distringatur tota communitas de Norwyc'. (Unde de communitate atachiati sunt Walterus le Troner et Reginaldus de Wreningham per ij: saccos lane, et Katerina de Norweye per j. pinnok' pannorum. Postea Katerina fuit relaxata.)

. . . . . . .

to disregard the ordinary rules about civil death? Lastly, observe the unusually heavy americanent. Is the court of a Benedictine Abbot

sorry when it catches a Cistercian in fault?

1 m. 8 d. From the court held on the Friday no extracts are made.

pre' cat

j. m. vel ilij. s. Memdum

Walterus Barun atornatus villate de Gravele queritur de Rogero de S. Noto cementario. Plegius de prosequendo, Ger' West, pleg' def', corpus suum. (Postea concordati sunt ita quod dictus Rogerus prostrabit totum murum inter ecclesiam de Gravele et cancellum usque ad arcum lapideum et incipiet ad operandum ibidem die Lune post festum S. Dunstani et sic de die in diem continuabit operacionem suam quousque murus ille reedificetur competenter, et parochiani dabunt ei iij. s. ij. d. et de qualibet domo habebit j. garbam frumenti post autumpnum.)

Curia Ferie die Martis ante festum S. Dunstani anno eodem.

Johannes de Rysseburk' clericus domine Regine per Ricardum de Touleslund' atornatum suum queritur de Roberto Russel et de Roberto Tord de Stanford' eo quod ubi ipse Johannes venit in feria Stanford' ultimo preterita die Jovis proxima post mediam quadragesimam anno eodem et emit de predictis Roberto Rossel et Roberto Tord unum equum pro xxiiij. s. nec ipsos denarios promptos habuit ad solvendum, unde convenit inter ipsum et predictos Robertum et Robertum quod traderet eis argentum dei et dimitteret eis nomine vadii duos equos quorum unus fuit de precio xx. s. et alius de precio ij. m. donec veniret et portaret eisdem predictos xxiiij. s., predicti Robertus Russel et Robertus Tord et alii duo socii corum scil. Henricus de Coreby et Michael de Cantuaria qui modo sunt absentes. contra pacem domini et ballivorum dictos duos equos vendiderunt et elongaverunt et pecuniam pro eisdem receptam detinuerunt et deforciaverunt et garcionem ejusdem Johannis spoliaverunt de j. herigaldo bludi <sup>2</sup> precii

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Graveley is a small village in Cambridgeshire near St. Neot's. Would the capacity of this township and to suc, have been admitted in the king's courts? I doubt it, but

the legal idea of a corporation was yet in its infancy, and observe that the township is here acting qua parish to contract, to appoint an attorney, . bound, at least by religion, to keep its church in repair.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; For these words see Glossary.

of 7 s. silver in coin to his [John's] damage and dishonour 100 s.; and he produces suit.

The said Robert Russell and the other Robert are present and defend tort and force and the damage of the said John [to the amount of] 100 s. and they denied expressly and word by word that they ever sold any horse to the said John in Stamford fair or made any contract with him on the day mentioned in his allegation or sold the horses of the said John; and [to prove] this, they are ready to do what the court awards. And they are at their law. Pledges for the law of each of them, Henry Anphelys and Ralph Cappe. And afterwards the said Robert Russell and the other Robert prayed that they might at once make their law in court. And Robert Russell came and began to make his law, to wit, he and only two others with him so that they were short of three men. And Robert Tord offered himself likewise to make his law and failed altogether in his law for that he had no one who would make his law with him. Therefore by judgment of the court let the said Robert and Robert make satisfaction to the said John for his damages and both are in mercy for the trespass. Fine of Robert Russell 1 ; pledge, his body; fine of Robert Tord 1 ; pledge, his body.

And as to the assertion of John of Risborough that his page was plundered of a surcoat, price 8 s., and of 7 s. in coin <sup>2</sup>

. . . . . . .

John Goldsmith of Bury complains of Odo of Thorpe and William of Thorpe, for that whereas the said John came on Ash Wednesday last and bought of the said Odo and William through one Simon Blake their attorney and chapman in the said business eleven score sheep skins at the price of 8 d. per skin, in respect of which skins he had given them a God's penny by way of earnest in hand paid, and which skins he, John, was to have had on the next Monday or within eight days after at the latest, and the said

<sup>1</sup> Blank spaces are left.

that we do not know what was the result of this part of the case.

idem Johannes per atornatum suum bis pelles predictas quesivit et misit eisdem Odoni et Willelmo ut sibi pelles easdem mitterent, et ipsi hactenus nec de pellibus nec de pecunia ei respondere voluerunt set contra pacem domini et ballivorum omnino retinuerunt ad dampnum et vituperium suum xl. s. et ducit sectam.

Predicti Odo et Willelmus presentes defendunt totum de verbo ad verbum. Et sunt ad legem. Plegii Odonis de lege, Stephanus de Middelton' et Petrus de Stanford'. Plegii Willelmi de lege, Ordemarus de Thorp et Thomas Ordemere.

Brunus de S. Michaele de Burdeus queritur de Waltero le Tronur et de Reginaldo de Wrenningham (de Norwic') paribus et participibus et communares ' cuidam Roberto de Donewyc' (de Norwic') et Johanni filio suo, eo quod ipsi Walterus et Reginaldus pariter cum predictis Roberto et Johanni vi et injuste ei detinent et deforciant viij. libr. argenti de summa viij. lib. et x. s. quos eidem Bruno vel cuicunque de suis scriptum (obligatorium) inter ipsos confectum portanti solvisse debuerunt ad Nat. S. Joh. Bapt. anno gracie mº. ccº. lxxº. quarto pro vinis que idem Brunus vendidit predictis Roberto et Johanni in nundinis 8. Botulphi die Veneris proxima ante festum S. Jacobi a. d. mº. ccº. lxxº. tercio, ad quam pecuniam perquirendam predictus Brunus (et sui) sepius apud S. Botulphum et apud Norwyc' laboraverunt nec aliquid hactenus de eadem pecunia habere potuerunt ad dampnum ejusdem Bruni c. s. et ducit sectam et scriptum.

Predicti Walterus et Reginaldus presentes desenderunt verba curie que sunt defendenda et allegaverunt primam districtionem. Court of the Fair on Wednesday before the feast of S. Dunstan in the eighth year of Abbot William.

Odo of Thorpe and William of Thorpe came and sufficiently made their law against John Goldsmith of S. Albans. Therefore they retired quit and the said John is in mercy for the false claim; fine, 12 d.; pledge, Godfrey of Lynn.

Let all the merchants of all the commonalties that are in the fair of S. Ives be summoned to come to-morrow before the steward to adjudge and provide that Thomas de Toraux, Ralph Balancer, Robert Pole, and John son of Thomas at Gate, merchants selling canvas, have justice and equity in the matter of Simon Blake of Bury servant of the said Thomas and his fellows who was found in their booth measuring canvas with a false ell and selling it. Pledge for Thomas's appearance, all his goods. Pledge for the other three, Sir Richard Melbourne to the amount of £20.

John Beeston of Nottingham complains of Gilbert Chesterton of Stamford for that on Ascension Day in the first year of King Edward [A.D. 1273] he by a false suggestion caused him to be attached and arrested by seven sacks of wool in the vill of Graffham saying that he, John, was of the commonalty of Nottingham peer and parcener of Ralph Beeston of Nottingham and Robert Bere of the same place, from whom the said Gilbert was demanding a certain sum of money, by reason of which distress there made the said John lost in his trade there and elsewhere the sum of £10, and afterwards the said Gilbert on Saturday next before the Nativity of S. Mary last past by a similar suggestion caused the said John to be distrained for the said Ralph and Robert in the vill of Huntingdon unjustly and without cause, whereas the said John had

Graham sufficienter probavit coram ballivis ubi atachiatus fuit per predictum Gilebertum quod par nec particeps fuit predictis Radulfo de Beston et Roberto le Ber, et dictus G. obligavit se per plegios apud Hunt' ad solvendum dicto Johanni x. lib. argenti si probaverit (apud Graham) quod de communitate dictorum Radulfi et Roberti non extiterit. Propter quod idem Johannes accessit incontinenti apud Graham et tulit literam patentem de ballivis quod sufficienter probavit coram eis quod par nec particeps dictorum Roberti et Radulfi non fuit. Quare idem Johannes dicit dictum G. hactenus esse deforciatorem et detentorem dictarum x. libr. ad dampnum suum c. s., et ducit sectam.

Dictus Gilebertus presens defendit (pacem domini infractam et) vim et injuriam et dampnum predicti Johannis x. lib. et. c. s. et contractum x. lib. contra ipsum et sectam suam de verbo ad verbum. Et est ad legem. Plegii de lege, Johannes de Snetesham et Willelmus Brisclaunce.

# Curia Ferie die Jovis proxima sequenti anno supradicto.

Quia Simon le Blake de S. Edmundo inventus fuit per ballivos ferie ulnando canobum in selda Thome de Torallo, Radulfi le Balauncer, Roberti de la Pole, et Johannis filii Thome de Porta per falsam ulnam contra assisam regni, incopati fuerunt tam mercatores predicti quam predictus Simon tanquam iniquitati predicte consencientes, unde dictus Thomas et socii sui supérius nominati optulerunt se verificare sive per inquisicionem mercatorum et aliorum sive law or in any other way as the court shall consider that they are not guilty thereof. And for that the said Simon confessed in full court that he measured canvas with the said false ell and that he broke and hid the said ell so soon as the bailiffs perceived it was against the assize, it was ordered that his body be arrested. And afterwards at the instance of the merchants the said Simon was delivered to the following manucaptors, to wit, William of Bolton, Randolph of Friskely, John of Stamford, and John of Boston that they might answer for his body on the morrow. And the said merchants give 40 s. to the lord for his grace and favour: pledge, Robert of Melbourne by Henry Swinford [his attorney].

Thomas of Coventry plaintiff appeared against William of Fleetbridge and Amice his [wife] charging them with having brought a false accusation in the present fair against the commonalty of Leicester and caused them [the commonalty] to lose 55 s. 2½ d. as being the peers and commoners of the said Thomas to his [?] no small damage. The said William and Amice were present and did not defend the words of court and would not answer on the ground that they are, so they say, of the commonalty of London. (Reserved for the Abbot's hearing.)

William of Bolton complains of John Goldsmith of S. Albans, for that whereas the said John came into this court during the present fair and prayed the said William to be of counsel and aid for Simon Blake of Bury whom the bailiffs of this fair had found measuring with a false rod and the said Simon Blake confessed in full court that he received the said rod by the hands and bailment of one Thomas de Toraux merchant of Rouen whom he thereof vouched to warranty, and the said William at the instance of the said John and for 4 s. of silver undertook to defend

<sup>1</sup> For the earlier proceedings, see above, p. 145.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Citizens of London have the privilege of being sued only in their own courts.

posse suum) defendere statum predicti Simonis ne de suo corpore haberet vituperium et periculum, ita tamen quod idem S. se non subtraheret de querela sua quin sectam faceret penes predictum Thomam de Torallo, venit dictus J. de S. Albano et injuste detinet et deforciat ei (Willelmo) dictos iiij. s. et per incitamentum suum fecit dictum S. se subtrahere versus mercatorem predictum penes quem dictus W. habuisse credidit maximam summam pecunie, ad dampnum suum x. m. et ducit sectam.

Predictus Johannes presens fuit, non defendit verba curie, set dixit precise quod non debuit nec voluit in ista curia respondere racione quod est de communitate London' ut dicit (quod quidem testificatum fuit per Ricardum de Meldeburne, Walterum le Poter; Anquitinum le Mercer qui quidem A. ipsum extra cur' tanquam j. de communitate . . . . ¹). Et dictus Willelmus petit judicium de eo tanquam de indefenso.

<sup>3</sup> Johannes de Lamehethe queritur de Ricardo de Graham co quod ubi idem Johannes fuit in selda sua in ultimis nundinis Stanf' die Mercurii proxima post clausum Pasche anno codem venit dictus Ricardus et fecit pactum cum codem Johanne ad comorandum in suo servicio per annum sequentem pro x. sol. argenti, per quod pactum dictus Ricardus venit et stetit cum codem Johanne usque diem Lune proximam ante festum S. Dunstani proximo sequentem, ad quem diem dictus Ricardus ipsum Johannem refutavit, et extra servicium suum in villa S. Ivonis reliquid,<sup>3</sup> et species ut de gingibere, cetewaud <sup>4</sup> et de aliis minutis speciebus ad valenciam ix. sol. quas dictus Johannes usque ad seldam predicti Ricardi secum portaverat contra pacem domini et ballivorum suorum injuste detinuit et

<sup>1</sup> This passage is interpolated in the margin, which now is damaged.

Let

adhuc detinet et deforciat ad dampnum predicti Johannis dim. m. et ducit sectam.

Dictus Ricardus presens defendit vim et injuriam et pacem domini Abbatis et ballivorum suorum infractam et dampnum et vituperium predicti Johannis dim. m. contra predictum Johannem de Lamehethe et sectam suam de verbo ad verbum. Et est ad legem. Plegii de lege Willelmus de Aswell' et Ricardus de Belvero. De contracto inter ipsos confecto per convencionem (ut dictus Johannes dicit) noluit predictus Ricardus dedicere nec potuit, set dixit quod non remansit in ipsum quod dictus Johannes! Iccirco consideratum est quod idem recessit ab eo. Johannes 2 predicto Ricardo 3 serviat usque ad finem termini sui si velit, et si idem Johannes predictos ix. sol. pro speciebus supradictis versus predictum Ricardum velit recuperare, attachiet ipsum ad prosequendum jus suum per novum attachiamentum.

Curia Ferie die Sabbati proxima ante festum S. Dunstani anno W. Abbatis viii\*.

. . . . . . .

Memd.

iiij. s.

Memorandum quod de xx. sol. et ij. d. traditis Gatte Ape tanquam in equa manu in ultimis nundinis S. Ivonis de carbone vendito et atachiato per querelam Nicholai de Thirninge super communitate de Wygenhale, soluti sunt in instantibus nundinis cuidam Ade Selide de Wygenhale per manum relicte Gatte Ape vj. sol. et ij. den. in presencia S. de Sythyngedon tunc senescallo ferie, et domino Abbate iiij. sol. de fine dicti Ade pro auxilio habendo, et residuum recipiet dictus Adam ad festum S. Laurencii a. r. r. Edw. tercio. Et predictus Adam invenit plegios scil. Hugonem Brungere et Symonem Kenstan de Wygenhale ad respondendum de pecunia supradicta ballivis ferie si contigerit dictum

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corr. Ricardus. The clerk seems to have transposed the names of the parties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Corr. Ricardus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Corr. Johanni

Nicholaum vel aliquem de suis illam pecuniam decetero exigere versus summam l. sol. ix. den. et ob. cum dampnis suis xl. sol. in quibus Adam de Thirninge par et particepe dicti Ade Selide predicto Nicholao tenebatur.

Bartholomeus Aurifaber et Galfridus de Cheldedewe fuerunt plegii Johannis Aurifabri de S. Albano ad respondendum Willelmo de Boltone. Et dictus Johannes fuit incopatus die Veneris precedenti et noluit adversario suo respondere nec stare recto in curia set in contemptu Abbatis et ballivorum suorum recessit a curia. Ideo distr'.

Curia Ferie die Lune proxima post festum S. Dunstani anno W. Abbatis viii\*.

Ricardus de Ely queritur de Alicia le Cres eo quod vi et injuste ei detinet et deforciat ij. sol., et ideo injuste quod ubi eadem Alicia venit ad predictum Ricardum ad domum Radulfi Aspelon in villa S. Yvonis die Sabbati ultimo preterito fuerunt viij. dies elapsi et emit de eodem Ricardo panem scil. wastellos, simenellos, et alium panem ad valenciam ij. sol. quos quidem den. dicta Alicia debuit solvisse eidem Ricardo die eadem et nondum solvit set contra pacem domini et ballivorum suorum huc usque retinuit

Predicta Alicia venit et defendit verba curie et dampnum predicti Ricardi ij. sol. et dicit quod noluit nec potuit dedicere quin panem ad valenciam ij. sol. de predicto Ricardo emerat die illo quo dictus Ricardus dixit in incopamento suo et bene recognovit predictum debitum, set quod idem Ricardus dedit eidem Alicie respectum de solucione

ad dampnum predicti Ricardi ij. sol. et ducit sectam.

1 Supply cos or ballicos.

IAI

pecunie supradicte facienda usque ad hunc diem Sabbati proximo futurum, prompta est facere quod curia consideraverit. Et est ad legem. Plegii legis Ricardus filius Wiscardi et Gilebertus de Denton'.

Curia Ferie die Martis proxima sequenti anno W. Abbetis

viii.

Johannem de Beston' de Notingham optulit se versus Johannem de Warington' de Stamford', Ricardum de Boudon', Johannem de Rippes et alium Johannem de Rippes, Godefridum de Roynham, Eustachium de Stanford', Galfridum le Mercer, Walterum le Mercer, Willelmum Briselaunce et Philippum Clericum pares et communares Gileberti de Castreton' qui quidem burgenses et mercatores allegarunt primam districtionem die Sabbati ultimo preterito et habuerunt diem usque diem hodiernum et nullus eorum venit. Ideo consideratum est quod secundo distringantur.

Willelmus de Bolton' queritur de Johanne de Rydone et de sociis suis pistoribus superius nominatis eo quod ipse pariter cum aliis injuste ei detinent et deforciant x. sol. quos quidam Walterus de Coventre pistor nomine ipsius Johannis et aliorum pistorum sibi Willelmo et tribus sociis suis narratoribus promiserat dominica proxima ante festum S. Dunstani a. r. r. Edw. iij°. in prioratu S. Ivonis ante hostium aule hospicii ita quod ipse Willelmus et socii sui essent in auxilio eidem Johanni et sociis suis pistoribus et non gravarent ipsos penes ballivos ferie ponderantes panes eorum, quos quidem x. sol. contra pacem domini Abbatis et ballivorum ferie detinuerunt ad dampnum predicti Willelmi et sociorum suorum narratorum dim. m. et duc' sectam.

Predictus Johannes de Rydone presens non defendit verba curie que sunt defendenda, quare dictus Willelmus the said William prays judgment of him as of one undefended. The case is respited until to-morrow.

.

Court on Wednesday next to wit, the Vigil of the Ascension in the eighth year of Abbot William.

'Alice Crese was unable to make her law to Richard of Ely. Therefore let her make satisfaction to him with 2 s. and for his damage, and be in mercy for her trespass; pledge, her body.

Court of the Fair on the Friday next after the feast of S. Dunstan.

William of Bolton came and prayed judgment on the count which on last Tuesday he counted against John of Rydon baker, and on the answer of the said John. And the court says that on the said Tuesday when the count was counted the said John made an insufficient answer thereto and did not defend the words of court which ought to be defended. Therefore it is considered that he make satisfaction to the said William with 2s. 6d. for his damages as taxed by the court; and the said William gives the money to the lord Abbot in respect of an amercement [incurred by him in another cause] and Brother L. [on the Abbot's behalf] releases 6d. And let the said John be in mercy for his trespass; pledges, Thomas of London and Walter of Coventry.

See above, p. 158.

<sup>2</sup> See above, p. 159.

# VI. COURT OF THE ABBOT OF BATTLE'S MANOR OF BRIGHTWALTHAM.

#### INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

THE roll now to be used is in the Record Office (Augmentation Office Court Rolls, Portf. 5, No. 20), a roll of many membranes. Our extracts are taken from the unusually long membrane.

The village of Brightwaltham-for into this a name which should certainly end in 'ton,' and which lately was or even yet is pronounced as Brickleton, has been corrupted—lies in Berkshire a few miles south of the Ridgeway. Already when Domesday Book (i. 59 b) was being made the Abbot of Battle held it in chief of the king, and had there 10 villani, 18 bordarii and 3 servi. One reason for making extracts from its rolls is that a full 'extent' of even date with our extracts is found in the Custumal of Battle Abbey edited for the Camden Society by Mr. Scargill-Bird (p. 58). In 1284 the core of the manor consisted of 10 virgate tenements and 17 half-virgate tenements: these figures recall those of 1086; but some land had been assarted and was held as 'gavel-land' partly by the virgators and half-virgaters and partly by others. But the tenants of all these tenements were reckoned to be personally unfree:-the abbot can oblige any of them to serve as reeve, ' for all of them are his villans and of servile estate, and they cannot marry son or daughter outside the lord's franchise or sell ox or mare.' There seem to have been about six freehold tenements, including the parson's, but three of these seem to have been held by villans.

The proceedings chronicled on our roll are those partly of the manorial court of Brightwaltham, partly of the view of frank-pledge held twice a year at Brightwaltham, for Brightwaltham, Hartley and Conholt. This Hartley was in Berkshire and I take it to be the Hartley near Reading, at least fifteen miles from Brightwaltham. Conholt lies on the boundary between Hampshire and Wiltshire and its men must have had to go about the same distance for their view of frank-pledge. But the abbot had only six tenants or thereabouts at Hartley and the labour services at Conholt had

been commuted for an increased rent; so it may not have been worth while to hold courts at these places; suits about land at Conholt were, as we shall see, entertained by the court at Brightwaltham.

At the views of frank-pledge the presentments are said to be made by the tithingman with his tithing. It will be remembered that we are now in the land of 'the territorial tithing.' In addition to our other illustrations of the procedure the following from the year 1290 may be given:- 'The tithingman of Brightwaltham with his tithing presents . . . That the hue was raised between Robert Cute and Thomas Bagge on account of a certain trespass with which Robert charges Thomas, for [Robert] says that [Thomas] assaulted and beat and ill-treated him against the peace etc. and Thomas says that he is not guilty and prays that this be inquired by the whole tithing. And the said tithingman with his whole tithing presents that [Thomas] did [Robert] no harm save that there being a dispute between them he shoved him down off a log, but [Robert] had no call to raise the hue for this. Therefore the said Robert is in mercy, and since it is found that Thomas did lay hands on [Robert], therefore Thomas also is in mercy. Also [they] present that Agnes Edrian raised the hue against John Parlefrens for a trespass done against her and the said John confessed that he did lay hands on her; therefore be he in mercy; but they say that he was provoked to this, for they say that he found her by night standing on his wall spying out what he was doing privately in his house, besides she abused him with contumelious words charging him with divers crimes; and this is clearly against the peace, therefore be she in mercy. Also they present that the bounds between the demesne lands of the lord [Abbot of Battle] and the lands of the tenants of the Abbot of Abingdon have been removed by the tenants at Farnborough of the said Abbot [of Abingdon] and the king's highway has been straitened: therefore let the said tenants of Farnborough be attached. . . . Also they present that Ellen widow of Walter Hayward is convicted of adultery. And it is the custom of this manor that if a widow be taken in adultery, her land is seized into the lord's hand as forfeited. Also they present that Henry Nywe and Ellen widow of Walter Hayward are impleaded in court christian [for adultery] and make fine out of the chattels of the lord that they need not do the penance enjoined them, there-

<sup>&#</sup>x27;They obtain an immunity from penance by means of chattels which, of law the chattels of their lord.

fore it is commanded that thenceforth they make no such fine and be in mercy.' Such entries as these will show to what very miscellaneous purposes the procedure by way of presentment could be put.

Among the curiosities contained in the roll is a letter in French which shows us that when the manorial court had done its work there still remained a reserve of justice—or shall we say of equity?—in the lord. The names neither of its writer nor of its receiver are given, but evidently it was addressed by the abbot to his steward. It begins thus—'Saluz. Saches he Felice de Brithwalton' se ad pleint a nus he une enqueste fu prise devaunt Aumary et vus entre ly et le fiz Willem Folke, en la quele enquete ele ne se mit unkes.' So the recipient of the letter and Aumary are to take another inquest, 'e rendre a chescun ce he le sen est hastivement saunz delay issi he mes pleint nen oium. A deu.' The king's example made itself felt; the 'ne amplius inde clamorem audiamus' is quite in the royal manner.

But the main interest of our extracts will probably be found in entries which bring out in an unusually forcible manner the communal organisation of the villans. The villans of Brightwaltham, men who were reckoned as personally unfree, nevertheless constituted a 'communitas' which held land, which was capable of receiving a grant of land, which could contract with the lord, which could make exchange with the lord. To the lawyers of Westminster such transactions would probably have seemed highly irregular:-they would have been ready with the dilemma, either the pact has no validity, or it amounts to an enfranchisement of the villans. Such we may be sure was not the view of it taken at Brightwaltham or at Battle. The king's courts have declined to protect the lands or the goods of the villan against his lord; their protection even of his person is by no means perfect; but still by law even against his lord he has lands, he has goods:—every judgment of the manorial court which directs a seizure of his lands as forfeited or an amercement for an offence proves this. The modern analyst may insist that 'the custom of the manor' is not 'law' but mere 'positive morality'; but let him admit that it is positive morality conceived as law and little is left to quarrel over save words.

The more we see of the manorial rolls of this period the less willing shall we be to admit the assumption that the manorial courts were as a matter of fact organised in one way for matters affecting free men or freeholders and in another way for matters affecting unfree men or men with villan tenements. Of course we can see that the line between freehold and non-freehold had to be observed; a steward might have to lament that the negligence of his predecessor had allowed land of servile condition to be treated as though it were free. (See the curious letter from the steward on p. 166.) And so as to personal status: the villans of Brightwaltham were very unwilling that one of their number should set himself up for a free man on the ground of his holding a freehold acre. (See below, p. 169.) But in court freemen and bondmen, freeholders and customary tenants appear side by side. The parson is a freeholder, but he has appeared in a court full of villans. When he is amerced for a trespass, he finds as his pledges one freeholder and seven villans. Indeed so overwhelming is the villan element in this court that the parson gets casually spoken of as a villan- 'Robertus Arthur rector ecclesie qui tenetur inter ceteros villanos domini ad magnam precariam' (m. 1). Of any such institution as a 'court baron' distinct from a 'customary court' we see nothing. This may already seem very unprincipled to the lawyers, and we shall see (p. 173) that our parson is contumacious and contemns the court; nevertheless he has appeared in it and waged his law there.

### [CURIA ABBATIS DE BELLO APUD BRIGHT-WALTONAM.]

Lacheday. Curia de Brightwalton' tenta die Lune proxima post festum Ascencionis Domini anno regni Regis Edwardi xxi'.

1. . . . . . .

Couenhoit

Dicenar' de Coueneholte cum tota dicena presentant omnia bene esse preter quod Willelmus de Mescumb' abstuppavit quamdam . . . injuste, ideo in misericordia. Item dicunt quod Editha de Hupton' prostravit arbores in defenso et seysina domini contra inhibicionem et dicunt quod nichil et quod fugichat in extraneis partibus.

mla xij. d.

Adam Scot factus est dicenarius et juratus ad officium fideliter faciendum.

Ingressus iiij. m. (b) 3 Johannes filius Hugonis Poleyn ingressus est terram quam Ranulphus le Taillur tenuit salvo jure cujuscumque et dat pro ingressu iiij. m. et solvet ad festum S. Michaelis anno regni Regis E. xx. secundo j. m. et ad festum Natale Domini proximo sequens j. m. et ad festum l'asche j. m. et ad festum S. Michaelis proximo sequens j. m., et ad omnia ista fideliter facienda predictus Hugo Poleyn nomine filii sui tales invenit fidejussores viz. Adam Scot, Johannem Gosselyn, Willelmum de Mescumb', Johannem Gyote. Et quia predictus Johannes minoris est etatis tradita est custodia terrarum et tenementorum predictorum predicto Hugoni Polein patri predicti Johannis quousque sit plene etatis faciendo inde servicia debita et consueta. Preterea concessum est predicto Hugoni totum bladum existens in terra seminata et heriettum provenientem ad

inis dim. m.

- 1 Three essoins.
- <sup>2</sup> Supply habet.
- The letters (a) and (b) seem to mean that this entry should be read after that which follows it. There is a contest as to a tenement; the townships testify that Hugh Poleyn has

better right than anyone else, and he, or rather at his request his son John, is put into possession, but with a saving as to the question of right, about which there will be litigation hereafter. ingressum <sup>1</sup> pro dimidia marca ad solvend' ad festum S. Michaelis proximo sequens per plegg' predictorum.

Buis j. s

(a) Hugo Polein dat domino ij. s. pro habendo consideracionem curie super jure suo cuidam tenemento in Hupton' quod quidem tenementum (J. fil.) Ranulphi le Taillur clamat habere ut jus suum. Et super hoc tota vill' de Brightwalton' jur' simul cum tota vill' de Couenholt et dicunt per sacramentum suum quod Hugo Polein melius jus habet tenendi predictum tenementum quam nullus alius et quod propinquior heres est ut de jure sanguinis.

(<sup>2</sup> Casus de Couencholte super tenura Edithe uzoris Roberti le Taillur prout inquirebatur per jur'. Alanus Poleyn tenuit quoddam tenementum in Couenholte sub servili condicione et habuit quamdam uxorem nomine Cristinam. Obiit predictus Alanus Poleyn tempore Ricardi firmarii. Veniebant amici predicte Cristine et procurabant predictam Cristinam habere nomine dotis quamdam porcionem terre falsa suggestione quasi esset libere condicionis, et hoe fuit in magnum prejudicium libertatis domini Abba-Et super hoc venit quidam Ricardus Aleyn et desponsavit predictam Cristinam et procreavit ex ea quendam Obiit dictus Ricardus, et predicta Cristina auctoritate sua propria feofavit Ranulphum filium suum de predicto tenemento. Obiit predicta Cristina et Ranulphus in seysina de predicto tenemento desponsavit Editham que nunc petit, et post mortem Ranulphi cepit Editha Robertum le Taillur in virum. Modo videatis et consulatis super jure predicte Edithe. Et sciatis quod si haberem ad manus rotulos curie tempore Willelmi de Lewes ego vobis certificarem et vobis monstrarem multa mirabilia non oportune facta.)

Tota dicena de Herteley venit sicut venire debet et presentat omnia bene esse.

Dicenarius de Brightwalton' cum tota dicena sua pre-

firefit walteni

Herteley and d.

letter written by the steward to the Abbit of Eattle concerning the case that has just come before us, of which more will be heard hereafter; see below, p. 173

Mugh's half mark is to cover the heriot which has become do as well as the payment for the growing crop.

The following is on a small strip of parchiment sown to the margin of the roll. It seems to be a copy of a

1 •

sentant omnia bene esse preter quod Willelmus de Westewod' fecit defaltam. Dicunt eciam quod Johannes filius Ricardi ad Crucem manet apud Bromham et non est in dicena, ideo preceptum est patri suo habere ipsum ad proximam curiam. Dicunt eciam quod Henricus Faber percussit dominum Robertum Capellanum ad sanguinis effusionem, et predictus Henricus ad excusandum peccatum suum levavit hutesium, ideo in misericordia, plegg' Joh. Attegrene, Ricardo Juven', et Thom' Fabr'. Presentant eciam quod Cristina relicta Radulfi Fabri hospitavit contra assisam, ideo in misericordia pleggio Ricardo Smokiere.

marchet zij. d.

xl. d.

Agnes filia Matillidis dat domino xij. d. ad instanciam amicorum ut possit se maritare et non dat amplius quia valde pauper.

Curia de Brightwalton' tenta die Mercurii proxima ante Advincula S. Petri anno regni Regis Edwardi xxij.

Inhibitum est omnibus tenentibus domini ne dent alicui de villa vel alicui extraneo aliquas garbas aliquo modo in campis sub pena dim. marce.

Inquisicio facta per senescallum die Martis in crastino S. Mathei de ovibus abductis et aliis transgressionibus factis in manerio de Brictwalton' anno supradicto per quam acceptum est quod Johannes Sket emit de preposito tres oves et cum conventum fuisset de precio earundem idem Johannes oves predictas pascebat in pastura domini, et ideo in misericordia, plegii Johannes Parlefrens et Ricardus Juvenis xl. d.

Ricardus le Fette in misericordia quia accepit contra prohibicionem senescalli garbas in autumpno per liberacionem prepositi, pll' tocius ville.

Amercements of those who have broken the assize of beer.

Dicunt eciam jurati quod oves non fuerunt abducte per aliquam maliciam nec reducte set per negligenciam Willelmi Wachel l'astoris oves quamplures vagantes per patriam discurrebant huc et illuc et ideo idem Johannes in misericordia per pl' tocius ville.

Dicunt quod Johannes Attegren', Johannes de Suthewod', Thomas Faber et Ricardus Juvenis sunt meliores et potenciores tocius ville ad faciendum et complendum officium prepositure, de quibus senescallus elegit ad illud officium Thomam Fabrum. Postea idem Thomas fecit finem ut possit absolvi ab officio prepositure et dat domino xl. s.

fin. zl. a.

Dicunt eciam quod Johannes dictus Lord bonus est et domino necessarius ad custodiendum oves matrices et tota villa manucepit pro eo quod bene et fideliter et cum omni diligencia eas custodiet et respondebit pro eo. Dicunt eciam quod Johannes filius Johannis ate Grene necessarius est ad custodiendum multones domini et admissus est et tota villa manucapit pro eo. Dicunt eciam quod Thomas Bagge necessarius est ad tenendum j. carucam et Ricardus Oghtrede ad fugandum. Ad alias vero carucas tam boum quam equorum dicunt quod expedit quod ipsi remaneant cum domino qui anno preterito remanserunt.

Visus Franci Pleggii die Mercurii proxima feetum B. Mathei Apostoli anno regni Regis Edwardi xxi<sup>\*</sup>.

· · · · · · ·

Hertie

Ricardus de Fulrith' decenarius de Hertle cum tota decena sua presentant Gervasium le May, Johannem Couper', Johannem ato Mor' et Nicholaum Sharie decesse quos

Sic. corr. Willelmus ?

Americanent for negligence in custody of the lord's wood.

A fine for leave to marry.

mia xij. d.

manucap' ad proximam curiam. Et quia insufficienter presentant ideo in misericordia.

Prohibitum est tenentibus de Hertle sub pena c. s. ne ad summonicionem alicujus ballivi forinseci veniant ad com' nec hundr' et si de cetero faciant quamquam distringantur et super hoc convincantur quod pena committatur.

Concubolt

1

4 \*

Adam Scot decenarius de Couenholt cum tota decena sua presentant 1 . . . . .

Galfridus Willam decenarius de Bristwalton' cum tota decena sua presentant 2.... Presentant eciam quod Thomas Molendinarius manus violentas injecit in Aliciam filiam Radulfi que levavit huthesium. Et quia Galfridus decenarius de nulla violencia presentat set quod ludendo hoc facichant quod tamen non est verisimile cum huthesium fuisset levatum, ideo idem dicenar' in misericordia, et predictus Thomas Molendinarius in misericordia pro transgressione, plegii Ricardus pater ejusdem et Ricardus Juvenis.

Presentant eciam quod Warinus Agodehulf in contribucionibus et aliis non obedit decen's prout decet. Et idem Warinus venit in plena curia dicit quod est libere condicionis per servicium j. d. per annum. Et compertum est per curiam quod pater ejusdem Warini fuit servilis condicionis unde exitus ipsius manet ejusdem condicionis. Et quia contra justiciam dicit se liberum cum sit servus ideo in misericordia. Pleg' tota decena tam de falso clam' quam de principali.

<sup>1</sup> A few defaults.

<sup>2</sup> A few defaults and petty offences.

Probably decenario; but possibly decene.

Assize of beer.

mti

nda

1

Curia de Bristwalton' tenta die et anno supradicto.

Ricardus Juvenis custos porcorum deputatus per totam villatam de Bristwalton' quia pascebat plures porcos quam habere debet in separali cum porcis domini, ideo in miscricordia, plegii Johannes Ategren' et Galfridus Willam. Item idem Ricardus in miscricordia quia permisit porcos domini deperire et nimis negligens fuit circa custodiam eorundem, plegii Johannes et Galfridus predicti.

Johannes Sket in misericordia quia pascebat oves pronuis dim. m. prias cum forag' domini tempore yemis et alias negligens fuit circa custodiam ovium domini. Et finit.

> Ricardus Juvenis et Johannes ate Gren' qui manuceperunt pro Ricardo ad Crucem ad reficiendum et sustentandum tenementum ejusdem Ricardi in dom' et aliis ad illud pertinentibus, dicunt quod quamdiu habuerunt custodiam illius tenementi domos ad id pertinentes reficiebant et salvo custodiebant, et quando id tenementum fuit deterioratum et devastatum non fuit in custodia eorundem set fuit in custodia dicti Ricardi ad Crucem quando sic erat deterioratum, et de hoc ponunt se alte et basse super dominum et dominum Lucam nunc sacristam de Bello.

> Rogerus Chapman queritur de Rogero Bisuthewod' de placito detencionis averiorum, plegii de prosequendo Willelmus Carettarius, Johannes Parlefreyns, plegii Rogeri de respondendo Galfridus Willam et Johannes Wodeward. Et quia compertum est quod dictus Rogerus Chapman optulit prefato Rogero Warinum Bisuthewod' ad replegg' aver' predictum qui satis est sufficiens pro dampno eidem facto, et ipsum admittere recusavit, consideratum est quod dem Rogerus sit in misericordia domini et faciat emendam sufficientem pro injusta detencione Rogero Chapman supradicto.

Ricardus Juvenis dat domino vj. d. pro auxilio habendo de quadam diffamacione et injuria sibi illata per Elenam

1

uxorem Rogeri Chapman. Et compertum est per inquisicionem quod ad instanciam ipsius Elene status ipsius efficitur deterior ad quantitatem v. s. Et idem Ricardus remittit ei pro bono pacis pro xij. d.

Rogerus Chapman dat domino ij. s. pro inquisicione habenda super jure suo quod clamat in quodam tenemento quod quondam tenuit Willelmus Bisuthewod'. Et acceptum est per inquisicionem quod predictus Willelmus non obiit seysitus de tenemento predicto, set reddidit domino totum jus quod habuit in eodem, et dominus dimisit illud tenementum Willelmo Page habendum et tenendum secundum consuetudinem manerii, et post mortem ipsius Willelmi Page filius et heres ipsius intravit in eodem tenemento tanquam heres propinquior et dedit domino pro ingressu xl. s. et tenuit illud ad terminum vite sue et obiit seysitus, post cujus mortem venit Rogerus Chapman predictus et desponsavit Elenam relictam ipsius Willelmi.

### Curia de Bristwalton' tenta die Jovis proxima post festum Exaltacionis S. Crucis anno supradicto.

Robertus de Eureshole in misericordia quia dimisit terram suam Radulfo Cissori et aliis ad terminum annorum sine licencia. Pleggii de misericordia Johannes Wodeward et Johannes ate Grene.

Johannes Wodeward in misericordia quia contraplacitavit dominum in curia sua. Plegii Johannes ate Grene et Robertus de Eureshole.

Radulfus Prepositus in misericordia quia accepit terram Roberti de Euresole ad terminum annorum absque licencia. Plegii Ricardus Juvenis et Willelmus Parlefrens.

Johannes ate Grene electus est per omnes virgar' ad custodiendum boscum et porcos domini et manucapit pro... Et injunctum est ei quod si inveniat animalia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Divers other lessors and lessees are in mercy.

1

nia

aliquorum in bosco domini quod eat statim faciat imparcari sub pena xl. s.

Ad istam curiam venit tota communitas villanorum de Bristwalton' et de sua mera et spontanea voluntate sursum reddidit domino totum jus et clamium quod idem villani habere clamabant racione commune in bosco domini qui vocatur Hemele et landis circumadiacentibus, ita quod nec ipsi villani nec aliqui tenementa sua in posterum tenentes aliquid juris vel clamii racione commune in bosco predicto et landis circumadjacentibus exigere, vendicare vel habere poterint in perpetuum. Et pro hac sursum reddicione remisit eis dominus de sua gracia speciali communam quam habuit in campo qui vocatur Estfeld qui jacet in longitudine ad viam que se extendit de la Rede Putte ad boscum domini qui vocatur Hemele. Remisit eciam eisdem communam quam habuit in bosco eorundem villanorum qui vocatur Trendale, ita quod decetero idem dominus nulla animalia habeat depascencia in communa supradicta nec in Concessit eciam dominus quod villani bosco predicto. quamcicius dominus tempore paunagii intret boscum ad paunagiand' porcos suos in bosco suo de Hemele intrent et ipsi cum porcis suis usque ad diem B. Martini et dabunt pro paunagio secundum etatem porcorum prout in registro plenius continetur videlicet pro porco plene etatis j. d. et pro porcello ob.

Curia de Bristwalton' tenta die Mercurii proxima ante festum B. Margarete Virginis anno regni Regis Edwardi xxiiii'.

Dominus Willelmus Capellanus in misericordia pro transgressione facta per Thomam fratrem suum in gardino domini pro quo manucepit, plegii Willelmus Fulk', Ricardus Juvenis, Galfridus Willam, Willelmus Parlefrens, Johannes

' Supply et.

Besouthewod', Radulfus Cissor, Johannes Parlefrens, Willelmus Bingeys.

Dominus Willelmus Capellanus vad' legem quod non deffamavit Henricum clavigerum domini imponendo ei crimina diversa. Et habet diem in proxima curia per sum' vj<sup>ta</sup> manu capellanorum et clericorum.

Idem dominus Willelmus convictus per bonam inquisicionem juratorum quod ipse asportavit gallin' domini et fregit sepes domini et eas portari fecit ad domum suam non vult justificare se de hujusmodi transgressionibus set contemptuose recessit, et consideratum est quod distringatur per catalla sua que habet infra libertatem domini.

Inquisicio

Ì

distr.

lex

<sup>1</sup> Henricus Morcok, Johannes frater ejus, Johannes Gocelyn, Willelmus de Messcumb', Willelmus frater ejus, Thomas Chanfyns, Willelmus ad Crucem, Johannes Guyot, Johannes Pope, Adam Scot, Galfridus Willam, Johannes Aurey, Galfridus Jordan, Thomas Bayge, Rogerus Smokyer', Willelmus Sket, Willelmus ate Kepe, Radulfus Taylur, Ricardus Juvenis, Robertus Osmund, Johannnes Besouthewode, Johannes Wodeward, Rogerus Chapman, Rogerus Besouthewode, Waryn Besouthewode, jur' dicunt per sacramentum suum quod quidam Alanus Poleyn tenuit quoddam tenementum in Couenholte sub condicione servili et desponsavit quamdam Cristinam nomine et ex ea procreavit filium Elyam nomine. Defuncto predicto Alano dicta Cristina tenuit integraliter illud idem tenementum secundum consuctudinem manerii et postea desponsavit quemdam Ricardum Aleyn qui genuit ex ea quemdam Ranulphum nomine. Advertens postea predictus Elyas filius et heres dicti Alani quod mater sua pro eo quod sine licencia domini

1 As to this case, see above, p. 165.

accepisset maritum amisisset jus quod habuit in ten' . . . . 1 accessit ad curiam domini et peciit tenementum patris sui cujus heres extitit ut jus et hereditatem sibi reddi secundum consuctudinem manerii et admissus fuit prout de jure fuerat admittendus, tamen de consensu domini et propria voluntate sua concessit quod dicta Cristina mater sua posset tenere quamdam porcionem ejusdem tenementi (ad terminum vite) de qua quidem porcione eadem Cristina de facto cum de jure non posset feoffavit dictum Ranulphum filium suum, et decessit. Dictus vero Ranulphus existens in seysina ejusdem porcionis desponsavit quamdam Editham nomine et ex ea genuit quemdam Johannem nomine et mortuus est. Quo defuncto dicta Editha quemdam Robertum le Tayllur accepit in virum, qui quidem Robertus existens in seysina ejusdem tenementi sursum reddidit domino in plena curia sua totum jus quod habuit in dicta porcione ejusdem tencmenti. Tandem venit quidam Hugo Poleyn filius et heres predicti Elye filii Alani peciit admitti ad predictum tenementum integraliter et fecit finem pro inquisicione habenda in curia domini super jure suo quod habuit in tenemento supradicto,2 super quo venit inquisicio et dicebat in virtute prestiti sacramenti (quod quidam Alanus Poleyn qui desponsaverat quamdam Cristinam nomine genuit Elyam, quo defuncto dictus Elyas filius et heres dicti Alani desponsavit quamdam Julianam nomine et ex ea genuit Hugonem qui peciit et 3) quod dictus Hugo sufficiens jus habuit in dicto tenemento nec fuit aliquis alius heres propinquior, unde idem Hugo secundum consuctudinem manerii admissus fuit ad dictum tenementum et fecit finem pro ingressu etc. unde dicunt expresse quod predicta Editha que nunc petit predictam porcionem supradicti tenementi nullum omnino jus habet in demanda sua. Unde consideratum est quod dictus Hugo qui nunc tenet teneat in pace et dicta Matildis in misericordia pro falso clamore.

<sup>!</sup> The roll is tern.

is It would seem that the first inquest was called for because Hugh Poleyn had to meet a claim made by

a son of Edith and Randolph Tailer. He was now to meet the claim of Edith. See above, p. 166.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Interpolated in the margin.

## (Indenture.)

(Court of Brightwaltham holden on Wednesday next before the feast of S. Margaret the Virgin in the twenty-fourth year of King Edward. To this court came John Bolter and in full court confessed himself the born bondman of the lord Abbot of Battle, and he gives his lord two marks of silver that he may freely depart from his lord's franchise without any claim of naifty being made against his body at any time hereafter. Pledges of the said John that the said fine of two marks will be paid before Michaelmas next, Robert Osmund and Ralph Tailor.)

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Any claim, that is, de nativo habendo. The action of naifty is that by which a lord reclaims a runaway bondman (nativus).

VII. THE ABBESS OF ROMSEY'S COURTS OF THE HUNDRED OF WHORWELSDOWN AND THE MANOR OF ASHTON.

#### INTRODUCTORY NOTE.

A ROLL of a single membrane in the Record Office (Augmentation Office Court Rolls, Portf. 1, No. 78) contains on its front the proceedings of a single session of an unnamed hundred court, and on its back the proceedings of a single session of the court of the manor of Ashton. We have little difficulty in recognising the hundred of Whorwelsdown and the manor of Steeple Ashton, both of which belonged to the Abbess of Romsey. Whorwelsdown hundred lies in western Wiltshire near Trowbridge, Westbury and Devizes; it comprises, according to modern reckoning,

```
Ashton, Steeple, Parish.

Ashton, West, Tithing.

Hinton, Tithing.

Littleton
Semington

Bradley, North, Parish.

Southwick, Tithing.

Coulston, East, Parish.

Edington, Parish.

West Coulston
Baynton
Edington
Tinhead

Keevil, Parish.
```

In Domesday Book (i. 68) the church of Romsey is already credited with the manors of Aistone and Edendone. It would appear that the Abbess acquired the hundred, i.e. the hundred court, under a charter of Henry I. at a rent of 40 s. In 1233 she got into litigation about it with the sheriff of Wiltshire, the famous Countess Ela of Salisbury. At length an arrangement was made

and judicially sanctioned; the terms of it are not uninteresting. The question had been as to the line between the jurisdiction of the sheriff's tourn and that of the ordinary hundred court and it was decided 'that forasmuch as archbishops, barons and all others are summoned to the tourns, the defaults and amercements thence arising belong to the sheriff; so likewise the view of frank-pledge and the assizes of bread and beer and attachments of false measures and of pleas of the crown; so likewise pleas touching beasts taken and detained against gage and pledge; and therefore let these remain to the sheriff unless the Abbess will voluntarily make fine with him for entertaining them: and to the hundred court belong pleas of batteries and medleys if felony be not mentioned and of horses and cattle maimed or wounded and of debts when exacted without the king's writ and other similar pleas without writ which belong to the hundred court in the intervals between the two annual tourns.' (Bracton's Note Book, pl. 775, 1110.) Our roll however makes it probable that the Abbess bought up the sheriff's rights or some of them; —and, by the way, the permission so easily given her by the king's court to negotiate with the sheriff for a purchase of what are regarded as his rights will explain a great deal in the history of the franchises;—at any rate we find her making use of the presentment procedure and that too in a very interesting The tithingmen come up one by one to make presentments as to what has happened in their respective (territorial) tithings and even offer to prove that they know no more. Of any presenting jury of twelve freeholders, of any system of double presentment such as we read of in Fleta and Britton we see nothing. But here as in the eyre rolls we see that the court has a check upon the presenters, for the tale of one tithingman, for instance as to the levying of hue and cry, can be contrasted with that of another tithingman, and as a result of the comparison one of them may be amerced for a concealment.

Rolls of Henry III.'s day illustrating the procedure of a hundred court seem to be so rare that we print the whole of the entries relating to the business done by the court of Whorwelsdown at the only session that is revealed to us. With a few omissions explained below, we also give all the entries relating to the manorial court of Ashton. These entries were made by a clerk who was not very careful of his penmanship or his Latin, and to transcribe and translate them has not always been easy.

John Shiregreen and William the tithingman; she makes fine (6 d.). Let inquiry be made as to her pledges to prosecute.

Cristina Sewald plaintiff appears against Peter son of Peter Mead; pledges to prosecute, John Burgess and John Sewald. The same Peter is attached to answer John Burgess and Peter Mead.

Walter of Dunstanville and Robert le Busic his pledge and Richard le Hyrtis Walter's pledge must be distrained to come to the next hundred [court] to hear their judgment and to answer for their default.

Alice wife of John of Scales plaintiff appears against Elias son of Richard El'; pledges to prosecute, Roger Jagard and John of Scales. The said Elias is attached to answer the said Alice: pledges, John Shiregreen and Roger Jagard.

Humphrey of Bradley is in mercy for a tort done to William Cantelow; pledges, Sampson and William Blanchard; he makes fine (2 s.).

Thomas Alis is in mercy for not producing Gilbert Miller and Thomas Scardi whom he undertook to produce.

From Gilbert Grasenoil 6 s. 8 d. for all complaints and disputes; pledge, Laurence Wood.

Peter Chaffinch complains of Robert son of Robert of Tilshead. Upon this comes Richard of Tilshead and craves [cognisance of the action for] the court of his lady and this is granted to him by assent of the hundred [court]. He has a day on Monday next after the Nativity of the Baptist.

Presentments of the tithingmen. Richard Blundet tithingman of Tilshead comes and says that he knows nothing and offers to prove this.

Thomas Alis tithingman of Coulston comes and makes mention of a man wounded in the court of the lord of Baynton on Wednesday in Whitsun week. Adam son of

<sup>&#</sup>x27;The Abbess of S. Trinity at Caen held the manor of Tilshead; see Hoare's Wiltshire, ii. 42. Part

of it seems to be within this hundred, part in another hundred.

John Shiregreen and William the tithingman; she makes fine (6 d.). Let inquiry be made as to her pledges to prosecute.

Cristina Sewald plaintiff appears against Peter son of Peter Mead; pledges to prosecute, John Burgess and John Sewald. The same Peter is attached to answer John Burgess and Peter Mead.

Walter of Dunstanville and Robert le Busic his pledge and Richard le Hyrtis Walter's pledge must be distrained to come to the next hundred [court] to hear their judgment and to answer for their default.

Alice wife of John of Scales plaintiff appears against Elias son of Richard El'; pledges to prosecute, Roger Jagard and John of Scales. The said Elias is attached to answer the said Alice: pledges, John Shiregreen and Roger Jagard.

Humphrey of Bradley is in mercy for a tort done to William Cantelow; pledges, Sampson and William Blanchard; he makes fine (2 s.).

Thomas Alis is in mercy for not producing Gilbert Miller and Thomas Scardi whom he undertook to produce.

From Gilbert Grasenoil 6 s. 8 d. for all complaints and disputes; pledge, Laurence Wood.

Peter Chaffinch complains of Robert son of Robert of Tilshead. Upon this comes Richard of Tilshead and craves [cognisance of the action for] the court of his lady and this is granted to him by assent of the hundred [court]. He has a day on Monday next after the Nativity of the Baptist.

Presentments of the tithingmen. Richard Blundet tithingman of Tilshead comes and says that he knows nothing and offers to prove this.

Thomas Alis tithingman of Coulston comes and makes mention of a man wounded in the court of the lord of Baynton on Wednesday in Whitsun week. Adam son of

<sup>&#</sup>x27; The Abbess of S. Trinity at Cach held the manor of Tilshead; see Hoare's Wiltshire, ii. 42. Part

of it seems to be within this hundred, part in another hundred.

vj. d.

distr'

zij. d.

vj. d.

zij. d.

filius Johannis Symon assisam fregit. Willelmus Sutor et Willelmus Pain rec' in decenna sua. Nichil aliud etc.

Robertus Abram the de Tunhid' venit et mentionem fecit de lana ab ovibus suis tracta et furats in falds (per homines de Tunhid'. Et Radulfus Messarius in misericordia quia convictus et nomina aliorum hominum inquirar' per Ricardum Corbin.') Idem Robertus in misericordia, (vj. d.) quia non habuit Hugonem de Scalar' quem manucepit (Hugo de Scalar' quietus quia sum' non venit) et David famulum Willelmi Sprakeling' quem manucepit. Nichil aliud etc. Thomas Alfr' et Osmund Busic defecerunt.

Willelmus Sprakeling in misericordia quia non habuit David famulum suum cujus plegius fuit. Thom' Dunstan et Rob' Abram pl' fuit.<sup>2</sup>

Ricardus Charke in misericordia quia male dixit pro Will' Sprakeling', pl' Rob' Abram et W. Sprakeling, fin'

<sup>4</sup>Item iterum in misericordia quia dicit se Walt' Pandulf' esse quartum hominem suum. In respectu quia alibi.

Ricardus Charke the de Tunhid' venit et dicit quod Willelmus Wilanus (vj. d.) et Walterus Blache (vj. d.) assisam fregerunt, manucep'. Nichil aliud etc. Non fecit mentionem huthest' in decenna sua per Cristinam Sewald', ideo in misericordia. In respectu.

Johannes Olen' the de Edindon' venit et mentionem fecit de huthesio levato per Petrum de Prato super Walterum famulum et manupastum Radulfi de Edindon' die S. Trinitatis circa horam vesperam. Mentionem fecit de huthesio levato per Cristinam Sewald' super Petrum filium Petri de Prato. Nichil aliud etc.

<sup>1</sup> It is uncertain at what place this interpolated passage should be read.

I translate this as if the word were summonitor.

Sic.

A blank space.

John Burgess tithingman of Edington comes and says that the house of Alice Hethewy was broken on the night of Whit-Tuesday and a mantle of burnet and a coverlet were carried thence.

Richard Hordy tithingman of Southwick comes and says that the house of Lucy Hogeman was broken on Tuesday next after the feast of S. John before the Latin Gate and thence were carried off a coverlet and a linen garment and a sheet and a towel, bread and corn. On being asked whether he suspects anyone, he says, No. And on the night of Thursday in Whitsunweek a beehive was stolen from Ducie widow of Richard Miller. And he made mention that the house of Hugh Bokel was burnt and he [Hugh] inside it.

Thomas Heribit tithingman of Southwick comes and says that Alexander Prior (pardoned) and Nicholas Burdun (6 d.) have broken the assize. Thomas is pledge that this be amended. He says nothing else.

John Hoper tithingman of Southwick comes and says that a certain man came to the house of John Uphill on the night of Saturday after the Invention of Holy Cross and carried off clothes. The same John is to be distrained to come to the next hundred [court] to answer those things that shall be charged against him.

William tithingman of West-Ashton comes and says that William of Southwick was very often received in the house of Cristina Walcock on the tenement of Roger Agard. Also he made mention of the fire that took place at Bradley and of wool plucked from the sheep of Juliana Sauser and of three great fleeces of wool stolen in the bakehouse of John Shiregreen. Also he makes mention of a medley between Elias son of Richard Ile and Walter son of Walter the Theign on Trinity Sunday after dinner, about which the hue was raised. The same William is charged with the receipt into his tithing of Hugh le Duc; he denied the charge; therefore let him make his law.

Walter Nele is made tithingman and says that the chest of Emma Waters was broken and her goods were

in curtillag' Ade Doget et de quadam cofer' fract' in domo Due de Aqua et unum bus' frum' asportat'. Interrogati si aliquem haberent in suspection' dicunt quod non. Nichil aliud etc.

Walterus Marie the de Litleton venit et dicit quod nichil scit opt' prob'. Thomas Stikebd' defec'.

Adam Taleman the de Henton' venit et dicit quod nichil scit etc.

Johannes Goin et Willelmus Bercarius the de Eston venerunt et dixerunt quod Jame Bus, Walterus Gopill', Robertus Ailrich', Ysabella de Aula assisam fregerunt et dicunt quod quidam gallin' invad' fuit per Luciam ancillam Walteri le Taillur.

Thomas Benuit in misericordia pro delicto facto Gileberto le Fore, fin' vj. d.

Willelmus Hodii in misericordia pro delicto facto Ade Clerico, pl'

Johannes filius Radulfi de Edindon', Robertus de Werministr' et Walterus famulus Radulfi de Edindon' sint attachiati ad respondendum Petro de Prato. Plegii dicti Petri ad prosequendum Rogerus de Coderigg' et Robertus Tutprest.

Johannes de Tunhid', Thomas de Chenie, Hugo de Burel, tenementum Johannis le Francl', Radulfus de Tresiberge, Willelmus de Hupe.

Summa xiiij. sol. vj. d. item ij. d.

De relicta Ricardi de Gaysford' pro fine terre xx. s., pl' de denariis et servic' terre pl' Laurencius de Bosco et Willelmus Naldeken. Hoc pertinet ad hallimot'.

Willelmus Ailrich' et Adam Bercarius sint districti quod sint ad proximum hundredum quia non habuerunt Nicholaum Juvenem quem plegiaverunt pro Galfrido Sutore vulnerato per dictum Nicholaum Eliam Juwenet et Walterum Gopill'.

Thomas Benuit, Willelmus de Morheyc', Duce Teinteress, Walterus Norais, Johannes Palmere assisam fregerunt.

vj. d. mlē. vj. d.

Defait'

8ix

Ashton Hallimoot on the vigil of S. Barnabas in the forty-sixth year of King Henry [A.D. 1262].

The widow of Richard of Gaysford, fine for land, 20 s.; pledges for the money and for the services due from the land, Laurence Wood and William Noldeken.

The widow of Ralph Miller of Luvemead, fine for land, 18 d.; pledges for the money and for the services due from the land, William son of Lucy of Luvemead and Nicholas his brother, who also undertake that she will properly maintain the house and curtilage.

Ducie widow of Roger Waters, fine for land, 20s.; pledges for the money and for the services due from the land and that she will well and duly maintain the house and land, Walter Nele, Hugh Mabil, Henry Burdun.

From Henry Burdun 26 s. 8 d., that his land and that of Ducie Waters may be equally divided and that he may have his equal share; pledges, Laurence Wood, Walter Reeve, and Walter Nele.

Richard Trussert in mercy for a cow caught in Daddleswick; pledge, John the Theign.

Roger Minor in mercy for two cows caught in fowls. Daddleswick before mowing time.

Peter son of Robert in mercy for one cow and one calf caught in the same place; pledge, Ralph of Aune.

Juliana Theign in mercy for not coming as she ought to shear the sheep of the Abbess.

Roger of Brocbure in mercy for the same.

Widow King 6 d., that she may have an inquest.

In the action between Nicholas Pinchelance and Stephen of Tinhead at the prayer of the parties a day for compromise is given in the interval before the next hallimoot.

From Nicholas Herbert's son 6 d., that he may have an inquest against Adam Wolf.

Sum total, 70 s. 8 d.

Sunday in this year; so this court was holden on the day after that on which the hundred court was holden.

•			·	
	•	•		
			-	
		•		
			•	

# GLOSSARY.

affidare, to pledge faith or troth. It seems clear that at least as late as the thirteenth century this word did not imply an oath. Troth seems to have been plighted by grasp of hands, as in our Marriage Service; hence such phrases as affidare in manu alicujus, affidare propria manu sua, fidem dare in manum alicujus. Perhaps we have here a very ancient formal contract (fidem facere) preserved under ecclesiastical protection. Apparently it was not until the end of the middle ages that 'affidavit' began to imply an oath.

beverech (139), a drink, a beverage, which binds a bargain, vin du marché; Du Cange, s.v. biberagium. See Rot. Cart. p. 14, 'emptor vero terre consuetudine quatuor den. ad saisinam dabit et unum den. burgensibus ad beverage.'

bludi (150), probably blue. Du Cange, s.v. blodeus, gives several instances of this word from English documents: blodeo panno, pannos blodei coloris, lectum de blodio et viridi, worstede nigro et blodio; see ibid. s.v. bloius, and Skeat, s.v. blue.

cetewaud (156), 'is for cetewald and that for cetewal with added or excrescent d; O. Fr. citoual, cetoual, Chaucer's cetewale, given in Du Cange, s.v. zedoaria, and in most English dictionaries s.v. zedoary. Long note on it in my Glossary to Chaucer's Prioress's Tale (Clarendon Press). Not "valerian" as Halliwell says, but Curcuma zedoaria.' [Note kindly contributed by Dr. Skeat.]

compedes (45, 98), the stocks. On p. 45 this word has been translated fetters; but more probably it means the stocks.

diker' (145). 'A last of leather consisteth of 20 diker and every diker consisteth of ten skins. A diker of gloves consisteth of ten pair of gloves'; Assize of Weights and Measures.

feedelitas (74), fealty. The proper Latin word is fidelitas, but such forms as feeditas, feedelitas are not very uncommon; they are interesting as marks of that fusion of faith and fee (feedum), of personal allegiance and proprietary rights, which constitutes what we mean by feudalism.

fides, see affidare.

gargata (141), the throat; Du Cange, s.v. gargata; O. Fr. gargate, Roquefort.

gata (143), a bowl, a vessel, a dry measure; see below, s.v. mina; 'gate, jatte, vaisseau rond,' Roquefort; 'jatte, espèce de vase rond,' Littré; see Du Cange, s.v. gabata; Diez, s.v. gavetta.

geste (143), yeast; A.S. gist; see Skeat, s.v. yeast.

incopamentum, incopare (frequent, e.g. 158), an accusation, to accuse; for inculpare, inculpamentum. A plaintiff's count or declaration, which in the king's court is called narratio, seems often to be called incopamentum in the local courts. See Du Cange, s.v. inculpare: Roquefort, s.v. encolper.

juisa (45), the pillory, or the tumbrel. In continental and older English documents it often means the ordeal; but it seems also to have been used in England for those instruments of punishment (judicialia) which the lord of a leet was bound to keep, viz. pillory and tumbrel. See also Britton, i. 79, 180, 191, and Mr. Nichols's Glossary.

kiuilla (62, 63), a fastening pin. A man is bound by tenure to provide for the war a horse, saddle et saccum cum kiuill' for the transsport of military baggage. This is the tenure mentioned in Bracton (f. 87 b), Bracton's Note Book (pl. 743), and the Hundred Rolls (i. 157, 218, 237), where the tenant has to find saccum cum brochia, sack and brooch. Our word is from the Latin clavicula; Mod. Fr. cheville, which see in Littré.

mina (33), a dry measure. 'Mensura ad frumentum, et ad bladum, et ad pisa, quae alio nomine Mina vocatur, continet 5 eskippas de duro blado; et istae 4 Minae cum gata quae dicitur Gundulfi faciunt 3 sumas . . . unde Mina et gata faciunt 3 quarteria;' Du Cange, s.v. mina, who takes this from Spelman.

profrum, (80), a proffer, a tender. See Du Cange, s.v. proferum; his examples are mainly drawn from England.

rusca (181), a bee-hive. Fr. ruche; Du Cange, s.v. rusca.

supellex (181 bis). The context seems to show that this word is used for superlectile, a coverlet.

verba curiae (82, 113, etc.), the words of court. It is common to find judgment given against a defendant on the ground that he has not sufficiently defended (i.e. denied) the words of court, verbs curise, les mos de la court, les parois de la court. This means that he has not traversed with adequate precision the common form allegations contained in his adversary's count, e.g. that in an action of trespass he has not ' defended tort and force and all that is against the peace.' But why should these allegations be 'the words of court'? There is an ancient tract on pleading in which 'les parols de la courte' is represented in English by 'the words of course.' Is it not possible that this really was the phrase? One can understand why these common form allegations should be called 'words of course': compare 'write of course,' brevia de cursu. May not Englishmen pleading in foreign tongues have twisted the phrase, gradually misunderstood it and ended by saying parolz de la court, verba curiae, when they began by saying parols de course, verba de cursu? Two similar mistakes have been suspected, namely that the 'mere right' of ancient pleadings is really meur, meaur, meylur dreit, the greater right, jus melius, not jus merum; and that the 'foot of a fine' is really the peace, the concord, the agreement, the French word being originally la pees, from Lat. pacem, not from Lat. pedem. If there be nothing in this suggestion we must seemingly suppose that the 'words of court' are the words necessary to give the court jurisdiction, e.g.

by alleging a breach of the king's peace or the lord's peace. See Horwood's YBB. 21 and 22 Ed. I. p. x, 32 and 33 Ed. I. pp. xxxv-xxxvii. As to the necessity for a formal defence, see Blackstone, Com. iii. 296, and (for this is no English peculiarity) Brunner's Wort und Form im altfranzösischen Process.

VOL. II.

	-		
	·		
		•	
•			

# INDEX OF MATTERS.

Admittance, 24, 25, 28, 33, 34, 38, 40, 42, 174 Adultery, 29, 97, 98, 162 Aid of lord purchased, 144, 170 Ale-tasters, 33, 89, 95, 96 Amercement, how affeered, 44 Ancient demesne, 99 Arbitration, 18, 21 Archdeacon's court, 113 Assault, 138, 141 Assize, grand, 123 Assize of beer, 8, 11, 18, 23, 27, 33, 53, 89, 95, 96, 113 Assize of measures, 159 Assize of strangers, 26, 96 Attorney, 57, 79, 119, 142

Beadle, 112
Bearer, obligations payable to, 132, 137, 152
Beer, see Assize of beer
Boon-works, 10, 30, 33, 91, 94, 95
Boundaries, 9, 28, 89, 93
Burgage, 41
Butcher, restrictions on trade of, 33, 89
Butcher, trade of, 13

Canute, pleading the time of, 100 Charters, production of, 74, 75 Chattels, see Sale Chattels of villan, 97, 98 Chevage, 89, 91, 97 Chief pledges, 29, 33, 36, 45, 64, 87, 94 Church, obligation to repair, 150 Church rate, 150 Cistercian wool trade, 147 Civil death, 148 Cognisance, claim of, 179 Commendation, 11 Common, 32, 97, 172 Communities of merchants, see Merchant guilds Community of villans, 163, 172 Compromise, 7, 15, 16, 17, 24, 35, 150 Compurgation, see Law, wager of Concealments, 90 Contempt of lord, 81, 127, 173 Contract, 133, 139, 147 Cornbote, 82 Corporation, 134, 146, 147, 150, 172 Counterpleading the lord, 171 Court, judgment of, 22, 24, 25, 26, 28, 34, 64, 83, 111, 116 Court, presentments by, 6, 7, 8, 16 Court, verdict of, 20, 26, 29, 35, 37, 39, 169 Court craves adjournment, 111, 116 Court, the whole, 20, 29, 34, 35, 111, 117 Court too thinly attended, 60, 67, 83 Court becomes surety, 117 Covenant, 36, 126, 150 Curtesy, 121 Customary land, see Land Customs stated, 25, 29, 37, 41, 41, 121

Damage feasant, 113
Damages, 56, 95, 113, 116, 145, 160
Day of grace, 57, 62
Debt, action of, 118, 140, 144, 150, 152, 158

Defamation, see Slander Demise of customary land, 11, 21, 28, 36, 91, 171 Denial of service, 61, 78 Detinue, 138, 140, 141, 144, 158 Devise, 125, 127 Dishonour and damage, 13, 56, 140, 141, 142, 143, 152 Disobedience to lord, 8 Disobedience to tithingman, 169 Distress, 65, 96, 113, 170 Distress of goods damage feasant, 142, 143 Dower, 21, 25, 42, 44, 83, 107-110, 173 Drink, contract bound by, 189

Earnest, see God's penny
Ecclesiastical courts, 98, 113
Election of communal officers, 36, 168, 171
Election of knights for the war, 61, 76, 77, 84
Enfranchisement, 175
Entail, 40
Escheat, 39
Esquires, 80
Esquires, 80
Essoin, 6, 35, 55, 58, 66, 67, 71
Estray, 31, 93
Executor, 147

Fair, court of, 130 Faith pledged, 6 False charge, ameroement for, 19, 91, 114, 142 False claim of freedom, 169 False essoin, 67 False measures, 149, 153, 154 Fealty, 13, 74, 84 Felony, 19 Feme coverte, see Husband and wife Feoffment of customary land, 124 Fine of lands, 35 Flax, toll of, 92 Foreign court, 65 Forfeiture, 29, 98, 121, 162, 174 Fornication, 12, 92, 162 Frank pledge, 11, 29, 33, 94, 169 Frank-pledge, view of, 53, 74, 83, 86, 90, 161, 162, 165, 177

Fraud, 189
Freehold, see Land
Freehold suitors, 44, 48, 17
French war, 76, 78
Fulling mill, 92

God's penny, 188, 140, 142, 151 Good behaviour, sureties for, 97

Halimoot, 183
Hall, court held in, 66, 67
'Halves,' claim for, 137
Hamsoken, 98, 143
Heir sues for debt, 146, 147
Heriot, 10
Hidage, 53, 56
Hide, size of, 56
Homage, 59, 74, 84
Honour, court of an, 48, 52
Hue and cry, 19, 44, 162, 178, 189
Hundred court, 93, 169, 176, 178
Husband and wife, 18, 29, 41, 42, 44, 142, 143, 145

Immunity from suit of court, 160 Imprisonment, 19 Incoming tenant, 38 Indenture, 175 Infant, 15, 20, 120, 121 Inheritance, customary, 107, 125, 126

Jurors, election of, 14, 17, 88
Jurors, villan, 94, 97
Jury in lieu of grand assize, 123
Jury of presentment, 88
Jury of six, 36
Jury of trial, 14, 16, 17, 18, 83, 95, 111, 142, 143
Jury, sale of right to have, 8, 14, 16, 17, 26
Justice, denial of, 146

King's bench, 37 King's courts, offence of susing in 32, 37 Knight's fee, 50, 62, 80 Knight's service, 59, 61, 62, 76, 60

Labour services, commutation of, 95 Land, customary, heritability of, 9.

16, 21, 34, 37, 39, 83, 123, 166, 171, 173 Land, customary, proceedings relating to, 8, 13, 14, 15, 17, 22, 34, 37, 39, 83, 123, 165, 166, 178 Land, freehold, proceedings relating to, 22 Law merchant, 132-137, 149 Law, wager of, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 18, 32, 36, 37, 47, 82, 83, 136, 140, 141, 142, 144, 150, 157, 173 Leyerwite, 12, 92, 93 Libel, see Slander Life estate, 40, 126 Limitation of actions, 100 London, citizens of, their privileges, 155, 156 Lord, cases reserved for hearing of, 22, 155 Lord, proceedings against, 112-120 128, 170 Lord, proceedings by, 8, 43, 45, 171 Mainpast, 18 20, 22, 27, 30, 32, 91, 98, 183 Manumission, 175

Manorial offences, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 19, Marriage portion, 46 Married woman, see Husband and wife Marry, licence to, 24, 27, 28, 29, 32, 98, 174 Master and servant, 149, 156 Measures, false, 11 Merchant guilds, 134, 135, 145, 146, 149, 152, 153, 157, 159 Merchants declaring law, 149, 153 Merchet, 12, 24, 27, 28, 94 Merton, Statute of, 79 Military tenure, 50, 59, 61, 76, 80 Mill, suit to, 27, 30, 47, 92 Mise, 39, 123 Miskenning, 190 Monk and sovereign, 148 Monstraverunt, 99, 100 Municipalities, 135

Negligence, 170 Nonage, 120, 121 Nullum tempus occurrit regi, 100 Office, obligation to accept, 23, 45, 168 Officers, manorial, election of, 168, 170, 171 Oppression by reeve, 95

Pannage, 172 Peace, the king's, 44 Peace, the lord's, 113, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 152 Peers of the tenure, 64 Pillory, 45 Plea by confession and avoidance, 140, 158 Pleader, professional, 185, 136, 155, 159, 160 Pleading, principles of, 118, 119, 128, 146 Pleadings, examples of, 84, 89, 118 123, 146 Pledge, debtor's body as, 189, 150 Ploughing service ill done, 19 Ploughmen elected, 168 Pound, the lord's, 72, 73, 115 Poverty, amercement forborne because of, 42, 89, 91, 92, 114 Prescription, 82, 100 Presentments, 7, 8, 9, 16, 19, 22, 28, 29, 30, 165, 169 Presentments of felony, 19 Privileged blood, 105, 106, 112, 121, 122, 125 Procedure, points of, 85, 56, 107, 116 Prohibitions issued by lord, 167, 169 Purpresture, 93

Quia Emptores, 74 Quit-claim, 13, 26, 35, 171

Rape, 93
Reaper, common, 36
Reeve, office of, 23, 45, 95, 168
Register, appeal to, 111
Regrating, 113
Remainder, 40
Rent, detention of, 8, 38, 58
Replevin, 70, 170
Rescue, 43, 113
Reservation of cases for lord or superior court, 82
Resistance to lawful force, 43

ŧ

Reversion, 46, 125 Rolls of court, 28, 85, 40, 46, 78, 81

Sack and brooch, 62, 68 Sale by weight, deficiency on, 140 Sale, contract of, 133, 136, 139, 140, 142, 151 Scotale, 102 Scutage, 62 Secta, sec Suit Seisin of chattels, 140, 141, 142 Seisin of rent, 83

Seisin of service, 61 Seizure of land, 8, 29, 121, 122

Serf, see Villan Serjeanty, 62, 68

Services, see Boon-works, and Manorial offences

Slander, 19, 36, 82, 95, 109, 116, 143, 170

Sokemen, 105 Sorcery, charge of, 143 Spices, 156 Stakeholder, 157

Statute of Merton, 79 Statute, Quia Emptores, 74

Steward, 107, 166 Stocks, 98

Strangers, reception of, see Assize of strangers

Strangers, customary land not to be conveyed to, 105, 112, 121, 122 Subinfeudation, 78,80 Suit of court, 25, 28, 52, 57, 75, 79 Suit, production of, 118, 128 Summons, general, 7, 52, 178

Surcharge of common, 97 Sureties, action against, 109, 110

Surrender, 11, 25, 32, 34, 40, 46, 107, 108, 114, 174

Surrender out of court, 126

Tallage, 10, 11, 12, 14, 23, 33 Tallage of ancient demesne, 102 Tallage, how assessed, 12, 102 Tally, 133, 146 Tanner, trade of, 94

Theft, 98

Timber, 37, 43

Tithing, 11, 26, 89, 162, 168 Tithingman, 44, 165, 166, 168, 178, 179, 181

Tithingman, obedience due to, 169 Toll of flax, 92

Tourn, sheriff's, 177

Township, action by, 150 Township amerced, 86, 90

Township, inquest by, 118

Township, tallaged, 10, 11, 12, 14, 22, 80, 83

Township, presentments by, 11, 28,

Trespass, actions of, 33, 87, 119, 141, 142, 150

Trespass of beasts, 18, 18, 93

Villan, enfranchisement of, 175 Villan licensed to leave lord's land, 16 Villan, marriage with, 24, 25 Villan, status of, 26, 48, 46, 89, 94. 161, 163, 169 Villan tenure, see Land, customary Villan, wealth of a, 90, 94

Villans, community of, 163, 171 Virgaters, 171

Wager, 154

Wager of law, see Law Wages of knights, 62

Waif, 93

Wardship, 15, 20, 59, 75

Warranty of land, 19, 21, 28, 110 Warranty of weight of chattels sold,

140 Waste, 87, 170 Welsh war, 59

Will, 125, 127

Wine, fine paid in, 7, 19

Wool, price of, 140, 151

Words of court, 82, 118, 140, 156, 159

Wounding, 18, 19

Writ of right, the little, 105, 107 108, 112-120, 122, 128

Writ, purchase of, 109, 110

Year and day, 31

# INDEX OF PERSONS.

#### BY F. H. MAITLAND.

#### Note. The following table shows the arrangement of this Index :-

- Manors of the Abbey of Bec. (a) Atherstone (Warw.), (b) Blakenham (Suf.), (c) Bledlow (Berks.), (d) Combe (Hants.), (e) Cottisford (Oxf.),
  - (f) Deverel (Wilts.), (g) Ogbourne (Wilts.), (h) Povington (Wilts.),
  - (i) Preston (Sussex), (k) Ruislip (Mid.), (l) Swincombe (Oxf.), (m) Tooting (Surrey), (n) Wantage (Berks.), (o) Weedon (Northants.),
  - (p) Wretham (Norf.)
- II. The Abbot of Ramsey's Honour of Broughton.
- III. Manors of the Abbey of Ramsey. (a) Elton (Hunt.), (b) Gidding (Hunt.), (c) Hemingford (Hunt.), (d) Stukeley (Hunt.)
- IV. Manor of King's Ripton (Hunt.)
- V. Fair of S. Ives (Hunt.)
- VI. Manor of Brightwaltham (Berks.)
- VII. Hundred of Whorwelsdown and Manor of Ashton (Wilts.)

#### I. MANORS OF THE ABBEY OF BEC.

(a) Atherstone (Warwick)

Alicon, Will., 25

Hawisa, 25 Hull', Stacius de la, 26

Bouer, Joh. le, 41

Julian, Thom., 25, 41

Clericus, Adam, 25, 41 Cymiterio, Hugo de, 41

Lotrix, Sarra, 25 Lucas, Thom., 25, 41

Deboneir, Joh., 25

Marescalcus, Rad., 25 Meke, Rob., 25

Douce, Walt., 41
Faber, Rad., 41

Molendinarius, Rog., 40, 41 Muck, Agnes uxor Walt., 40, 41

Filius Alicie, Will., 25.
Filius Augnetis, Joh., 41
Fullo, Laur., 25

Rob. 41

Fulwde, Thom. de, 25

Overton, Rob. de, 25

Pistor, Rad., 41 Rad. jun., 41 Thom., 25, 26

Rotarius, Alex., 25

Stace, Rad., 41

Tulluse, Hugo, 25, 26 Turner, Galf. le, 26

Widon, Godef. de, 26 Woderowe, Rob., 41

(b) Blakenham (Suffolk) Mogedon', Rob. de, 12

Sacerdotis, Nich. fil., 12

(c) Bledlow (Bucks.)
Albus, Sim., 20
Andree, Alicia relicta, 22
Andreu, Hugo, 30
Will., 33
Aula, Hugo de, 22

Cristina fil. Sim. de, 22

Ballivus, Rob., 30 Besmere, Sim. le, 6 Blacetan, Hen., 30 Blakston, Lucia, 27 Blund, Ric. le, 20 Brian, Joh., 22 Brone, Hen. le, 31 Brun, Joh., 33 Buritrop, Alanus de, 23

Carpenter, Will. le, 6
Chepman, Phil., 23, 30, 81
Cimiterio, Hugo de, 27, 30, 33
Joh. de, 22
Costard, Rob., 6, 21
Coterel, Alicia, 23
Galf., 30
Hen., 31
Joh., 30
Pet., 21
Will., 33
Cruce, Hen. de, 28
Rob. de, 27, 31

Cubbel, Joh., 80 Cumbe, Sim. de la, 6, 7

Dene, Agneta de la, 27
Maria de la, 83
Rad. de la, 30
Ric. de la, 20
Derewyn', Hugo, 22
Joh., 27
Will., 22, 27
Dorewyn', see Derewyn'
Dune, Joh. de la, 30
Will. de la, 30

Faber, Hugo, 20 Rob., 28 Fabri, Relicta, 28 Felawe, Joh., 80, 88 Filius Andree, Hugo, 27 Filius Lecie, Ric., 6 Filius Mabilie, Gil., 6 Filius Odonis, Ric., 6 Filius Simonis, Will., 6 Forestar', Juliana, 27 38 Franceys, Joh. le, 6 Sim. le, 6, 21 Will. le, 6 Frankelain, Joh., 28 Fraxino, Walt. de, 30 31 Fresel, Rob., 80 Frithe, Hugo de la, 7, 20 Will. de la, 28, 27

Gibe, Rob., 88 Golde, Thom., 80 Gratele, Joh., 80, 88

Hameldon, Domina de, 83
Harding, Alicia, 27, 31
Hugo, 30
Joh., 6
Henton, Jordanus de, 6
Heyward, Hugo le, 31
Holemere, Augnes ate, 33
Hulle, Ric. ate, 38
Ric. de la, 22, 23, 27, 30
Will. de la, 20

Isaac, Avicia, 80, 83

Johanna, Vidua, 6

Kavening, Will., 33 Kinct, Rad., 6 Kulbel, Joh., 27 Kutelburn, Hen., 22 Relicta Will., 27 Will., 20, 21, 22, 23

Lolkesbergh', Hen. de, 27, 30

Martin, Matillis, 23, 27
Matheu, Ric., 30
Messor, Alanus, 30, 31
Osbertus, 31
Molendinarius, Greg., 22, 27, 33
Ric., 6
Rob., 23, 27
Mora, Will. de, 6, 27
More, Johanna ate, 33
Joh. de la, 30
Ric. de la, 31

Newemere, Joh., 33
Ric. de, 7
Sym. de, 22, 23
Niwemer, see Newemere

Orped, Joh., 21 Osiet, Joh., 22 Osmund, Hugo, 22

Musel', Odo de, 6

Palmer, Joh. le, 30
Palmerus, Joh., 23
Parage, Wido, 6
Paumer, Ric. le, 33
Pee, Hugo le, 22
Joh. le, 23
Phelip, Matillis, 33
Pinel, Hugo, 30
Prato, Alionora de, 31

Raghenild, Joh., 23 Rede, Agnes de la, 30 Roisia, 21

Senholt, Hen. de, 31 Hugo de, 30 Joh. de, 6, 20, 21, 22, 23 Jocius, 21
Rob., 22, 23, 27, 28
Sidenham, Greg. de, 6
Smert, Joh., 31
Sperling, Joh., 6, 7
Will., 22
Squier, Alicia, 30
Hugo, 23
Joh., 6
Standen, Alicia de, 6
Stanhard, Hen., 22
Strata, Petronilla de, 23, 27, 31
Strette, Galf. de la, 6

Triturator, Rob., 30

Serviens, Adam, 30 Godet, 23

Wodeward', Juliana, 31 Wyking, Hugo, 23, 27, 30, 33 Joh., 31 Wyte, Adam le, 30

(d) Combe (Hants.)
-Bagemer', Hugo de, 35

Joh. de, 34, 35 Will. de, 34, 35

Puteo, Joh. filius Walt. de, 34, 35 Walt. de, 34, 35

(e) Cottisford (Oxford)

Bar, Rad. le, 22 Rob. le, 32 Becco, Abbas de, 37 Bleddell', Will., 22 Boneffant, Joh., 22 Brunild, Rad., 22

Faber, Rog., 23 Will., 22 Filia Isabelle, Maria, 27 Filius Rogeri, Will., 23 Forde, Ric. de la, 23, 32

Huwes, Joh., 32, 87

Ruffus, Herb., 22

Parmentar, Rob., 23

Serviens, Joh., 24, 28

Verdun, Dom. Tehobaldus de, 87

War, Rad. le, 28 Warin, Isabella, 28 Wido, 23

(f) Deverel (Wilts.)
Bercarius, Martinus, 18

Cobbe, Will., 13 Coke, Will., 13

Doggeskin, Walt., 18

Faber, Arnoldus, 18

Gentil, Rob., 13 Guner, Thom., 13

Molendinarius, Will., 18

Porcarius, Will., 18

Scut, Will., 13

(g) Ogbourne (Wilts.) Angulo, Saloman de, 36 Assuk, Adam, 36 Azulf, Hen., 19

Bercarii, Relicta Rad., 20 Bigge, Will. le, 47 Blakeberd', Will., 19 Bodenham, Ric., 19 Borgeys, Pet. le, 19 Brond, Will., 36 Butery, Joh., 36 Butry, Ric., 19

Capellanus, Thom., 19 Coopere, Will., 20 Cornere, Eva de la, 20 Crok', Nich., 9

Druet, Joh., 36 Druladon, Will., 47 Drye, Nich., 19 Paul, 36 Elena, Vidua, 26

Filia Nicholai, Matilda, 36 Filius Cantitar', Rob., 19 Filius Eve, Will., 10 Foliot, Sampson, 9

Heiwardus, 9 Hoga, Thom. de, 19 Horiford, Ric. de, 10

Joce, Rad., 20 Jordanus, 10

Kelbe, Matillis, 36 Kiwel, Pet., 36

Longespee, Will., 9 Lortimere, Ric. de, 10, 1

Messor, Pet., 86 Walt., 20 Moyses, Adam, 19

Notte, Hen. le, 19 Novus, Hugo, 20

Pafey, Will., 20
Parys, Jor. de, 19
Pastor, Steph., 19
Payn, Galf., 19
Penant, Ric., 20
Pink, Hen., 20
Playdun, Rog., 9
Prepositus, Hen., 10
Will., 86
Pund, Joh. de la, 86

Ricardus, 10 Ringer, Phil., 86 Ringerus, 10 Russel, Adam, 86

Scalario, Rad. de, 20 Siward, Nich., 20 Squal, Joh., 36 Sywardi, Isabella, 19

Vinur, Will. le. 86

Wich', Walt. de la, 36 Wyka, Galf., 19

(h) Povington (Wilts.)
Lutteton, Galf. de, 14

l'ole, Gonilda de la, 14 Joh. filius Gonilde de la, 14

Quechepuke, Rad., 14

Stephani, Isabella relicta, 14

Webbe, Alicia la, 14 Witeway, Will. de, 14

(i) Preston (Sussex)

Dogers, Galf., 26

Hale, Editha in Fe, 34 Hampton', Galf. de, 83 Martinus de, 33 Horin, Joh., 27

Matillis, soror Simonis, 26 May, Rog. le, 34 Motard, Will., 33

Patrik, Sym., 26, 27 Pauner, Math. le, 34

Strode, Sym. de, 26

## (k) Ruislip (Middlesex)

Agnotta, amica Bercarii, 8 Albus, Will., 8, 9, 16 Alewyne, 8 Aliz, Rob., 43 Andr', Ragenild, relicta Will., 15 Will., 15 Arbore, Hugo de, 9, 16, 39

Baldewyn', Will., 14
Becco, Ragenilda de, 14, 15
Beck', Rob. le, 14
Bercarius, Joh., 8
Will., 15
Berd, Alicia, 29
Bisuthe, Gil., 8, 9, 15, 16
Joh., 15, 16

Bithewod', Alwynus, 14
Blakemere, Joh., 45
Blund', Will. le, 15
Bradif', Joh., 8
Brakespere, Nich., 8
Brasdefer, Joh., 14
Brihtwyne, Will., 15
Brok, Joh. del, 15
Brun, Ben., 42
Ric., 14
But, Rad., 39
Walt. le, 14, 15

Campo, Will. de, 45 Canon, Rog., 8 Carpentarius, Galf., 8 Joh., 8 Chayham, Ric., 8 Cilterne, Rob. de, 45 Clerekes, Matillis be, 41, 42 Clericus, Rog., 9 Clerk, Will., 45 Coc, Rob., 14 Will., 14 Cocus, Hen., 45 Cok, Rad., 8 Croyser, Rad., 39, 42 Rob. le, 15 Cruce, Hugo de, 9, 15 Cumba, Hugo de, 44

Druet, Vidua, 19

Edelot, Will., 45 Estcote, Humf. de, 89

Faber, Elias, 45
Felda, Will. de, 14
Fige, Joh., 39, 45
Filius Hamonis, Rog., 8
Filius Johannis, Will., 8, 15, 16
Filius Messoris, Walt., 15
Filius Petri, Joh., 8
Fonte, Rad. de, 45
Rob. de, 15, 29
Forde, Ric. ate, 45
Forestarius, Will., 43, 44, 45

Gardiner, Arthurus le, 14, 15 Gery, Joh., 15 Will., 29 Gest, Ric. le, 15 Gilibertus, 9 Golder, Galf. le, 88 Will., 42 Gust, Steph., 30

Haes, Isabella de, 15
Hale, Rob. in, 14
Hamond, Rog., 39, 42
Harding, Will., 89, 42
Hoberd, Rad., 39
Rog., 39, 42
Hole, Will. in le, 42
Honfridus, 29
Hugo, 42
Horseman, Ric., 15
Huberd, see Hoberd
Hubert, Ric., 9
Hulle, Joh. de, 14, 16, 39, 40
Juliana ate, 45
Will. ate, 42

Jonant, Isabella, 15

Kevere, Joh., 42, 44, 45 King, Joh. le, 38, 42 Rob. le, 8, 14

Lamb, Gil. le, 8, 14
Pet., 39
Lefred', Alvena, 15
Leofred, Ric., 15
Lepere, Will. le, 45
Leured, Christ., 16
Lofta, Juliana uxor Rog. de, 14

Malevill', Cristina filia Ric., 29
Joh., 45
Ric., 8, 14, 30, 39, 42
Marleward, Hugo, 43, 44
Itob., 9
Will., 45
Maselina, 39
Maureward', Rob., 14, 15
Will., 14
Messor, Will. le, 39, 43, 44
Molendinarii, Royza uxor, 8
Molendino, Lucia de, 29

Nothel, Rob., 42, 44

Payn, Joh., 45
Petri, Isabella relicta, 8
Pinnore, Amicia de, 45
Ric. de, 15, 16
Will. de, 42
Pontfreyit, Isabella, 41, 42
Ric., 15
Rog., 39, 40
Will., 42
Porcarius, Arthurus, 16
Prust, Hen., 45

Ramseye, Adam de, 48, 44, 45 Ranulf, Joh., 42 Redhed, Rob., 45 Reygate, Galf. de, 45 Robin, Joh., 42, 45 Ric., 8 Rotarius, Ric., 45

Salvage, Pet., 42
Walt., 44, 45
Scaccario, Will. de, 48, 44
Sherewind, Ric., 45
Sigar, Walt., 14
Slipere, Will., 9, 14, 16
Stevene, Pet., 45
Rad., 42
Strette, Agnes de la, 16
Alicia de la, 16
Suhtcote, Rog. de, 44
Sutor, Benegerus, 38
Rog., 38

Trice, Cristina, 44, 45

White, Hen. le, 44, 45 Willelmus, 9 Wrenche, Rob., 45

#### (1) Swincombe (Oxford)

Bercarius, Will., 7 Bosco, Hugo de, 7 Bouewode, Hugo, 7 Rog., 7 Bunting, Hen., 27

Carter, Joh., 27 Cruce, Pet. de, 27 Ric. de, 27 Dene, Hen. de la, 27

Etys, Ric., 7

Faber, Joh., 7
Filius Alexandri, Pet., 7
Filius Henrici, Will., 7
Fonte, Joh. de, 27
Forestarius, Joh., 27

Hacshe, Joh. de la, 27

Mile, Hen., 7 Ric., 7 Muncy, Rad., 27

Pik', Hugo, 7 Rob., filius Hugonis, 7

Rastold, Ric., 7

Wace, Relicta, 27 Wille, Adam, 27

#### (m) Tooting (Surrey)

Arthurus, 12

Bercarius, Yon, 7, 12 Bozco, Will. de, 29 Brodewatere, Rog. de, 26

Chaloner, Barth., 12

Elis, Hugo, 28

Faber, Will., 28 Filius Alme, Joh., 26 Filius Sueyn, Reg., 12 Filius Sutoris, Baldewynus, 26 Fleming, Hen., 26 Franceys, Will., 26

Godwynus, 8

Hageldon', Hamo de, 8, 12, 13

Jordan, Will., 12

Leaware, Ric., 13 Linene, Rob., 26

Morevilla, Rad. de, 13 Will. de, 8, 13 Will. filius Will., 13 Prepositus, Walt., 7, 12

Robin, Matillis reliota, 7 Roce, Will., 8 Ruffa, Lucia, 12 Ruffus, Rog., 8

Sarra, Vidua, 8
Scot, Will., 13
Spendelove, Mabilia relicta, 8, 13
Stretham, Elyas de, 12
Jordanus de, 8, 13
Will. de, 8
Sueyn, Reg., 18
Sutor, Walt., 26
Will., 7, 13

Walterus, 13

#### (n) Wantage (Berks.)

Bareton, Rob. le, 10 Biwestebrok, Eurardus, 11

Cimiterio, Cristiana relicta Petri de, 11

Faber, Walt., 31
Filius Ade, Hugo, 10
Filius Aelene, Hen., 10
Fraxino, Hen. de, 10
Rog. de, 10
Will. de, 31

Iremangere, Rog., 31 Will., 11

Lovel, Will., 10

Pasmer', Matillis de, 31 Prest, Will. le, 11 Puteo, Galf. de, 10

Reginaldi, Matillis relicta, 31 Ripel, Will. de, 31 Roberd, Joh., 31

Teler, Hen. le, 10

Wika, Hugo de, 11

### (o) Weedon (Northants.)

Askil, Thom., 16 Will., 16, 21, 24

Fleming, Will. le, 21 Franceys, God., 17

Frankelain, Steph., 24

Bilhing, Walt., 37 Bissop, Guner, 37 Bissop, Guner, 37 Bledd', Joh. de, 21 Boys, Rie. le, 11 Brockhole, Joh., 46 Juliana uxor Rob., 18 Rob., 17, 18, 21 Brother, Walt., 18 Will., 17 Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17 Will., 46 Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37 Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Cloricus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17 Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18 Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 Filla Fills Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Enma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Gileberd, Steph., 37 Godefr., 18 Godefray, Hen., 37 Godefray, Hen., 37 Godefray, Hen., 37 Godefray, Hen., 37 Godef, 18 Walt. de la, 17, 18, 37 Will., 11 Will., 11 Will., 11 Will., 11 Will., 18 Will. Letard, 17 Hel, Alina del, 17 Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38  Idel, Will., 18 Juvene, Sim. le, 87 King, David, 17 Love, Wido, 16 Love, Ric., 18 Lover, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 32 Lutting, Guner, 16 Loverd, Ric., 32 Lutting, Guner, 16 Mabeli, Agnes, 24 Joh. 23 Mercer, Joh. le, 11 Mile, Walt., 46, 47 Plumir, Ric., 16 Parys, Will., 11 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Pinguis, Noc, 24 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampelue, Agnes, 24 Suweyn, Galf., 17	Bernard, Joh., 17, 18 Rad., 24, 37	Gayton, Athelina uxor Thome de,
Bissop, Guner, 37 Bledd', Joh. de, 21 Boys, Ric. le, 11 Brockhole, Joh., 46 Juliana uxor Rob., 18 Rob., 17, 18, 21 Brother, Walt., 18 Will., 17 Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17 Will., 46 Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37 Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18 Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 Filla F		Gileberd, Steph., 87
Bledd', Joh. de, 21   Boys, Rio. le, 11   Brockhole, Joh., 46   Juliana uxor Rob., 18   Walt. de la, 17, 18, 87   Will., 11   Will. Letard, 17   Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21   Hel, Alina del, 17   Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38     Cade, Joh., 17   Will., 46   Juvene, Sim. le, 87     Camera, Col. de, 24   Cappe, Rio., 11   Caretarius, Joh., 37   Wido, 17   Lauman, Wido, 11     Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18   Loverd, Rio., 32   Lutting, Guner, 16     Cloricus, Juliana filia Will., 40   Sim., 11, 40   Will., 37   Coverer, Hen. le, 32   Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18   Joh., 17   Mabeli, Agnes, 24   Joh. 23   Mercor, Joh. le, 11   Will., 11     Dochy, Rob., 11, 18   Oppmel, Ric., 16     Faber, Ric., 24   Parys, Will., 11   Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47     FILIA   Filia   Filia   Rob., 21   Roesti, Agatha, 21   Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21   Sair, Juliana, 17   Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17   Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18   Will. de, 18   Will. de, 18   Stampeluue, Agnes, 24   Walt. de la, 17, 18, 37   Walt. de la, 17, 18, 37   Will., 18   Will. de, 18   Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Boys, Ric. le, 11 Brockhole, Joh., 46  Juliana uxor Rob., 18 Rob., 17, 18, 21  Brother, Walt., 18 Will., 17  Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17 Will., 46  Camera, Col. de, 24  Cappe, Ric., 11  Caretarius, Joh., 87 Wido, 17  Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37  Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will. 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIA Filia Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Wildonis, Ric., 11, 17  Walt. de la, 17, 18, 37 Will. Letard, 17 Will. Letard, 17  Hell, Alina del, 17 Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38  Idel, Will., 18  Viill., 18  Juvene, Sim. le, 87  King, David, 17  Lauman, Wido, 11 Loue, Wido, 16 Love, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 82 Lutting, Guner, 16 Loverd, Ric., 32 Lutting, Guner, 16  Sameror, Joh. le, 11 Mile, Walt., 46, 47  Pinguis, Noc, 24  Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Senel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Brockhole, Joh., 46     Juliana uxor Rob., 18     Rob., 17, 18, 21     Robert, Walt., 18     Will., 17     Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17     Will., 46 Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Rio., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 87     Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40     Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18     Joh., 17 Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18 Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA Fillus Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Will. od, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. del, 17, 18, 87 Will., Letard, 17 Hel, Alina del, 17 Hel, Alina del, 17 Hel, Alina del, 17 Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38  Idel, Will., 18  Vill., pavid, 17  King, David, 17 Loue, Wido, 16 Love, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 18  Walt. de la, 17, 18, 87 Will., Letard, 17  Will. Letard, 17  Hel, Alina del, 17 Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38  Idel, Will., 18  Will., pavid, 17  Lauman, Wido, 11 Loue, Wido, 16 Love, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 18  Loverd, Ric., 18  Loverd, Ric., 18  Vill., 19  Walt. Letard, 17  Hel, Alina del, 17 Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38  Idel, Will., 18  Will., 18  Vill., 18  Vill., 18  Vill., 19  Vill., 10  Vill., 10  Vill., 11  Vill., 11  Noe, 21  Vill., 11  Persone, Joh., 16, 87, 46, 47  Pinguis, Noe, 24  Plumir, Ric. le, 24  Senior, Godef., 11  Serviens, Ric., 24  Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17  Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18  Will. de, 18  Stampeluuc, Agnes, 24		Godef., 16
Juliana uxor Rob., 18 Rob., 17, 18, 21 Brother, Wall., 18 Will., 17 Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17 Will., 46 Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37 Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deyntc, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIUS Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Vill., 18 Will., 11 Will., Letard, 17 Hel, Alina del, 17 Hel, Alina del, 17 Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38  Idel, Will., 18 Lovene, Sim. le, 37  King, David, 17 Loue, Wido, 11 Loue, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 32 Lutting, Guner, 16 Loverd, Ric., 32 Lutting, Guner, 16  Mabeli, Agnes, 24 Joh. 23 Mercer, Joh. le, 11 Mile, Walt., 46, 47  Noe, 21  Parys, Will., 11 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Pinguis, Noe, 24 Plumir, Ric., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Rob., 17, 18, 21  Brother, Walt., 18  Will., 17  Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17  Will., 46  Camera, Col. de, 24  Cappe, Ric., 11  Caretarius, Joh., 37  Wido, 17  Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18  Walt., 11, 18  Champiun, Sim., 16  Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40  Sim., 11, 40  Will., 37  Coverer, Hen. le, 32  Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18  Joh., 17  Deyntc, Elyas, 11  Will., 11  Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24  Filtus Henrici, Joh., 17  Loverd, Emma, 32  Matillidis, Rob., 21  Noes, Will., 16  Prepositi, Sim., 17  Roberti, Agatha, 21  Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21  Sair, Juliana, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18  Will. Letard, 17  Hel, Alina del, 17  Hel, Alina del, 17  Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38  Idel, Will., 18  Lowene, Sim. le, 37  King, David, 17  Loue, Wido, 11  Loue, Wido, 11  Loue, Wido, 11  Loue, Wido, 11  Loue, Wido, 16  Love, Ric., 18  Loverd, Ric., 32  Lutting, Guner, 16  Sampeluue, Agnes, 24  Joh. 23  Mercer, Joh. le, 11  Mile, Walt., 46, 47  Pinguis, Noe, 21  Parys, Will., 11  Persone, Joh., 16, 87, 46, 47  Pinguis, Noe, 24  Plumir, Ric. le, 24  Richeman, Joh., 17  Senior, Godef., 11  Serviens, Ric., 24  Sampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Brother, Walt., 18 Will., 17 Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17 Will., 46 Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37 Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIA FILIA Filia Frepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Will., 17 Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17 Will., 46 Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37 Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Cloricus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Parys, Will., 11 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Pinguis, Noe, 24 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Bussel, Hugo, 17, 21  Cade, Joh., 17  Will., 46 Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37  Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18  Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40  Sim., 11, 40  Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18  Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11  Will., 11  Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24  Rog., 11, 21  Fillus Henrici, Joh., 17  Loverd, Emma, 32  Matillidis, Rob., 21  Noes, Will., 16  Prepositi, Sim., 17  Roberti, Agatha, 21  Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21  Sair, Juliana, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Will. de, 18  Juvene, Sim. le, 37  Lauman, Wido, 11  Loue, Wido, 16  Love, Ric., 18  Loverd, Ric., 18  Loverd, Ric., 18  Loverd, Ric., 18  Loverd, Ric., 18  Juh., 23  Matillidis, Rob., 21  Roberti, Agatha, 21  Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21  Sair, Juliana, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18  Will. de, 18  Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		Hel, Alina del, 17
Will., 46 Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37 Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Fillus Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		Hulle, Rob. ate, 37, 38
Camera, Col. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37 Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA Filius Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17 Wido, 17  Lauman, Wido, 11 Lauman, Wido, 11 Loue, Wido, 16 Love, Ric., 18 Lover, Ric., 18 Lover, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 32 Lutting, Guner, 16  Mabeli, Agnes, 24 Joh. 23 Mercer, Joh. le, 11 Mile, Walt., 46, 47  Parys, Will., 11 Persone, Joh., 16, 87, 46, 47 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Gode uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	Cade, Joh., 17	Idel, Will., 18
Camera, Gol. de, 24 Cappe, Ric., 11 Caretarius, Joh., 37 Wido, 17 Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18 Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIA FILIA Fictory Filia Fil	Will., 46	Juvene, Sim. le. 87
Caretarius, Joh., 37     Wido, 17  Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18     Walt., 11, 18     Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40     Sim., 11, 40     Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18     Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11     Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Paber, Ric., 24     Filla Filla Filla Filla Filla Filla Fillos Henrici, Joh., 17     Loverd, Enma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21     Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17     Roberti, Agatha, 21     Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17     Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Will. de, 18  Clauman, Wido, 11 Loue, Wido, 16 Love, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 18 Loverd, Ric., 18      Loverd, Ric., 18     Loverd, Ric., 16  Parys, Will., 11 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Plumir, Ric. le, 24  Richeman, Joh., 17 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Caretarius, Joh., 37     Wido, 17  Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18     Walt., 11, 18     Champiun, Sim., 16     Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40     Sim., 11, 40     Will., 37     Coverer, Hen. le, 32     Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18     Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11     Will., 11  Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24     Rog., 11, 21     Filla     Fillus Henrici, Joh., 17     Loverd, Enuma, 32     Matillidis, Rob., 21     Noes, Will., 16     Prepositi, Sim., 17     Roberti, Agatha, 21     Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21     Sair, Juliana, 17     Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17	Cappe, Ric., 11	King, David, 17
Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18	Caretarius, Joh., 37	<b>.</b>
Walt., 11, 18 Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Paber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIA FILIA Filius Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Einma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17		Lauman, Wido, 11
Champiun, Sim., 16 Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIA FILIA FILIA FICUS Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Mabeli, Agnes, 24 Joh. 23  Matelli, Agnes, 24 Joh. 23  Mercer, Joh. le, 11 Persone, Joh. le, 11 Persone, Joh., 16 Prepsone, Joh., 16, 87, 46, 47 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	Cartere, Matillis relicta, 11, 18	Loue, Wido, 16
Clericus, Juliana filia Will., 40 Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIA FILIA FILIA FILIA FICUS Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Will., 40  Mabeli, Agnes, 24 Joh. 23  Mercer, Joh. le, 11 Mile, Walt., 46, 47  Poppmel, Ric., 16  Parys, Will., 11 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Plumir, Ric. le, 24  Richeman, Joh., 17 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	Walt., 11, 18	Love, Ric., 18
Sim., 11, 40 Will., 37 Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIA FILIA FILIA FILIA FICUS Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Widenis, Ric., 11, 17  Wabeli, Agnes, 24 Joh. 23 Mercer, Joh. le, 11 Mile, Walt., 46, 47 Poppmel, Ric., 16 Parys, Will., 11 Persone, Joh., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Richeman, Joh., 17 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		Loverd, Ric., 82
Coverer, Hen. le, 32 Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18 Joh., 17  Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 FILIA FILIA FILIUS Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17  Noes, Will., 16 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Willonis, Ric., 11, 17  Noes, Ric., 24 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24  Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		Lutting, Guner, 16
Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18     Joh., 17  Mercer, Joh. le, 11     Mile, Walt., 46, 47  Deynte, Elyas, 11     Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Paper, Ric., 24     Rog., 11, 21     Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47  Filia Fili		Mabeli, Agnes, 24
Joh., 17       Mile, Walt., 46, 47         Deynte, Elyas, 11       Noe, 21         Will., 11       Oppmel, Ric., 16         Faber, Ric., 24       Parys, Will., 11         Rog., 11, 21       Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47         Filla       Pinguis, Noe, 24         Fillus Henrici, Joh., 17       Plumir, Ric. le, 24         Loverd, Emma, 32       Richeman, Joh., 17         Noes, Will., 16       Richeman, Joh., 17         Prepositi, Sim., 17       Senior, Godef., 11         Roberti, Agatha, 21       Serviens, Ric., 24         Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21       Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17         Sair, Juliana, 17       Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18         Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18       Will. de, 18         Widonis, Ric., 11, 17       Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	Coverer, Hen. le, 32	
Deynte, Elyas, 11 Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Paber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Pinguis, Noc, 24 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Willonis, Ric., 11, 17 Noe, 21 Noe, 24 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	Crispus Aylewinus, 17, 18	
Will., 11 Dochy, Rob., 11, 18  Paber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Pinguis, Noe, 24 Filta Pinguis, Noe, 24 Filtus Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Widonis, Ric., 11, 17  Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17	Joh., 17	Mile, Walt., 46, 47
Faber, Ric., 24 Rog., 11, 21 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Pinguis, Noc, 24 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Widonis, Ric., 11, 17  Persone, Will., 11 Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47 Pinguis, Noc, 24 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Richeman, Joh., 17 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Will. de, 18		Noe, 21
Rog., 11, 21       Persone, Joh., 16, 37, 46, 47         FILIA       Pinguis, Noc, 24         FILIUS Henrici, Joh., 17       Plumir, Ric. le, 24         Loverd, Emma, 32       Richeman, Joh., 17         Noes, Will., 16       Richeman, Joh., 17         Prepositi, Sim., 17       Senior, Godef., 11         Roberti, Agatha, 21       Serviens, Ric., 24         Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21       Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17         Sair, Juliana, 17       Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18         Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18       Will. de, 18         Widonis, Ric., 11, 17       Stampeluuc, Agnes, 24	Dochy, Rob., 11, 18	Oppmel, Ric., 16
FILIA         Pinguis, Noc, 24           FILIUS Henrici, Joh., 17         Plumir, Ric. le, 24           Loverd, Emma, 32         Richeman, Joh., 17           Matillidis, Rob., 21         Richeman, Joh., 17           Noes, Will., 16         Senior, Godef., 11           Prepositi, Sim., 17         Serviens, Ric., 24           Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21         Serviens, Ric., 24           Sair, Juliana, 17         Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18           Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18         Will. de, 18           Widonis, Ric., 11, 17         Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	Faber, Ric., 24	Parys, Will., 11
Filtus Henrici, Joh., 17 Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Widonis, Ric., 11, 17 Plumir, Ric. le, 24 Richeman, Joh., 17 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	Rog., 11, 21	
Loverd, Emma, 32 Matillidis, Rob., 21 Richeman, Joh., 17 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Wildonis, Ric., 11, 17  Richeman, Joh., 17 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		Pinguis, Noc, 24
Matillidis, Rob., 21 Richeman, Joh., 17 Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Widonis, Ric., 11, 17  Richeman, Joh., 17 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		Plumir, Ric. le, 24
Noes, Will., 16 Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Wildonis, Ric., 11, 17 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Prepositi, Sim., 17 Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Wildonis, Ric., 11, 17 Senior, Godef., 11 Serviens, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		Richeman, Joh., 17
Roberti, Agatha, 21 Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Wildonis, Ric., 11, 17  Servions, Ric., 24 Snel, Goda uxor Will., 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Will. de, 18 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Sacerdotis, Gil., 18, 21 Sair, Juliana, 17 Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18 Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18 Wildonis, Ric., 11, 17 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Sair, Juliana, 17       Stambrig', Hugo de, 17, 18         Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18       Will. de, 18         Widonis, Ric., 11, 17       Stampeluue, Agnes, 24	•	
Vicarii, Ric., 11, 17, 18       Will. de, 18         Widonis, Ric., 11, 17       Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
Widonis, Ric., 11, 17 Stampeluue, Agnes, 24		
	widoms, 1910., 11, 17	

Suweyn, Galf., 17

Joh., 17, 18, 31

Tailoor, Godef. le, 17, 21, 36

Tale, Will., 11
Tonestal, Alicia uxor Joh., 46
Galf., 17
Joh., 46

Wid, Rob., 25
Walt., 24
Wilot, Will., 46
Winebaud, Galf., 17, 21
Juliana uxor Rad., 17, 18, 21
Sim., 21
Winebold, Sim., 16
Witrich', Joh., 16, 18

Yngulf, Galf., 87 Yvo, 40

#### (p) Wretham (Norfolk)

Filia Aluredi, Botild, 12 Filia Edithe, Magotta, 12 Filia Jori, Agnes, 12 Filia Seemani, Agnes, 12 Filia Stephani, Margarita, 12 Filius Ricardi, Gil., 12 Frankelayne, Galf., 12

#### II. THE ABBOT OF RAMSEY'S HONOUR OF BROUGHTON.

Abouetoun, Galf., 71
Achholt, W. de, 68
Rob. filius Will. de, 72
Aspelon, Joh., 72
Aula, Will., de, 67
Aylington, Joh. de, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58, 62, 63, 65, 66, 72

62, 63, 65, 66, 72 Ballard', Joh., 79 Balliolo, Ric. de, 69 Ballivus, Will., 82, 83 Barth', Rog. le, 79 Barton, Will. de, 69, 79 Baruwe, Joh. de, 52, 54, 55, 60 Rog. de, 85 Bayllif, Thom. le, 69 Bayloyl, Hugo de, 58 Bedel, Thom. le, 69 Bello Campo, W. de, 57, 62, 68 Benethetun, Ric., 68 Beneyt, Galf., 52 Bere, God., 55, 58 Ric. de la, 54, 57, 60 Bereford, W. de, 75 Bernewell', Will. de, 76 Berth', Hugo le, 69 Beynville, Ric. de, 84 Thom. de, 72 Blundel, Galf., 72 Borowell', Albredus de, 72, 76, 81 Botiler, Rad. le, 72, 74 Rog. le, 72, 76, 81

Bradenack', War. de, 69, 72, 81

Brancestria, Mich. de, 55, 59

Brancestria, Thom. de, 72 Bray, Joh. de, 58 Will. de, 71 Brekespere, Will., 69, 71 Brevis, Joh. del, 69 Broucton', Apsalon de, 66, 67 Gocelinus de, 67 Will. de, 69, 71 Brown, Hen., 71 Ric., 79 Burel, Steph., 60 Burne, Rich. de la, 52, 53, 56, 58, 61, 66 Rob. de la, 71 Walt. filius Rich. de la, 61 Bythebrock', Walt., 73

Camera, And. de, 59 Camvill', Eustachius de, 57, 61 Cancia, J. de. 61, 64 Carectarius, Barth., 67 Carnayle, Will. de la, 59 Carpentarius, Joh., 64 Carpenter, Rog. le, 70 Castre, Rad. de, 80, 85 Catoun, Ric., 70 Cheny, Hen. de, 69, 73, 81 Cheual, Hugo de, 65 Cissor, Rob., 54 Clerke, Thom. le, 71 Clervaus, J. de, 81 Rad. de, 76 Comton', Rob. de, 55, 60 Will. filius Rob., 60

Cotenham, Joh. de, 76, 79	FILIA
Cranemere, Rog. de, 74	Filius
Craunfeud', And. de, 71	Henrici, Will., 67
Gil. de, 81	Hugonis, Adam, 79
Rog. filius Gil. de, 81	Alan, 69
n 11 n' 40	Hen., 71
Dally, Ric., 70	Joh., 67
Darcy, Norman, 77, 80, 84	Math., 54, 58, 63
Deyn, Joh. le, 80	Rob., 54, 60
Dilewik', Rog de, 55, 58, 61, 63, 65,	Rog., 71
66, 67	Will., 58, 59, 61, 67, 78
Druri, Hen., 79	Jocelini, Joh., 60, 63
Eliswurth', Alicia de, 55, 58	Will., 61
Ed. de, 80	Johannis, Ben., 57
Engayne, Hen. de, 55	Joh., 53
Joh. de, 70, 76	Ric., 66
	Will., 66
Enveyse, Sylv. le, 72, 76, 78, 80 Will. le, 72, 76, 78, 80, 81	Lecie, Sym., 54
Eyr, Rog. le, 56	Mayn, Alan., 68
Will. le, 74	Mile, Galf., 55
Will. filius Will. le, 75	Nicholai, Thom., 68
Will. III as Will. 16, 70	Radulfi, Hen., 55
Fenton', Rog. de, 64	Joh., 60, 72
Filia	Rad., 58
Filius	Ric., 71
Alani, Ric., 60	Rob., 68
Rog., 63	Reginaldi, Joh., 5
Andree, Rad., 55	Rob., 55
Apsalonis, Joh., 65	Ricardi, Rad., 69
Bartholomei, Vin., 63	Rob., 58, 61
Benedicti, Galf., 61	Sim., 58
Briani, Ric., 59	Thom., 69
Clerici, Joh., 58	Will., 52, 58
Emme, Will., 71	Roberti, Joh., 58
Eustagii, Herv., 58	Nich., 55
Everardi, Will., 55, 58	Rad., 68
Galfridi, Joh., 71	Will., 53, 54, 55
Ric., 72	Rogeri, Hen., 54, 57
Will., 58	Thom., 69
Galien', Walt., 64, 65	Stephani, Adam, 72
Giliberti, Rog., 75	Rad., 58
War., 58, 61	Symonis, Thom., 52
Will., 66	Thome, Hen., 54
Hawysie, Rob., 64	Will., 59
Will., 55	Walteri, Joh., 55, 66, 78
Henrici, Galf., 54	Sim., 58
Hugo, 58	Warini, Thom., 58
Joh., 58, 71	Willelmi, Joh., 55
Rad., 51, 55, 58	
Rob., 65	Rob., 57, 83
21004 100	Rog., 69, 71

Filius Willelmi, Sym., 55 Thom., 55, 63, 65, 66, 71 Will., 69, 71, 72 Yvonis, Thom., 66 Foulere, Bernard le, 69 Fraser, Gil., 63 Fraunceys, Joh., 72, 76 Fraunkehome, Adam le, 81 Freman, Thom. le, 55, 76 Will. le, 82 Fugers, Rob., 55 Will., 55, 71 Fyt, Rob. le, 72, 81 Garden, Ben., 54, 73 Gardener, Bern. le, 71 Gentil, Beatricia, 52 Gernoun, Joh., 73, 81 Rob., 79 Gernun, Nich., 67 Gidding', Joh. de, 69, 72 Rad. de, 70 Ric. de, 59 Walt. de, 66 Gledeseye, Joh. de, 58 Rob. de, 72 Sim. de, 69, 72 Glovornic, Comes, 56, 57, 65 Gore, Will., 79 Grafham, W. de, 84 Grancurt, Thom. de, 61 Grauele, Rob. filius Walt. de, 55, 58 Walt. de, 55

Haliwell, Apsalon de, 67, 68
Hampter, Ric. le, 52
Haningfeud', sce Hanyfeld'
Hanyfeld', Sewalus, filius Will., 78
Thom. filius Will., 78
Will. de, 72, 76, 78, 81, 84
Harpefeud', Joh. de, 55, 59, 71, 76, 78, 8!
Hayward, Ric. le, 73
Heningfeld', Sewal de, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 61
Herbert, Will., 81

Grava, Will. de, 55, 61, 71

Griffinus, Princeps Wallie, 59 Gyd', Walt. de, 52, 53

VOL. II.

Heres, Rog., 53, 54 Sim., 60 Will., 67, 68 Hervy, Will., 79 Holewell', Steph. de, 52, 55, 63 Will. de, 63, 69, 71, 76, 79, 81 Horold, Will., 64 Hotot, Ric. de, 69, 71, 76 Hotton', Rob. de, 53, 54, 67, 68 S. de, 66 Hubert, Joh., 73, 74 Hundredar', Elyas, 67 Hunt', Is. de, 70 Huntercumbe, Walt. de, 72 Hygeneye, Auicia de, 83, 84 Hyrst, Yvo de, 69, 76, 79, 83 Thom. filius Yvonis de, 52, 63

Johannes, Abbas Ramesiensis, 75

Ken, Joh. le, 71 Kernayll', Will. de la, 62, 63 Ketil, Will., 71 King, Johanna le, 69

Lauheshull, Rob. filius Sim. de, 54
Sim. de, 54
Lenveyse, Selv., 61, 64
Lewelinus, Princeps Wallie, 59, 60
Leyham, Joh. de, 76, 81
Olyva de, 72
Pet. de, 52, 58, 61, 63
Lindeseya, Thom. de, 62
Lond', Will. de, 70
Louerd', Joh. le, 69

Mahew, Joh., 71
Marescallus, Rad., 57, 71
Vin., 68
Mareschal, Rad. le, 54, 76
Martin, Sim., 73
May, Joh., 72
Mercer, Thom. le, 79
Mere, Isabella atte, 73
Molendinarius, Hen., 69, 81
Monachus, Ber., 76
Monewode, Umf. de, 72
Morel, Rob., 60, 68
Morice, Will., 69, 71
Mouwyn, Joh., 76

Mouys, Hascelyna, 71, 73 Moyllard, Cecilia, 75 Isabella filia Cecilie, 75 Moyne, Berengarus le, 52, 54, 55, 60, 61, 64, 67, 76, 77, 80, 84 Will. le, 72, 76, 78, 80, 81, 85 Mulesho, Hugo de, 54, 58

Norreys, Rad. le, 71, 76, 79 Rog. filius Rad. le, 71 Nune, Will. le, 79

Ocholt, Rob. filius Will. de, 74 Olneye, Joh. de, 52 Over', Rob. de, 62 Oxindon', Ed. de, 79 Oxonie, Comes, 57, 62, 68, 72, 76, 77, 79, 80, 84

Pappewurth, Ric. de, 61
Parentin, Rob. de, 52, 53, 55, 58
Payne, Cristiana filia Sim., 81
Joh., 71
Sim., 58
Peverel, Rob., 58
Porter, Ric. le, 55
Will. le, 71
Prentot, Hugo, 73, 75
Adam, 73
Prepositus, Benedict., 64
Prodome, Steph., 69
Pyel, Thom., 59

Radelyve, Joh. de, 82, 83, 84 Radewell', Will. de, 65 Rameseia, Abbas de, 61, 65, 74, 84 Ramesiensis, Camerarius, 56 Randulph', Joh., 67 Ranulphus, Abbas Ramesiensis, 68 Rauele, Joh. de, 55 Rideman, Will., 69, 72, 73, 75, 76, 80 Ridemannus, 53, 64, 77, 81 Ripam, Galf. ad, 64 Ripton', Alex. de, 68, 79 Miles de, 52 Phil. filius Militis de, 52, 76 Rodland, Galf., 53, 55, 58, 59, 66 Roulaund, Galf., 75 Ric. 74, 81 Russel, Will., 60

Sale, Hen. de la, 71 Sauncheverel, Nich., 71 Schepewyk, Joh. de, 72 Stangryth', Isabella de, 81 Stouwe, Bald. de, 72, 73, 76, 81 Stratford', Agneta de, 53, 54, 55, 58 61, 63, 65 Elias filius Agnete de, 53, 54, 56, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67 Straton', Elyas de, 58 Stripling, Sim., 61 Styuecl', Barn. de, 76, 77, 79, 80, 84 Hen. de, 63, 65, 68 Rob. de, 52, 53, 55, 66, 68 Swyneford', Margeria de, 69, 73, 77, 80, 84

Tartarin, Alex., 70
Tebaud', Joh., 64
Terefeud', Joh. de, 80, 85
War. de, 56, 57
Theref', Elyas de, 66
Trille, Rog., 59
Tyvile, Rad. de, 54, 58, 61, 64

Ulf, Ric., 68 Unwyne, Thom., 71 Upwod', Walt. de, 66, 67

Vernoun, Joh. de, 76

Walkelyn, Alex., 76 War', Thom. le, 74, 85 Warboys, Barth. filius Mich. de, 63 Joh. filius Umfr. de, 78 Wardeb', Mich. de, 63 Warewyk', Thom. le, 79, 80 Warinus, Attornatus Abbatis, 63, 65, Wassinggele, W. de, 74, 75, 83, 86 Welle, Joh. de, 84 Weston', Maur. de, 60 Winton', Rad. de, 55 Witside, Rad. 55 Wychenton', W. de, 57, 62, 76, 77 79, 84 Wyking, Rad., 56 Will, filius Rad., 56 Wyne, Will., 75

#### INDEX OF PERSONS.

Wynton', Rad. de, 64, 65, 66, 67 Wyston', Will. filius Will. de, 76, 80, 81 Wystoue, J. de, 85

Yvone, Sancto, Will. Vicarius de, 60

#### III. MANORS OF THE ABBEY OF RAMSEY.

#### (a) Elton (Hunts.)

Achard, Hen., 91
Hugo, 91, 94
Alicia soror Reginaldi, 94
Angulo, Galf. in, 91
Ric. in, 91
Athelina soror Reginaldi, 94
Aylington, Joh. de, 91
Steph. de, 94

Bernewell', Will. de, 91, 92, 93, 94 Blakeman, Reg., 90 Boneyt, Reg., 93 Bovebroc, Hen., 92 Hen. filius Henrici, 92 Joh., 92

Brinitone, Galf. de, 91, 93

Capellanus, Rob., 91
Carpenter, Elias, 92
Carter, Magge le, 92
Child, Gil., 92
Phil., 91
Cristiana soror Reginaldi, 94
Crucem, Alex. ad, 92
Rob. ad, 90, 92
Crudde, Rog., 94
Cuttyle, Agnes, 93

Duning, Joh., 91, 94

Faber, Hen., 91
Fabianus, 93
FILIA
FILIUS
Alexandri, Joh., 91
Bele, Mabilia, 91
Ric., 91
Benedicti, Reg., 91, 94
Gileberti, Alex., 91
Goscelini, Rich., 95
Imberti, Rad., 93
Jacobi, Will., 95
Prepositi, Hen., 90, 91, 93

Franceys, Joh., 91 Freman, Elyas le, 94 Joh. le., 94 Will. le, 92

Gamel, Gil., 91 Rog., 90, 91, 92 Godswein, Hen., 91 Joh., 91 Goscelinus, 91

Hubert, Rad., 92

Mal', Thom., 92 Ric. filius Thom., 92 Messor, Hen., 91 Morburn', Thom., 92, 93 Mustard, Jordanus, 94

Newebonde, Hen., 92, 93 Noppe, Phil., 90

Page, Joh., 91, 93, 94 Reg., 94 Prepositus, And., 91 Gil., filius Ric., 92 Mich., 92, 95 Ric., 92, 95

Saladin, Agnes filia Phil., 92, 93 Phil., 92 Sutor, Rad., 91

Wald', Will. de, 94 Wyse, Gil. filius Reg. le, 92 Reg. le, 92

## (b) Gidding (Hunts.)

Bayllon, Sim., 98 Bert, Alicia, uxor Joh., 98 Joh., 98 Bouetun, Will. a, 97, 98 Will. filius Willelmi a, 97 Bycke, Will., 97 Bynethetun, Joh., 98

Carpentarius, Hen., 97 Coten', Joh. de, 98

Filius Hawysie, Joh., 98
Filius Nicholai, Joh., 97
Filius Rogeri, Will., 98
Filius Walteri, Martinus, 97
Fraunkeleyn, Rob., 97
Walt., 98
Fykeys, Will., 97

Gray, Reg. le, 98

Hewen, Sarra le, 98 Sim. le, 98

Kyng, Walt., 98

Lach', Joh. le, 98

Messor, Will., 97 Monck, Joh., 98 Sarra le, 98

Neweman, Joh. lc, 97

Pekker', Sim. 97

Scot, Alanus, 97

Tixtor, Ric., 94

(c) Hemingford (Hunts.)

Almar, Thom., 89, 90 Reg., 89 Amable, Thom., 88, 89 Aunzered, Joh., 89, 90

Benelond, Sim. de, 88 Borel, Sim., 90

Cademan, Sim., 89 Co, Joh. le, 89 Cot, Alicia, 89

Elesworth', Nich. de, 88

Faber, Thom., 89

Fermer, Nich. le, 88
FILIUS
Galfridi, Hen., 88
Sim., 88
Gunilde, Joh., 88
Henrici, Absalon, 90
Hen., 89
Rogeri, Hen., 88, 89
Sim., 88
Petri, Adam, 88
Reg., 88
Ric., 90
Simonis, Will., 89
Thome, Will., 90

Grey, Reg. de, 89 Gunnild, Joh., 89

Ingol, Katerina, 89

Maram, Reg. ad, 88 Mare, Reg. ate, 90 Marescallus, Thom., 88 Mutun, Beatrice, 89

Noble, Joh. le, 89 Noweman, Galf. le, 88

Osemund, Cristiana, 89

Palmerius, Nig., 88 Prepositus, Hen., 89 Puteum, Hen. ad, 88

Ripam, Augerus ad, 89

Steyle, Will. ate, 89

Trappe, Hen., 88 Jor., 88 Nich., 89

Yvone, Sancto, W. Vicarius de, 89,59

(d) Stukeley (Hunts.)

Aristot, Will., 96

Benereht, Sim., 96

Chacede, Sym., 96 Coldmortone, Rob. dc, 96

Faber, Alex., 96

Filius Royse, Rob., 96 Filius Thome, Hugo, 96 Filius Unfridi, Steph., 96

Hulot, Thom., 96

Lewynus, 96

Margaret, Frater W., 96 Molendinarius, Ric., 96

Ordwy, Bateman, 96

Poer, Hugo, 96

Rastel, Rad., 96

Salatiel, Will., 96, 97 Scot, Joh., 96 Seberin, Alex., 94 Stouwe, Rad. de, 96, 97

Wale, Thom., 96 Weston', Isabella de, 96 Wyting, Rog., 96

# IV. THE ABBOT OF RAMSEY'S MANOR OF KING'S RIPTON.

Alkemundebyr', Beatricia uxor Will.
de, 107, 108, 109, 110
Johanna filia Will. de, 113, 114, 115, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 124, 128
Will. de, 107, 124
Angulo, Hugo in, 108, 111
Ivo filius Hugonis in, 122
Nich. in, 111
Arnold, Cristina, 111, 112
Nich., 108, 112, 118, 114, 121, 122, 125

Ascherigge, Rog. de, 116
Aspelon, Cristina uxor Thom. de, 113
Thom., 112, 113, 122
Aula, Margeria uxor Nich. de, 116
Nich. de, 108, 112, 122

Bailof, Ric., 108
Bernewell', Ric. de, 112
Blaysworth', Will. de, 122
Blurt, Rob., 107
Brigtwold, Joh., 125
Thom., 121
Brocton', Hen. de, 109
Joh. de, 121

Capellanus, sce Carpentar
Carpentar', Barth. le, 125, 126
Magister Will., 114, 120, 125, 126
Carpun, Nich., 111, 112
Ric., 121
Chapman, Hugo le, 108
Clericus, Ivo, 109

Thom., 120 Clerk, Thom. le, 107, 109, 119 Cupere, Thom., 114, 118, 120, 126 Cuty, And., 108

Den, Joh. le, 108 Walt. le, 108 Dike, Joh., 109, 111, 115

Ebor', Will. de, 124 Eyr, Sim. le, 111, 112, 122, 124, 125

FILIUS

Brit', Barth., 108
Hervei, Swayn, 123, 124
Hugonis, Nich., 118
Johannis, Nich., 117
Radulfi, Barth., 123, 124, 125
Simonis, Hen., 112
Joh., 110, 112, 125
Thom., 111, 112, 118, 122, 125
Walteri, Yvo, 109
Willelmi, Alan, 117
Joh., 111, 114, 125
Rog., 108, 109, 123, 124
Will., 109, 123, 124
Froyl, Joh., 124

Gilbert, Warinus, 111
Graeling, Hen., 125
Hugo, 107, 108, 119, 112, 122
Matillis relicta Hugonis, 122
Rog., 122
Grauel', Joh. de, 111
Graylord,' Hugo, 122

Hale, Beatricia de, 113, 114 Joh. de, 113

Iuyngeho, Will. de, 109

Juel, Rob., 122

Kellawe, Nich. filius Rog. de, 126, 127 Rob. filius Rog. de, 126, 127 Rog. de, 126, 127 Kenlowe, Rog. de, 121

Mayn, Barth., 121, 122 Med', Will., 110

Neweman, Nich., 109, 111, 114, 120, 122
Will. le, 111, 113, 114, 118, 119, 120, 125

Otes, Joh., 107

Palmerus, Hugo, 111, 114, 122 Joh., 111, 112, 125 Pege, Joh., 125 Pikard, Joh., 107, 108, 109, 110 Hen. filius Sim., 128 Katerina filia Thom., 121, 126, 127 Prepositus, Hen. filius Sim., 109, 123, 124 Prepositus, Thom. filius Sim., 112 Sim., 112 Provost, Sim., 109, 123 Pykerel, Will., 118

Rameseia, Abbas de, 112, 114, 115, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 128
Rameseia, Rog. de, 111, 114, 122
Rauele, Joh. de, 110
Robat, Steph., 108, 118, 125
Russel, Sim., 124

Stalkere, Joh. le, 110, 111, 112, 113, 116, 117, 118, 125, 128, 129
Rog. le, 128
Will. filius Rog., 128, 129
Stiuckle, Rob. de, 115
Sumerford', Juliana uxor Will., 124
Will. de, 124
Sweyn, Barth., 118, 114, 118
Rad. le, 111
Swyndon', Hen. de, 113, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120

Umfrey, Cristina, 125 Thom., 125 Will., 113, 115, 126

## V. THE FAIR OF S. IVES.

Albano, Joh. de Sancto, 149, 156 Anphelys, Hen., 151 Ape, Gatte, 147, 148, 157 Godef., 147, 148 Leticia uxor Gatte, 147, 148 Aspelon, Rad., 158 Aswell', Will., 157 Aurifaber, Barth., 158 Joh., 151, 152, 153, 155, 156, 158

Bac, Thom., 144
Balauncer, Rad. le, 149, 153, 154
Barton', Phil. de, 149
Barun, Walt., 150
Belvero, Ric. de, 157
Ber, Rob. le, 153, 154
Berton', Ric. de, 139
Beston', Joh. de, 144, 153, 154, 159
Rad. de, 153, 154

Blake, Sim. le, 149, 151, 153, 154, 155, 156
Bocher, Ric. le, 142
Bolton', Will. de, 149, 155, 156, 158, 159, 160
Botulpho, Joh. de Sancto, 143, 155
Ric. de Sancto, 141, 142, 143, 144
Boudon', Ric. de, 159
Briselaunce, Will., 154, 159
Brocton', Hugo de, 143
Brungere, Hugo, 157
Byssop, Will., 141

Cantuaria, Mich. de, 150
Caperun, Nich., 149
Cappe, Rad., 151
Castreton', Gil. de, 144, 153, 154, 159
Chapman, Emma uxor Hen. le, 142, 144

Hen. le, 142 Sim. le, 141, 142 Cheldedewe, Galf. de, 158 Clericus, Phil., 159 Cok, Hen., 146 Colle, Joh., 144 Coreby, Hen. de, 150 Couentre, Thom. de, 145, 146, 155 Coventre, Walt. de, 159, 160 Coynte, Hugo le, 149 Cres, Alicia le, 158, 160 Cressinghale, Hen. de, 143

Denton', Gil. de, 159
Depe, Joh. de, 141
Donewyz, Joh. filius Rob., 152
Rob. de, 149, 152
Douton', Gil. de, 149
Dunstapl', Will. de, 143
Dunton', Rad. de, 141
Durant, Rob., 143

Eltesle, Will. de, 143, 144 Ely, Ric. de, 158, 160 Walt. de, 138 Eureman, Rob., 142

Filius Alexandri, Reg., 145
Rog., 140
Filius Reginaldi, Ric., 144
Filius Wiscardi, Ric., 159
Fletebrigge, Amicia uxor Will. de, 145, 146, 147, 155
Will. de, 145, 146, 147, 155
Fontibus, Thom. de, 141, 143
Franceys, Will., 143
Frankes, Joh., 139
Friskele, Ran. de, 155

Garsoppe, Adam, 138, 139 Grafton', Ric. de, 145 Graham, Ric. de, 156, 157

Horningsete, Will. de, 138 Houel, Rob., 145, 146 Hundredarius, Elyas, 141, 145, 148, 149

Kenstan, Sym., 157 Koket', Ric., 142 Kyrkested, Abbas de, 148 Ric. celerarius de, 147, 148 Will. celerarius de, 147, 148

Lamehethe, Joh. de, 156, 157 Len', Godef. de, 153 Lolleworth', Hen. de, 142 Lomb, Rog., 141, 142 London', Thom. de, 143, 145, 160

Meldeburn', Ric. de, 153, 156
Rob. de, 149, 155
Mercator, Pet., 143
Sim., 143
Steph., 145
Mercer, Anquitinus le, 156
Galf. le, 159
Walt. le, 159
Michaele, Bruno de Sancto, 149 152
Middelton', Steph. de, 152
Monte Sorelli, Will. de, 145, 146

Naso, Adam cum, 145, 146 Norweye, Katerina de, 149 Noto, Rog. de Sancto, 150

Ordemere, Thom., 152 Oxon, Galf. de, 140, 141

Parser, Alanus, 145, 146
Paterner, Ric., 143
Pistor, Thom., 145
Pole, Rob. de la, 149, 153, 154
Porta, Joh. filius Thom. de, 153, 154
Thom. de, 149
Poter, Walt. le, 156
Pykard, Reg., 139

Raven, Rad., 144, 145 Redhod', Pet. 138, 139 Rippes, Joh. de, 159 Roynham, Godef., 159 Russel, Rob., 150, 151 Rydone, Joh. de, 159, 160 Rysseburk,' Joh. de, 150, 151

Selide, Adam, 157, 158 Snetesham, Joh. de, 154 Stamford, Eus. de, 159 Stanford', Joh. de, 155 Stanford', Pet. de, 152 Sudbyr', Rob. de, 143 Sutor, Alanus, 144, 145 Swyneford, Hugo de, 149, 155 Sythyngedon, S. de, 157

Thirninge, Adam de, 158
Nich. de., 157, 158
Thorcheneys, Rob. de, 141, 142
Thorp', Odo de, 151, 152, 153
Ordemarus de, 152
Will. de, 151, 152, 153
Torallo, Thom. de, 149, 153, 154,
155, 156

Tord, Rob., 150, 151 Touleslund', Ric. de, 150 Troner, Walt. le, 149, 152

Waderoue, Adam, 140, 141
Warington, Joh. de, 159
Weiles, Thom. de, 138, 189
West, Gerardus, 150
Weston', Thom. de, 189
Wodeful, Joh., 148
' Matildis uxor Joh., 148, 145
Wreningham, Reg. de, 149, 152

Yvone, Prior de Sancto, 144

# VI. THE ABBOT OF BATTLE'S MANOR OF BRIGHTWALTHAM.

Agodehulf, Warinus, 169 Alcyn, Joh. filius Ran., 174 Ran. filius Ric., 166, 173, 174 Ric., 166, 173 Attegrene, Joh., 167, 168, 170, 171 Joh., filius Joh., 168 Aurey, Joh., 173

Bagge, Thom., 168
Bayge, Thom., 173
Bello, Abbas de, 175
Bingeys, Will., 173
Bisuthewod', Joh., 173
Rog., 170, 173
War., 170, 173
Will., 171
Bolter, Joh. le, 175

Capellanus, Rob. 167
Thom., 172
Will., 172, 173
Carettarius, Will., 170
Chapman, Elena uxor Rog., 171, 173
Rog., 170, 171
Chaufyns, Thom., 173
Cissor, Rad., 171, 173
Couper, Joh., 168
Clucem, Joh. filius Ric. ad, 167
Ric. ad, 167, 170
Will. ad, 173

Eureshole, Rob. de, 171

Faber, Hen., 167
Rad., 167
Thom., 167, 168
Fette, Ric. le, 167
Filia Matillidis, Agnes, 167
Filia Radulfi, Alicia, 169
Fulk', Will., 172
Fulrith', Ric. de, 168

Gosselyn, Joh., 165, 178 Guyot, Joh., 178 Gyote, Joh., 165

Henricus, Claviger Domini, 178 Hupton', Editha de, 165

Jordan, Galf., 173 Juvenis, Ric., 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173

Kepe, Will. ate, 173

Lewes, Will. de, 166 Lucas, Sacrista de Bello, 170

May, Gervasius le, 168 Mescumb', Will. de, 165, 173 Molendinarius, Thom., 169 Mor', Joh. ate, 168 Morcok, Hen., 173 Joh., 173 le, Ric., 168 d, Rob., 173, 175

Vill., 171 ens, Joh., 167, 170, 173 , 171, 172 Alanus, 166, 173, 174, ina uxor Alani, 166, 173,

s filius Alani, 173, 174 ), 165, 166, 174

filius Hugonis, 165 Juliana uxor Elye, 174

oh., 173 itus, Rad., 171

dam, 165, 169, 173 Nich., 168

Sket, Joh., 167, 170 Will., 173 Smokiere, Ric., 167 Rog., 173 Suthewod', Joh. de, 168

Taillur, Editha uxor Rob. le, 166, 174 Ranulphus le, 165, 166 Rob. le, 166, 174 Taylur, Rad., 173, 175

Wachel, Will., 168 Westewod, Will. de, 167 Willam, Galf., 169, 170, 172, 173 Wodeward, Galf., 170

Joh., 170, 171, 173 Will., 170

#### II. THE ABBESS OF ROMSEY'S HUNDRED OF WHORWELSDOWN AND MANOR OF ASHTON.

Rob., 180 Rog., 181 , Rob., 182 . 182 hom., 180 hom., 179 Dua de, 182

, relicta Rog. de, 183 na de, 181 de, 183

Isabella de. 182 Rad. de, 183

, Thom., 182 ius, Adam, 18 ., 182 , Walt., 180 ard', Will., 179 t, Ric., 179 Hugo, 181 tog. le, 178

Laurencius de, 179, 182, 183

', Hunfridus de, 179 re, Rog. de, 183 ı, Hen., 183 .., 181 Hugo, 178, 182 Joh., 179, 181 -

Foke, Joh., 178 Fore, Gil. le, 182 Francl', Joh. le, 182

Bus, Jame, 182 Busic, Rob. le, 179 Osmond, 180

Cantelo, Will. de, 179 Charke, Ric., 180 Cheffink, Pet., 179 Chenie, Thom. de, 182 Clericus, Adam, 182 Gocus, Thom., 178 Coderigg', Rog. de, 182 Corbin, Ric., 180

Doget, Adam, 182 Duc, Hugo le, 181 Dunstan, Thom., 180 Dunstanvilla, Walt. de, 179

Edindon', Joh. filius Rad. de, 182 Rad. de, 182

El', Elias filius Ric., 179 Ric., 179

Filius Hereberti, Nich., 183 Filius Roberti, Pet., 183

)L. II.

#### 212 PLACITA IN CURIIS MAGNATUM ANGLIE

Garston', Rad. de, 178
Gaysford, Relicta Ric. de, 182, 183
Ric. de, 182
Goin, Joh., 182
Gopill', Walt., 182
Grasenoil, Gil., 179
Griffin, Reg., 178

Heribit, Thom., 181
Helewi, Alicia, 181
Hodii, Will., 182
Hogema', Lucia, 181
Hopere, Joh., 181
Hordi, Ric., 181
Hupehull, Joh., 178, 181
Hube, Will. de, 182
Hyrtis, Ric. le, 179

Il', Elias filius Ric., 181 Ric., 181

Jagard, Rog., 179 Juvenis, Nich., 182 Juwenet, Elias, 182

King, Relicta, 183

Luuemed', Lucia de, 183 Nich. filius Lucie de, 183 Will. filius Lucie de, 183

Mabil', Hugo, 183
Marie, Walt., 182
Medicus, Joh., 178
Messarius, Rad., 180
Minur, Rog., 183
Molondinarius, Duce Relicta Ric., 181
Gil., 179
Ric., 181
Will., 183
Relicta Will., 183
Morheyo', Will. de, 182
Mudwrtleg', Steph. de, 178

Naldeken', Will., 182, 183 Nel, Walt., 181, 183 Norais, Walt., 182

Olen', Joh., 180

Pain, Will., 180

Palmere, Joh., 182
Pandulf', Walt., 180
Pinchelane', Nich., 183
Prato, Pet. de, 178, 179, 180, 182
Pet. filius Pet. de, 178, 179, 180
Prepositus, Walt., 183
Priur, Alex., 181

Sauser, Juliana, 181
Scalar', Alicia uxor Joh., 179
Hugo de, 180
Joh. de, 179
Scardi, Thom., 179
Scheregrene, Joh., 179, 181
Seliit, Thom., 179
Sewold', Cristina filia Joh., 178, 179, 180
Joh., 178, 179
Splotte, Walt. de la, 178
Sprakeling', Will., 180
Stikeb', Thom., 182
Sutor, Galf., 182
Will., 180

Taillur, Walt. le, 182 Taleman, Adam, 182 Teinteress, Duce, 182 pein, Joh. le, 183 Juliana le, 183 Rog. le, 178 Walt. le, 181 Walt. filius Walt. le, 181 Thebingman, Will., 179 Tiddolfessd', Ric. de, 179 Rob. de, 179 Tresiberge, Rad. de, 182 Trussert, Ric., 183 Tunhid', Joh. de, 183 Steph. de, 183 Tutprest, Rob., 182

Suwich, Will. de, 178, 181 Symon, Adam filius Joh., 179

Joh., 180

Walecoc, Cristina, 181
Werministr', Rob. de, 182
Westaston', Emma Relicta de, 178
Will. de, 181
Wilanus, Will., 180
Wif', Adam de, 183

PRINTED BY
SPOTTISWOODE AND CO., NEW-STREET SQUARE
LONDON



THE BORROWER WILL BE CHARGED AN OVERDUE FEE IF THIS BOOK IS NOT RETURNED TO THE LIBRARY ON OR BEFORE THE LAST DATE STAMPED BELOW. NON-RECEIPT OF OVERDUE NOTICES DOES NOT EXEMPT THE BORROWER FROM OVERDUE FEES.

Harvard College Widener Library Cambridge, MA 02138 (617) 495-2413



